ARTICLE I. GENERAL PROVISIONS

RESTYLED FRE

RESTYLED TEXAS

Rule 1103. Title	Rule 101. Title, Scope, and Applicability	Comment [SG1]: TRE 101 covers material
 These rules may be cited as the Federal Rules of Evidence. Rule 101. Scope; Definitions (a) Scope. These rules apply to proceedings in United States courts. The specific courts and proceedings to which the rules apply, along with exceptions, are set out in Rule 1101. 	 of the Rules; Definitions (a) Title. These rules may be cited as the Texas Rules of Evidence. (b) Scope. These rules apply to proceedings in Texas courts except as otherwise provided in subdivisions (d)–(f). 	covered in FRE 101, 1101, and 1103.
 Rule 1101. Applicability of the Rules (c) Rules on Privilege. The rules on privilege apply to all stages of a case or proceeding. 	 (c) Rules on Privilege. The rules on privilege apply to all stages of a case or proceeding. (d) Exception for Constitutional or Statutory Provisions or Other Rules. Despite these rules, a court must admit or exclude evidence if required to do so by the United States or Texas Constitution, a federal or Texas statute, or a rule prescribed by the United States or Texas Supreme Court or the Texas Court of Criminal Appeals. If possible, a court should resolve by reasonable construction any inconsistency between these rules and applicable constitutional or statutory provisions or other rules. 	
 (d) Exceptions. These rules — except for those on privilege — do not apply to the following: (1) the court's determination, under Rule 104(a), on a preliminary question of fact governing admissibility; (2) grand-jury proceedings; and (3) miscellaneous proceedings such as: extradition or rendition; issuing an arrest warrant, criminal summons, or search warrant; 	 (e) Exceptions. These rules—except for those on privilege—do not apply to: (1) the court's determination, under Rule 104(a), on a preliminary question of fact governing admissibility; (2) grand jury proceedings; and (3) the following miscellaneous proceedings: (A) an application for habeas corpus in extradition, rendition, or interstate detainer proceedings; 	

- a preliminary examination in a criminal case;
- sentencing;
- granting or revoking probation or supervised release; and
- considering whether to release on bail or otherwise.
- (e) Other Statutes and Rules. A federal statute or a rule prescribed by the Supreme Court may provide for admitting or excluding evidence independently from these rules.

Rule 101. Scope; Definitions

- (b) Definitions. In these rules:
 - "civil case" means a civil action or proceeding;
 - (2) "criminal case" includes a criminal proceeding;
 - (3) "public office" includes a public agency;
 - (4) "record" includes a memorandum, report, or data compilation;
 - (5) a "rule prescribed by the Supreme Court" means a rule adopted by the Supreme Court under statutory authority; and
 - (6) a reference to any kind of written material or any other medium includes electronically stored information.

- (B) an inquiry by the court under Code of Criminal Procedure article 46B.004 to determine whether evidence exists that would support a finding that the defendant may be incompetent to stand trial;
- (C) bail proceedings other than hearings to deny, revoke, or increase bail;
- **(D)** hearings on justification for pretrial detention not involving bail;
- (E) proceedings to issue a search or arrest warrant; and
- (F) direct contempt determination proceedings.
- (f) Exception for Justice Court Cases. These rules do not apply to justice court cases except as authorized by Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 500.3.
- (g) Exception for Military Justice Hearings. The Texas Code of Military Justice, Tex. Gov't Code §§ 432.001–432.195, governs the admissibility of evidence in hearings held under that Code.
- (h) **Definitions.** In these rules:
 - "civil case" means a civil action or proceeding;
 - (2) "criminal case" means a criminal action or proceeding, including an examining trial;
 - (3) "public office" includes a public agency;
 - (4) "record" includes a memorandum, report, or data compilation;
 - (5) a "rule prescribed by the United States or Texas Supreme Court or the Texas Court of Criminal Appeals" means a rule adopted by any of those courts under statutory authority;

Applicability of the Rules

(a) To Courts and Judges. These rules apply to proceedings before:

• United States district courts;

Rule 1101.

- United States bankruptcy and magistrate judges;
- United States courts of appeals;
- the United States Court of Federal Claims; and
- the district courts of Guam, the Virgin Islands, and the Northern Mariana Islands.
- (b) To Cases and Proceedings. These rules apply in:
 - civil cases and proceedings, including bankruptcy, admiralty, and maritime cases;
 - criminal cases and proceedings; and
 - contempt proceedings, except those in which the court may act summarily.

- (6) "unsworn declaration" means an unsworn declaration made in accordance with Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code § 132.001; and
- (7) a reference to any kind of written material or any other medium includes electronically stored information.

Comment to 2013 Restyling: The reference to "hierarchical governance" in former Rule 101(c) has been deleted as unnecessary. The textual limitation of former Rule 101(c) to criminal cases has been eliminated. Courts in civil cases must also admit or exclude evidence when required to do so by constitutional or statutory provisions or other rules that take precedence over these rules. Likewise, the title to former Rule 101(d) has been changed to more accurately indicate the purpose and scope of the subdivision.

RESTYLED FRE

RESTYLED TEXAS

Rule 102. Purpose	Rule 102. Purpose
unjustifiable expense and delay, and promote the development of evidence law, to the end of	These rules should be construed so as to administer every proceeding fairly, eliminate unjustifiable expense and delay, and promote the development of evidence law, to the end of ascertaining the truth and securing a just determination.

Page 5

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 103. Rulings on Evidence	Rule 103. Rulings on Evidence
(a) Preserving a Claim of Error. A party may claim error in a ruling to admit or exclude evidence only if the error affects a substantial right of the party and:	(a) Preserving a Claim of Error. A party may claim error in a ruling to admit or exclude evidence only if the error affects a substantial right of the party and:
(1) if the ruling admits evidence, a party, on the record:	(1) if the ruling admits evidence, a party on the record:
(A) timely objects or moves to strike; and	(A) timely objects or moves to strike and
(B) states the specific ground, unless it was apparent from the context; or	(B) states the specific ground, unless i was apparent from the context; or
(2) if the ruling excludes evidence, a party informs the court of its substance by an offer of proof, unless the substance was apparent from the context.	(2) if the ruling excludes evidence, a party informs the court of its substance by ar offer of proof, unless the substance was apparent from the context.
(b) Not Needing to Renew an Objection or Offer of Proof. Once the court rules definitively on the record — either before or at trial — a party need not renew an objection or offer of proof to preserve a claim of error for appeal.	(b) Not Needing to Renew an Objection When the court hears a party's objections outside the presence of the jury and rules that evidence is admissible, a party need not renew an objection to preserve a claim of error for appeal.
(c) Court's Statement About the Ruling; Directing an Offer of Proof. The court may make any statement about the character or form of the evidence, the objection made, and the ruling. The court may direct that an offer of proof be made in question-and-answer form.	(c) Court's Statement About the Ruling Directing an Offer of Proof. The cour must allow a party to make an offer of proof outside the jury's presence as soon as practicable—and before the court reads its charge to the jury. The court may make any statement about the character or form of the evidence, the objection made, and the ruling. At a party's request, the cour must direct that an offer of proof be made in question-and-answer form. Or the cour may do so on its own.
(d) Preventing the Jury from Hearing Inadmissible Evidence. To the extent practicable, the court must conduct a jury trial so that inadmissible evidence is not suggested to the jury by any means.	(d) Preventing the Jury from Hearing Inadmissible Evidence. To the exten practicable, the court must conduct a jury trial so that inadmissible evidence is no suggested to the jury by any means.

(e) Taking Notice of Plain Error. A court	(e)	Taking Notice of Fundamental Error in
	may take notice of a plain error affecting a		Criminal Cases. In criminal cases, a court
	substantial right, even if the claim of error		may take notice of a fundamental error
	was not properly preserved.		affecting a substantial right, even if the
			claim of error was not properly preserved.

RESTYLED FRE

RESTYLED TEXAS

Rule 104. Preliminary Questions	Rule 104. Preliminary Questions
(a) In General. The court must decide any preliminary question about whether a witness is qualified, a privilege exists, o evidence is admissible. In so deciding, the court is not bound by evidence rules except those on privilege.	preliminary question about whether a witness is qualified, a privilege exists, or evidence is admissible. In so deciding, the
(b) Relevance That Depends on a Fact When the relevance of evidence depend on whether a fact exists, proof must be introduced sufficient to support a finding that the fact does exist. The court may admit the proposed evidence on the condition that the proof be introduced later	When the relevance of evidence depends on whether a fact exists, proof must be introduced sufficient to support a finding that the fact does exist. The court may admit the proposed evidence on the
(c) Conducting a Hearing So That the Jury Cannot Hear It. The court must conduct any hearing on a preliminary question so that the jury cannot hear it if:	t Cannot Hear It. The court must conduct
(1) the hearing involves the admissibility of a confession;	(1) the hearing involves the admissibility of a confession in a criminal case;
(2) a defendant in a criminal case is a witness and so requests; or	(2) a defendant in a criminal case is a witness and so requests; or
(3) justice so requires.	(3) justice so requires.
(d) Cross-Examining a Defendant in a Criminal Case. By testifying on a preliminary question, a defendant in a criminal case does not become subject to cross-examination on other issues in the case.	Criminal Case. By testifying outside the jury's hearing on a preliminary question, a defendant in a criminal case does not
(e) Evidence Relevant to Weight and Credibility. This rule does not limit a party's right to introduce before the jury evidence that is relevant to the weight of credibility of other evidence.	Credibility. This rule does not limit a party's right to introduce before the jury

Page 8

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 105.Limiting Evidence That Is Not Admissible Against Other Parties or for Other Purposes	Rule 105.EvidenceThatIsNotAdmissibleAgainstOtherPartiesorforOtherPurposes
If the court admits evidence that is admissible against a party or for a purpose — but not against another party or for another purpose — the court, on timely request, must restrict the evidence to its proper scope and instruct the jury accordingly.	(a) Limiting Admitted Evidence. If the court admits evidence that is admissible against a party or for a purpose — but not against another party or for another purpose — the court, on request, must restrict the evidence to its proper scope and instruct the jury accordingly.
	(b) Preserving a Claim of Error.
	 (1) Court Admits the Evidence Without Restriction A party may claim error in a ruling to admit evidence that is admissible against a party or for a purpose — but not against another party or for another purpose — only if the party requests the court to restrict the evidence to its proper scope and instruct the jury accordingly. (2) Court Excludes the Evidence. A party may claim error in a ruling to exclude evidence that is admissible against a party or for a purpose — but not
	against another party or for another purpose — only if the party limits its offer to the party against whom or the purpose for which the evidence is admissible.

Page 9

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 106. Remainder of or Related	Rule 106. Remainder of or Related
Writings or Recorded	Writings or Recorded
Statements	Statements
If a party introduces all or part of a writing or recorded statement, an adverse party may require the introduction, at that time, of any other part — or any other writing or recorded statement — that in fairness ought to be considered at the same time.	If a party introduces all or part of a writing or recorded statement, an adverse party may introduce, at that time, any other part — or any other writing or recorded statement — that in fairness ought to be considered at the same time. "Writing or recorded statement" includes depositions.

Page 10

RESTYLED FRE

NO FRE 107	Rule 107. Rule of Optional Completeness
	If a party introduces part of an act, declaration, conversation, writing, or recorded statement, an adverse party may inquire into any other part on the same subject. An adverse party may also introduce any other act, declaration, conversation, writing, or recorded statement that is necessary to explain or allow the trier of fact to fully understand the part offered by the opponent. "Writing or recorded statement" includes a deposition.

ARTICLE II. JUDICIAL NOTICE

RESTYLED FRE

RESTYLED TEXAS

Rule 201. Judicial Notice of Adjudicative Facts <td< th=""><th>Rule 201. Judicial Notice of Adjudicative Facts</th></td<>	Rule 201. Judicial Notice of Adjudicative Facts
(a) Scope. This rule governs judicial notice of an adjudicative fact only, not a legislative fact	(a) Scope. This rule governs judicial notice of an adjudicative fact only, not a legislative fact.
(b) Kinds of Facts That May Be Judicially Noticed. The court may judicially notice a fact that is not subject to reasonable dispute because it:	(b) Kinds of Facts That May Be Judicially Noticed. The court may judicially notice a fact that is not subject to reasonable dispute because it:
(1) is generally known within the trial court's territorial jurisdiction; or	(1) is generally known within the trial court's territorial jurisdiction; or
(2) can be accurately and readily determined from sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned.	(2) can be accurately and readily determined from sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned.
(c) Taking Notice. The court:	(c) Taking Notice. The court:
(1) may take judicial notice on its own; or	(1) may take judicial notice on its own; or
(2) must take judicial notice if a party requests it and the court is supplied with the necessary information.	(2) must take judicial notice if a party requests it and the court is supplied with the necessary information.
(d) Timing. The court may take judicial notice at any stage of the proceeding.	(d) Timing. The court may take judicial notice at any stage of the proceeding.
(e) Opportunity to Be Heard. On timely request, a party is entitled to be heard on the propriety of taking judicial notice and the nature of the fact to be noticed. If the court takes judicial notice before notifying a party, the party, on request, is still entitled to be heard.	(e) Opportunity to Be Heard. On timely request, a party is entitled to be heard on the propriety of taking judicial notice and the nature of the fact to be noticed. If the court takes judicial notice before notifying a party, the party, on request, is still entitled to be heard.
(f) Instructing the Jury. In a civil case, the court must instruct the jury to accept the noticed fact as conclusive. In a criminal case, the court must instruct the jury that it may or may not accept the noticed fact as conclusive.	(f) Instructing the Jury. In a civil case, the court must instruct the jury to accept the noticed fact as conclusive. In a criminal case, the court must instruct the jury that it may or may not accept the noticed fact as conclusive.

RESTYLED FRE

RESTYLED TEXAS

I	
NO FRE 202	Rule 202. Judicial Notice of Other
	States' Law
	(a) Scope. This rule governs judicial notice of another state's, territory's, or federal jurisdiction's:
	 Constitution; public statutes; rules; regulations;
	 ordinances;
	 court decisions; and
	• common law.
	(b) Taking Notice. The court:
	(1) may take judicial notice on its own; or
	(2) must take judicial notice if a party requests it and the court is supplied with the necessary information.
	(c) Notice and Opportunity to Be Heard.
	(1) <i>Notice.</i> The court may require a party requesting judicial notice to notify all other parties of the request so they may respond to it.
	(2) <i>Opportunity to Be Heard.</i> On timely request, a party is entitled to be heard on the propriety of taking judicial notice and the nature of the matter to be noticed. If the court takes judicial notice before a party has been notified, the party, on request, is still entitled to be heard.
	(d) Timing. The court may take judicial notice at any stage of the proceeding.
	(e) Determination and Review. The court— not the jury—must determine the law of another state, territory, or federal jurisdiction. The court's determination must be treated as a ruling on a question of law.

RESTYLED FRE

RESTYLED TEXAS

NO FRE 203	Rule 203. Determining Foreign Law
	(a) Raising a Foreign Law Issue. A party who intends to raise an issue about a foreign country's law must:
	(1) give reasonable notice by a pleading or other writing; and
	(2) at least 30 days before trial, supply al parties a copy of any written materials or sources the party intends to use to prove the foreign law.
	(b) Translations. If the materials or sources were originally written in a language other than English, the party intending to rely or them must, at least 30 days before trial supply all parties both a copy of the foreign language text and an English translation.
	(c) Materials the Court May Consider; Notice. In determining foreign law, the court may consider any material or source whether or not admissible. If the court considers any material or source not submitted by a party, it must give all parties notice and a reasonable opportunity to comment and submit additional materials.
	(d) Determination and Review. The court— not the jury—must determine foreign law. The court's determination must be treated as a ruling on a question of law.

Page 14

RESTYLED FRE

NO FRE 204	Rule 204. Judicial Notice of Texas Municipal and County
	Ordinances, Texas Register Contents, and Published Agency Rules
	(a) Scope. This rule governs judicial notice of Texas municipal and county ordinances, the contents of the Texas Register, and agency rules published in the Texas Administrative Code.
	(b) Taking Notice. The court:
	(1) may take judicial notice on its own; or
	(2) must take judicial notice if a party requests it and the court is supplied with the necessary information.
	(c) Notice and Opportunity to Be Heard.
	(1) <i>Notice.</i> The court may require a party requesting judicial notice to notify all other parties of the request so they may respond to it.
	(2) <i>Opportunity to Be Heard.</i> On timely request, a party is entitled to be heard on the propriety of taking judicial notice and the nature of the matter to be noticed. If the court takes judicial notice before a party has been notified, the party, on request, is still entitled to be heard.
	(d) Determination and Review. The court— not the jury—must determine municipal and county ordinances, the contents of the Texas Register, and published agency rules. The court's determination must be treated as a ruling on a question of law.

Page 15

ARTICLE III. PRESUMPTIONS [FRE: PRESUMPTIONS IN CIVIL CASES]

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 301. Presumptions in Generally	Civil Cases	NO RULES ADOPTED AT THIS TIME
In a civil case, unless a federal st rules provide otherwise, the whom a presumption is directed l of producing evidence to presumption. But this rule does burden of persuasion, which re party who had it originally.	party against has the burden rebut the not shift the	
Rule 302. Applying State Presumptions in		
In a civil case, state law governs presumption regarding a claim of which state law supplies the rule of	or defense for	

Page 16

ARTICLE IV. RELEVANCY AND ITS LIMITS

RESTYLED FRE

Ru	le 401. Test for Relevant Evidence	Rule 401. Test for Relevant Evidence
Ev	idence is relevant if:	Evidence is relevant if:
(a)	it has any tendency to make a fact more or less probable than it would be without the evidence; and	(a) it has any tendency to make a fact more or less probable than it would be without the evidence; and
(b)	the fact is of consequence in determining the action.	(b) the fact is of consequence in determining the action.

RESTYLED FRE

RESTYLED TEXAS

Rule 402. General Admissibility of Relevant Evidence	Rule 402. General Admissibility of Relevant Evidence
Relevant evidence is admissible unless any of the following provides otherwise:	Relevant evidence is admissible unless any of the following provides otherwise:
 the United States Constitution; a federal statute; these rules; or other rules prescribed by the Supreme Court. 	 the United States or Texas Constitution; a statute; these rules; or other rules prescribed under statutory authority.
Irrelevant evidence is not admissible.	Irrelevant evidence is not admissible.

Page 18

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 403.Excluding Relevant Evidence for Prejudice, Confusion, Waste of Time, or Other	Rule 403. Excluding Relevant Evidence for Prejudice, Confusion, or Other Reasons
Reasons The court may exclude relevant evidence if its probative value is substantially outweighed by a danger of one or more of the following: unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, misleading the jury, undue delay, wasting time, or needlessly presenting cumulative evidence.	The court may exclude relevant evidence if its probative value is substantially outweighed by a danger of one or more of the following: unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, misleading the jury, undue delay, or needlessly presenting cumulative evidence.

RESTYLED FRE

RESTYLED TEXAS

Rule 404. Character Evidence; Crimes or Other Acts	Rule 404. Character Evidence; Crimes or Other Acts
(a) Character Evidence.	(a) Character Evidence.
 (1) Prohibited Uses. Evidence of a person's character or character trait is not admissible to prove that on a particular occasion the person acted in accordance with the character or trait. (2) Exceptions for a Defendant or Victim in a Criminal Case. The following exceptions apply in a criminal case: (A) a defendant may offer evidence of the defendant's pertinent trait, and if the evidence is admitted, the prosecutor may offer evidence to rebut it; 	 (1) Prohibited Uses. Evidence of a person's character or character trait is not admissible to prove that on a particular occasion the person acted in accordance with the character or trait. (2) Exceptions for an Accused. (A) In a criminal case, a defendant may offer evidence of the defendant's pertinent trait, and if the evidence is admitted, the prosecutor may offer evidence to rebut it. (B) In a civil case, a party accused of conduct involving moral turpitude may offer evidence of the party's pertinent trait, and if the evidence
 (B) subject to the limitations in Rule 412, a defendant may offer evidence of an alleged victim's pertinent trait, and if the evidence is admitted, the prosecutor may: (i) offer evidence to rebut it; and (ii) offer evidence of the defendant's same trait; and (C) in a homicide case, the prosecutor may offer evidence of the alleged victim's trait of peacefulness to rebut evidence that the victim was the first aggressor. 	 is admitted, the accusing party may offer evidence to rebut it. (3) Exceptions for a Victim. (A) In a criminal case, subject to the limitations in Rule 412, a defendant may offer evidence of a victim's pertinent trait, and if the evidence is admitted, the prosecutor may offer evidence to rebut it. (B) In a homicide case, the prosecutor may offer evidence of the victim's trait of peacefulness to rebut evidence that the victim was the first aggressor. (C) In a civil case, a party accused of assaultive conduct may offer evidence of the victim's trait of
	violence to prove self-defense, and if the evidence is admitted, the accusing party may offer evidence

	of the victim's trait of peacefulness.
(3) <i>Exceptions for a Witness</i> . Evidence of a witness's character may be admitted under Rules 607, 608, and 609.	(4) <i>Exceptions for a Witness.</i> Evidence of a witness's character may be admitted under Rules 607, 608, and 609.
	(5) <i>Definition of "Victim.</i> " In this rule, "victim" includes an alleged victim.
(b) Crimes, Wrongs, or Other Acts.	(b) Crimes, Wrongs, or Other Acts.
(1) <i>Prohibited Uses.</i> Evidence of a crime, wrong, or other act is not admissible to prove a person's character in order to show that on a particular occasion the person acted in accordance with the character.	(1) <i>Prohibited Uses.</i> Evidence of a crime, wrong, or other act is not admissible to prove a person's character in order to show that on a particular occasion the person acted in accordance with the character.
 (2) Permitted Uses; Notice in a Criminal Case. This evidence may be admissible for another purpose, such as proving motive, opportunity, intent, preparation, plan, knowledge, identity, absence of mistake, or lack of accident. On request by a defendant in a criminal case, the prosecutor must: (A) provide reasonable notice of the general nature of any such evidence that the prosecutor intends to offer at trial; and (B) do so before trial — or during trial if the court, for good cause, excuses lack of pretrial notice. 	(2) Permitted Uses; Notice in Criminal Case. This evidence may be admissible for another purpose, such as proving motive, opportunity, intent, preparation, plan, knowledge, identity, absence of mistake, or lack of accident. On timely request by a defendant in a criminal case, the prosecutor must provide reasonable notice before trial that the prosecution intends to introduce such evidence — other than that arising in the same transaction — in its case-in-chief.

Page 21

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 405. Methods of Proving	Rule 405. Methods of Proving
Character	Character
(a) By Reputation or Opinion. When evidence of a person's character or character trait is admissible, it may be proved by testimony about the person's reputation or by testimony in the form of an opinion. On cross-examination of the character witness, the court may allow an inquiry into relevant specific instances of the person's conduct.	 (a) By Reputation or Opinion. (1) In General. When evidence of a person's character or character trait is admissible, it may be proved by testimony about the person's reputation or by testimony in the form of an opinion. On cross-examination of the character witness, inquiry may be made into relevant specific instances of the person's conduct. (2) Accused's Character in a Criminal Case. In the guilt stage of a criminal case, a witness may testify to the defendant's character or character trait only if, before the day of the offense, the witness was familiar with the defendant's reputation or the facts or information that form the basis of the witness's opinion.
(b) By Specific Instances of Conduct. When	(b) By Specific Instances of Conduct. When
a person's character or character trait is an	a person's character or character trait is an
essential element of a charge, claim, or	essential element of a charge, claim, or
defense, the character or trait may also be	defense, the character or trait may also be
proved by relevant specific instances of the	proved by relevant specific instances of the
person's conduct.	person's conduct.

Page 22

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 406. Habit; Routine Practice	Rule 406. Habit; Routine Practice
organization's routine practice may be admitted to prove that on a particular occasion the person or organization acted in accordance	Evidence of a person's habit or an organization's routine practice may be admitted to prove that on a particular occasion the person or organization acted in accordance with the habit or routine practice. The court may admit this evidence regardless of whether it is corroborated or whether there was an eyewitness.

Page 23

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 407.Subsequent MeasuresRemedial	Rule 407. Subsequent Remedial Measures; Notification of Defect
 When measures are taken that would have made an earlier injury or harm less likely to occur, evidence of the subsequent measures is not admissible to prove: negligence; culpable conduct; a defect in a product or its design; or a need for a warning or instruction. But the court may admit this evidence for another purpose, such as impeachment or — if disputed — proving ownership, control, or the feasibility of precautionary measures. 	 (a) Subsequent Remedial Measures. When measures are taken that would have made an earlier injury or harm less likely to occur, evidence of the subsequent measures is not admissible to prove: negligence; culpable conduct; a defect in a product or its design; or a need for a warning or instruction. But the court may admit this evidence for another purpose, such as impeachment or — if disputed — proving ownership, control, or the feasibility of precautionary measures. (b) Notification of Defect. A manufacturer's written notification to a purchaser of a defect in one of its products is admissible against the manufacturer to prove the defect. Comment to 2013 Restyling: Rule 407 previously provided that evidence was not excluded if offered for a purpose not explicitly prohibited by the Rule. To improve the language of the Rule, it now provides that the court may admit evidence if offered for a permissible purpose. There is no intent to change the process for admitting evidence covered by the Rule. It remains the case that if offered for an impermissible purpose, it must be excluded, and if offered for a purpose not barred by the Rule, its admissibility remains governed by the general principles of Rules 402, 403, 801, etc.

Page 24

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 408. Compromise Offers and Negotiations	Rule 408. Compromise Offers and Negotiations
 (a) Prohibited Uses. Evidence of the following is not admissible — on behalf of any party — either to prove or disprove the validity or amount of a disputed claim or to impeach by a prior inconsistent statement or a contradiction: (1) furnishing, promising, or offering — or accepting, promising to accept, or offering to accept — a valuable consideration in compromise ne claim; and (2) conduct or a statement made during compromise negotiations about the claim — except when offered in a criminal case and when the negotiations related to a claim by a public office in the exercise of its regulatory, investigative, or enforcement authority. 	 (a) Prohibited Uses. Evidence of the following is not admissible either to prove or disprove the validity or amount of a disputed claim: (1) furnishing, promising, or offering—or accepting, promising to accept, or offering to accept—a valuable consideration in compromising or attempting to compromise the claim; and (2) conduct or statements made during compromise negotiations about the claim.
(b) Exceptions. The court may admit this evidence for another purpose, such as proving a witness's bias or prejudice, negating a contention of undue delay, or proving an effort to obstruct a criminal investigation or prosecution.	 (b) Permissible Uses. The court may admit this evidence for another purpose, such as proving a party's or witness's bias, prejudice, or interest, negating a contention of undue delay, or proving an effort to obstruct a criminal investigation or prosecution. Comment to 2013 Restyling: Rule 408 previously provided that evidence was not excluded if offered for a purpose not explicitly prohibited by the Rule. To improve the language of the Rule, it now provides that the court may admit evidence if offered for a permissible purpose. There is no intent to change the process for admitting evidence covered by the Rule. It remains the case that if offered for a nimpermissible purpose, it must be excluded, and if offered for a purpose not barred by the Rule, its admissibility remains governed by the general principles of Rules

The reference to "liability" has been deleted on the ground that the deletion makes the Rule flow better and easier to read, and because "liability" is covered by the broader term "validity." Courts have not made substantive decisions on the basis of any distinction between validity and liability. No change in current practice or in the coverage of the Rule is intended. Finally, the sentence of the Rule referring to evidence "otherwise discoverable" has been deleted as superfluous. The intent of the sentence was to prevent a party from trying to immunize admissible information, such as a pre-existing document, through the pretense of disclosing it during compromise negotiations. But even without the sentence, the Rule cannot be read to protect pre-existing information simply because it was presented to the adversary in compromise negotiations.

Page 26

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 409. Offers to Pay Medical and	Rule 409. Offers to Pay Medical and
Similar Expenses	Similar Expenses
	Evidence of furnishing, promising to pay, or offering to pay medical, hospital, or similar expenses resulting from an injury is not admissible to prove liability for the injury.

Page 27

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 410. Pleas, Plea Discussions, and Related Statements	Rule 410. Pleas, Plea Discussions, and Related Statements
(a) Prohibited Uses. In a civil or criminal case, evidence of the following is not admissible against the defendant who made the plea or participated in the plea discussions:	(a) Prohibited Uses in Civil Cases. In a civil case, evidence of the following is not admissible against the defendant who made the plea or was a participant in the plea discussions:
(1) a guilty plea that was later withdrawn;	(1) a guilty plea that was later withdrawn;
(2) a nolo contendere plea;	(2) a nolo contendere plea;
(3) a statement made during a proceeding on either of those pleas under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11 or a comparable state procedure; or	(3) a statement made during a proceeding on either of those pleas under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11 or a comparable state procedure; or
(4) a statement made during plea discussions with an attorney for the prosecuting authority if the discussions did not result in a guilty plea or they resulted in a later-withdrawn guilty plea.	(4) a statement made during plea discussions with an attorney for the prosecuting authority if the discussions did not result in a guilty plea or they resulted in a later-withdrawn guilty plea.
	(b) Prohibited Uses in Criminal Cases. In a criminal case, evidence of the following is not admissible against the defendant who made the plea or was a participant in the plea discussions:
	(1) a guilty plea that was later withdrawn;
	(2) a nolo contendere plea that was later withdrawn;
	(3) a statement made during a proceeding on either of those pleas under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11 or a comparable state procedure; or
	(4) a statement made during plea discussions with an attorney for the prosecuting authority if the discussions did not result in a guilty or nolo contendere plea or they resulted in a later-withdrawn guilty or nolo contendere plea.

 (b) Exceptions. The court may admit a statement described in Rule 410(a)(3) or (4): (1) in any proceeding in which another statement made during the same plea or plea discussions has been introduced, if in fairness the statements ought to be considered together; or 	(c) Exception. In a civil case, the court may admit a statement described in paragraph (a)(3) or (4) and in a criminal case, the court may admit a statement described in paragraph (b)(3) or (4), when another statement made during the same plea or plea discussions has been introduced and in fairness the statements ought to be considered together.
(2) in a criminal proceeding for perjury or false statement, if the defendant made the statement under oath, on the record, and with counsel present.	

Page 29

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 411. Liability Insuran	nce Rule 411.	Liability Insurance
Evidence that a person was or w against liability is not admissi whether the person acted n otherwise wrongfully. But the co this evidence for another purp proving a witness's bias or prejud agency, ownership, or control.	ble to prove against li egligently or whether urt may admit ose, such as this evide ice or proving proving a	that a person was or was not insured ability is not admissible to prove the person acted negligently or wrongfully. But the court may admit ence for another purpose, such as a witness's bias or prejudice or, if proving agency, ownership, or

RESTYLED FRE

RESTYLED TEXAS

Rule 412. Sex-Offense Cases: The	Rule 412.Evidence of Previous Sexual
Victim's Sexual Behavior or	Conduct in Criminal Cases
Predisposition	(-) In Commel The following avidence is not
(a) Prohibited Uses. The following evidence is not admissible in a civil or criminal proceeding involving alleged sexual misconduct:	(a) In General. The following evidence is not admissible in a prosecution for sexual assault, aggravated sexual assault, or attempt to commit sexual assault or aggravated sexual assault:
(1) evidence offered to prove that a victim engaged in other sexual behavior; or	(1) reputation or opinion evidence of a victim's past sexual behavior; or
(2) evidence offered to prove a victim's sexual predisposition.	(2) specific instances of a victim's past sexual behavior.
(b) Exceptions.	(b) Exceptions for Specific Instances. Evidence of specific instances of a victim's
(1) Criminal Cases. The court may admit the following evidence in a criminal	past sexual behavior is admissible if:
case:	(1) the court admits the evidence in accordance with subdivisions (c) and
(A) evidence of specific instances of a	(d);
victim's sexual behavior, if offered to prove that someone other than the defendant was the source of	(2) the evidence:
semen, injury, or other physical evidence;	(A) is necessary to rebut or explain scientific or medical evidence offered by the prosecutor;
(B) evidence of specific instances of a	
respect to the person accused of the sexual misconduct, if offered by	(B) concerns past sexual behavior with the defendant and is offered by the defendant to prove consent;
offered by the prosecutor; and	(C) relates to the victim's motive or bias;
violate the defendant's	(D) is admissible under Rule 609; or
	(E) is constitutionally required to be
(2) <i>Civil Cases.</i> In a civil case, the court	admitted; and
5 1	(3) the probative value of the evidence
predisposition if its probative value	outweighs the danger of unfair
substantially outweighs the danger of	prejudice.
only if the victim has placed it in controversy.	
 to prove that someone other than the defendant was the source of semen, injury, or other physical evidence; (B) evidence of specific instances of a victim's sexual behavior with respect to the person accused of the sexual misconduct, if offered by the defendant to prove consent or if offered by the prosecutor; and (C) evidence whose exclusion would violate the defendant's constitutional rights. (2) <i>Civil Cases.</i> In a civil case, the court may admit evidence offered to prove a victim's sexual behavior or sexual predisposition if its probative value substantially outweighs the danger of harm to any victim and of unfair prejudice to any party. The court may admit evidence of a victim's reputation only if the victim has placed it in 	 (A) is necessary to rebut or explain scientific or medical evidence offered by the prosecutor; (B) concerns past sexual behavior with the defendant and is offered by the defendant to prove consent; (C) relates to the victim's motive or bias; (D) is admissible under Rule 609; or (E) is constitutionally required to be admitted; and (3) the probative value of the evidence outweighs the danger of unfair

(c)	 Procedure to Determine Admissibility. (1) <i>Motion</i>. If a party intends to offer evidence under Rule 412(b), the party must: (A) file a motion that specifically describes the evidence and states the purpose for which it is to be offered; 	(c) Procedure for Offering Evidence. Before offering any evidence of the victim's past sexual behavior, the defendant must inform the court outside the jury's presence. The court must then conduct an in camera hearing, recorded by a court reporter, and determine whether the proposed evidence is admissible. The defendant may not refer to any evidence ruled inadmissible without first requesting and gaining the court's approval outside the jury's presence.
	(B) do so at least 14 days before trial unless the court, for good cause, sets a different time;(C) serve the motion on all parties; and	(d) Record Sealed. The court must preserve the record of the in camera hearing, under seal, as part of the record.
	(D) notify the victim or, when appropriate, the victim's guardian or representative.	
	(2) <i>Hearing.</i> Before admitting evidence under this rule, the court must conduct an in camera hearing and give the victim and parties a right to attend and be heard. Unless the court orders otherwise, the motion, related materials, and the record of the hearing must be and remain sealed.	
(d)	Definition of "Victim." In this rule, "victim" includes an alleged victim.	(e) Definition of "Victim." In this rule, "victim" includes an alleged victim.

RESTYLED FRE

RESTYLED TEXAS

Rule 413. Similar Crimes in Sexual- Assault Cases	NO CORRESPONDING TRE
 (a) Permitted Uses. In a criminal case in which a defendant is accused of a sexual assault, the court may admit evidence that the defendant committed any other sexual assault. The evidence may be considered on any matter to which it is relevant. 	
(b) Disclosure to the Defendant. If the prosecutor intends to offer this evidence, the prosecutor must disclose it to the defendant, including witnesses' statements or a summary of the expected testimony. The prosecutor must do so at least 15 days before trial or at a later time that the court allows for good cause.	
(c) Effect on Other Rules. This rule does not limit the admission or consideration of evidence under any other rule.	
(d) Definition of "Sexual Assault." In this rule and Rule 415, "sexual assault" means a crime under federal law or under state law (as "state" is defined in 18 U.S.C. § 513) involving:	
(1) any conduct prohibited by 18 U.S.C. chapter 109A;	
(2) contact, without consent, between any part of the defendant's body — or an object — and another person's genitals or anus;	
(3) contact, without consent, between the defendant's genitals or anus and any part of another person's body;	
(4) deriving sexual pleasure or gratification from inflicting death, bodily injury, or physical pain on another person; or	
(5) an attempt or conspiracy to engage in conduct described in subparagraphs (1)-(4).	

RESTYLED FRE

RESTYLED TEXAS

Rule 414. Similar Crimes in Child- Molestation Cases	NO CORRESPONDING TRE	Comment [SG2]: The 2013 Legislature passed SB 12, which is similar in substance to FRE 414. The substance of SB 12 could easily
(a) Permitted Uses. In a criminal case in which a defendant is accused of child molestation, the court may admit evidence that the defendant committed any other child molestation. The evidence may be considered on any matter to which it is relevant.		be restyled and codified in a new TRE 414.
(b) Disclosure to the Defendant. If the prosecutor intends to offer this evidence, the prosecutor must disclose it to the defendant, including witnesses' statements or a summary of the expected testimony. The prosecutor must do so at least 15 days before trial or at a later time that the court allows for good cause.		
(c) Effect on Other Rules. This rule does not limit the admission or consideration of evidence under any other rule.		
(d) Definition of "Child" and "Child Molestation." In this rule and Rule 415:		
(1) "child" means a person below the age of 14; and		
(2) "child molestation" means a crime under federal law or under state law (as "state" is defined in 18 U.S.C. § 513) involving:		
(A) any conduct prohibited by 18 U.S.C. chapter 109A and committed with a child;		
(B) any conduct prohibited by 18 U.S.C. chapter 110;		
 (C) contact between any part of the defendant's body — or an object — and a child's genitals or anus; 		
(D) contact between the defendant's genitals or anus and any part of a		

child's body;	
(E) deriving sexual pleasure or gratification from inflicting death, bodily injury, or physical pain on a child; or	
(F) an attempt or conspiracy to engage in conduct described in subparagraphs (A)–(E).	

Page 35

RESTYLED FRE

Ru	le 415. Similar Acts in Civil Cases	NO CORRESPONDING TRE
	Involving Sexual Assault or Child Molestation	
(a)	Permitted Uses. In a civil case involving a claim for relief based on a party's alleged sexual assault or child molestation, the court may admit evidence that the party committed any other sexual assault or child molestation. The evidence may be considered as provided in Rules 413 and 414.	
(b)	Disclosure to the Opponent. If a party intends to offer this evidence, the party must disclose it to the party against whom it will be offered, including witnesses' statements or a summary of the expected testimony. The party must do so at least 15 days before trial or at a later time that the court allows for good cause.	
(c)	Effect on Other Rules. This rule does not limit the admission or consideration of evidence under any other rule.	

ARTICLE V. PRIVILEGES

RESTYLED FRE

RESTYLED TEXAS

Rule 501. Privilege in General	Rule 501. Privileges in General
The common law — as interpreted by United States courts in the light of reason and experience — governs a claim of privilege unless any of the following provides otherwise:	Unless a Constitution, a statute, or these or other rules prescribed under statutory authority provide otherwise, no person has a privilege to:
	(a) refuse to be a witness;
 the United States Constitution; a federal statute; or rules prescribed by the Supreme Court. 	(b) refuse to disclose any matter;
	(c) refuse to produce any object or writing; or
But in a civil case, state law governs privilege regarding a claim or defense for which state law supplies the rule of decision.	(d) prevent another from being a witness, disclosing any matter, or producing any object or writing.

Page 37

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 502.Attorney-ClientPrivilegeand Work Product; Limitations on Waiver	SUBSTANCE OF FRE 502 IS COVERED IN PROPOSED AREC AND SCAC
The following provisions apply, in the circumstances set out, to disclosure of a communication or information covered by the attorney-client privilege or work-product protection.	VERSION OF TRE 511, ALREADY PENDING BEFORE SUPREME COURT
(a) Disclosure Made in a Federal Proceeding or to a Federal Office or Agency; Scope of a Waiver. When the disclosure is made in a Federal proceeding or to a Federal office or agency and waives the attorney-client privilege or work- product protection, the waiver extends to an undisclosed communication or information in a Federal or State proceeding only if:	
 (1) the waiver is intentional; (2) the disclosed and undisclosed communications or information concern the same subject matter; and 	
(3) they ought in fairness to be considered together.	
(b) Inadvertent Disclosure. When made in a Federal proceeding or to a Federal office or agency, the disclosure does not operate as a waiver in a Federal or State proceeding if:	
(1) the disclosure is inadvertent;	
(2) the holder of the privilege or protection took reasonable steps to prevent disclosure; and	
(3) the holder promptly took reasonable steps to rectify the error, including (if applicable) following Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(5)(B).	
(c) Disclosure Made in a State Proceeding. When the disclosure is made in a State proceeding and is not the subject of a State- court order concerning waiver, the	

	disclosure does not operate as a waiver in a Federal proceeding if the disclosure:	
	(1) would not be a waiver under this rule if it had been made in a Federal proceeding; or	
	(2) is not a waiver under the law of the State where the disclosure occurred.	
(d)	Controlling Effect of a Court Order. A Federal court may order that the privilege or protection is not waived by disclosure connected with the litigation pending before the courtin which event the disclosure is also not a waiver in any other Federal or State proceeding.	
(e)	Controlling Effect of a Party Agreement. An agreement on the effect of disclosure in a Federal proceeding is binding only on the parties to the agreement, unless it is incorporated into a court order.	
(f)	Controlling Effect of this Rule. Notwithstanding Rules 101 and 1101, this rule applies to State proceedings and to Federal court-annexed and Federal court- mandated arbitration proceedings, in the circumstances set out in the rule. And notwithstanding Rule 501, this rule applies even if State law provides the rule of decision.	
(g)	Definitions. In this rule:	
	(1) "attorney-client privilege" means the protection that applicable law provides for confidential attorney-client communications; and	
	(2) "work-product protection" means the protection that applicable law provides for tangible material (or its intangible equivalent) prepared in anticipation of litigation or for trial.	
		1

Page 39

RESTYLED FRE

NO CORRESPONDING FRE	Rule 502.Required Reports Privileged By Statute
	(a) In General. If a law requiring a return or report to be made so provides:
	(1) a person, corporation, association, or other organization or entity—whether public or private—that makes the required return or report has a privilege to refuse to disclose it and to prevent any other person from disclosing it; and
	(2) a public officer or agency to whom the return or report must be made has a privilege to refuse to disclose it.
	(b) Exceptions. This privilege does not apply in an action involving perjury, false statements, fraud in the return or report, or other failure to comply with the law in question.

RESTYLED FRE

RESTYLED TEXAS

No condectorratic me	
NO CORRESPONDING FRE	Rule 503.Lawyer-Client Privilege
	(a) Definitions. In this rule:
	 A "client" is a person, public officer, or corporation, association, or other organization or entity–whether public or private–that:
	(A) is rendered professional legal services by a lawyer; or
	(B) consults a lawyer with a view to obtaining professional legal services.
	(2) A "client's representative" is:
	(A) a person who has authority to obtain professional legal services for the client or to act for the client on the legal advice rendered; or
	(B) any other person who, to facilitate the rendition of professional legal services to the client, makes or receives a confidential communication while acting in the scope of employment for the client.
	(3) A "lawyer" is a person authorized, or who the client reasonably believes is authorized, to practice law in any state or nation.
	(4) A "lawyer's representative" is:
	(A) one employed by the lawyer to assist in the rendition of professional legal services; or
	(B) an accountant who is reasonably necessary for the lawyer's rendition of professional legal services.
	(5) A communication is "confidential" if not intended to be disclosed to third persons other than those:

	(A) to whom disclosure is made to further the rendition of professional legal services to the client; or
	(B) reasonably necessary to transmit the communication.
(b)) Rules of Privilege.
	(1) <i>General Rule</i> . A client has a privilege to refuse to disclose and to prevent any other person from disclosing confidential communications made to facilitate the rendition of professional legal services to the client:
	(A) between the client or the client's representative and the client's lawyer or the lawyer's representative;
	(B) between the client's lawyer and the lawyer's representative;
	(C) by the client, the client's representative, the client's lawyer, or the lawyer's representative to a lawyer representing another party in a pending action or that lawyer's representative, if the communications concern a matter of common interest in the pending action;
	(D) between the client's representatives or between the client and the client's representative; or
	(E) among lawyers and their representatives representing the same client.
	(2) <i>Special Rule in a Criminal Case.</i> In a criminal case, a client has a privilege to prevent a lawyer or lawyer's representative from disclosing any other fact that came to the knowledge of the lawyer or the lawyer's representative by reason of the attorney-client relationship.

Page	43
------	----

(B) was made by any of the clients to the lawyer; and
(C) is relevant to a matter of common interest between the clients.

RESTYLED FRE

RESTYLED TEXAS

NO CORRESPONDING FRE Rule 504. **Spousal Privileges** (a) Confidential Communication Privilege. (1) Definition. A communication is "confidential" if a person makes it privately to the person's spouse and does not intend its disclosure to any other person. (2) General Rule. A person has a privilege to refuse to disclose and to prevent any other person from disclosing a confidential communication made to the person's spouse while they were married. This privilege survives termination of the marriage. (3) Who May Claim. The privilege may be claimed by: (A) the communicating spouse; (B) the guardian of an incompetent communicating spouse; or (C) the personal representative of a deceased communicating spouse. The other spouse may claim the privilege on the communicating spouse's behalf – and is presumed to have authority to do so. (4) Exceptions. This privilege does not apply: (A) Furtherance of Crime or Fraud. If the communication is made wholly or partially - to enable or aid anyone to commit or plan to commit a crime or fraud. (B) Proceeding Between Spouse and Other Spouse or Claimant Through Deceased Spouse. In a civil proceeding: (i) brought by or on behalf of one

spouse against the other; or
(ii) between a surviving spouse and a person claiming through the deceased spouse.
(C) Crime Against Family, Spouse, Household Member, or Minor Child. In a:
 (i) proceeding in which a party is accused of conduct that, if proved, is a crime against the person of the other spouse, any member of the household of either spouse, or any minor child; or
(ii) criminal proceeding involving a charge of bigamy under Section 25.01 of the Penal Code.
(D) Commitment or Similar Proceeding. In a proceeding to commit either spouse or otherwise to place the spouse or the spouse's property under another's control because of a mental or physical condition.
(E) <i>Proceeding to Establish</i> <i>Competence.</i> In a proceeding brought by or on behalf of either spouse to establish competence.
(b) Privilege Not to Testify in a Criminal Case.
(1) <i>General Rule.</i> In a criminal case, an accused's spouse has a privilege not to be called to testify for the state. But this rule neither prohibits a spouse from testifying voluntarily for the state nor gives a spouse a privilege to refuse to be called to testify for the accused.
(2) <i>Failure to Call Spouse.</i> If other evidence indicates that the accused's spouse could testify to relevant matters, an accused's failure to call the spouse to testify is a proper subject of comment

 · · · ·
by counsel.
(3) <i>Who May Claim.</i> The privilege not to testify may be claimed by the accused's spouse or the spouse's guardian or representative, but not by the accused.
(4) <i>Exceptions</i> . This privilege does not apply:
(A) Certain Criminal Proceedings. In a criminal proceeding in which a spouse is charged with:
 (i) a crime against the other spouse, any member of the household of either spouse, or any minor child; or
(ii) bigamy under Section 25.01 of the Penal Code.
(B) <i>Matters That Occurred Before the</i> <i>Marriage.</i> If the spouse is called to testify about matters that occurred before the marriage.
Comment to 2013 Restyling : Previously, Rule 504(b)(1) provided that, "A spouse who testifies on behalf of an accused is subject to cross-examination as provided in Rule 611(b)." That sentence was included in the original version of Rule 504 when the Texas Rules of Criminal Evidence were promulgated in 1986 and changed the rule to a testimonial privilege held by the witness spouse. Until then, a spouse was deemed incompetent to testify against his or her defendant spouse, and when a spouse testified on behalf of a defendant spouse, the state was limited to cross-examining the spouse about matters relating to the spouse's direct testimony. The quoted sentence from the original Criminal Rule 504(b) was designed to overturn this limitation and allow the state to cross-examine a testifying spouse in the same manner as any other witness. More than twenty-five years later, it is clear that a spouse who testifies either for or against a defendant spouse may be cross-examined in the same manner as any other witness. Therefore, the continued inclusion in

Page	47

cross-examination of a spouse who testifies on behalf of the accused is more confusing than helpful. Its deletion is designed to clarify the rule and does not change existing law.

Page 48

RESTYLED FRE

NO CORRESPONDING FRE	Rule 505. Privilege For Communications to a Clergy Member
	(a) Definitions. In this rule:
	(1) A "clergy member" is a minister, priest, rabbi, accredited Christian Science Practitioner, or other similar functionary of a religious organization or someone whom a communicant reasonably believes is a clergy member.
	(2) A "communicant" is a person who consults a clergy member in the clergy member's professional capacity as a spiritual adviser.
	(3) A communication is "confidential" if made privately and not intended for further disclosure except to other persons present to further the purpose of the communication.
	(b) General Rule. A communicant has a privilege to refuse to disclose and to prevent any other person from disclosing a confidential communication by the communicant to a clergy member in the clergy member's professional capacity as spiritual adviser.
	(c) Who May Claim. The privilege may be claimed by:
	(1) the communicant;
	(2) the communicant's guardian or conservator; or
	(3) a deceased communicant's personal representative.
	The clergy member to whom the communication was made may claim the privilege on the communicant's behalf – and is presumed to have authority to do so.

Page 49

RESTYLED FRE

NO CORRESPONDING FRE	Rule 506.Political Vote Privilege
	A person has a privilege to refuse to disclose the person's vote at a political election conducted become ballot unless the vote was cast illegally.

Page 50

RESTYLED FRE

NO CORRESPONDING FRE	Rule 507. Trade Secrets Privilege
	(a) General Rule. A person has a privilege to refuse to disclose and to prevent other persons from disclosing a trade secret owned by the person, unless the court finds that nondisclosure will tend to conceal fraud or otherwise work injustice.
	(b) Who May Claim. The privilege may be claimed by the person who owns the trade secret or the person's agent or employee.
	(c) Protective Measure. If a court orders a person to disclose a trade secret, it must take any protective measure required by the interests of the privilege holder and the parties and to further justice.

Page 51

RESTYLED FRE

NO CORRESPONDING FRE	Rule 508. Informer's Identity Privilege
	(a) General Rule. The United States, a state, or a subdivision of either has a privilege to refuse to disclose a person's identity if:
	(1) the person has furnished information to a law enforcement officer or a member of a legislative committee or its staff conducting an investigation of a possible violation of law; and
	(2) the information relates to or assists in the investigation.
	(b) Who May Claim. The privilege may be claimed by an appropriate representative of the public entity to which the informer furnished the information. The court in a criminal case must reject the privilege claim if the state objects.
	(c) Exceptions.
	(1) Voluntary Disclosure; Informer a Witness. This privilege does not apply if:
	(A) the informer's identity or the informer's interest in the communication's subject matter has been disclosed – by a privilege holder or the informer's own action – to a person who would have cause to resent the communication; or
	(B) the informer appears as a witness for the public entity.
	(2) Testimony About the Merits.
	(A) Criminal Case. In a criminal case, this privilege does not apply if the court finds a reasonable probability exists that the informer can give testimony necessary to a fair determination of guilt or innocence. If the court so finds and the public entity elects not to disclose the

informer's identity:
(i) on the defendant's motion, the court must dismiss the charges to which the testimony would relate; or
(ii) on its own motion, the court may dismiss the charges to which the testimony would relate.
(B) <i>Certain Civil Cases.</i> In a civil case in which the public entity is a party, this privilege does not apply if the court finds a reasonable probability exists that the informer can give testimony necessary to a fair determination of a material issue on the merits. If the court so finds and the public entity elects not to disclose the informer's identity, the court may make any order that justice requires.
(C) Procedures.
(i) If it appears that an informer may be able to give the testimony required to invoke this exception and the public entity claims the privilege, the court must give the public entity an opportunity to show in camera facts relevant to determining whether this exception is met. The showing should ordinarily be made by affidavits, but the court may take testimony if it finds the matter cannot be satisfactorily resolved by affidavits.
(ii) No counsel or party may attend the in camera showing.
(iii) The court must seal and preserve for appeal evidence submitted under this subparagraph (2)(C). The evidence must not otherwise be

revealed without the public entity's consent.
(3) Legality of Obtaining Evidence.
(A) Court May Order Disclosure. The court may order the public entity to disclose an informer's identity if:
(i) information from an informer is relied on to establish the legality of the means by which evidence was obtained; and
(ii) the court is not satisfied that the information was received from an informer reasonably believed to be reliable or credible.
(B) Procedures.
(i) On the public entity's request, the court must order the disclosure be made in camera.
(ii) No counsel or party may attend the in camera disclosure.
(iii) If the informer's identity is disclosed in camera, the court must seal and preserve for appeal the record of the in camera proceeding. The record of the in camera proceeding must not otherwise be revealed without the public entity's consent.

RESTYLED FRE

RESTYLED TEXAS

NO CORRESPONDING FRE	Rule 509. Physician–Patient Privilege
	(a) Definitions. In this rule:
	(1) A "patient" is a person who consults or is seen by a physician for medical care.
	(2) A "physician" is a person licensed, or who the patient reasonably believes is licensed, to practice medicine in any state or nation.
	(3) A communication is "confidential" if not intended to be disclosed to third persons other than those:
	(A) present to further the patient's interest in the consultation, examination, or interview;
	(B) reasonably necessary to transmit the communication; or
	(C) participating in the diagnosis and treatment under the physician's direction, including members of the patient's family.
	(b) Limited Privilege in a Criminal Case. There is no physician-patient privilege in a criminal case. But a confidential communication is not admissible in a criminal case if made:
	(1) to a person involved in the treatment of or examination for alcohol or drug abuse; and
	(2) by a person being treated voluntarily or being examined for admission to treatment for alcohol or drug abuse.
	(b) Limited Privilege in a Criminal Case. There is no physician-patient privilege in a criminal case. But in a criminal case, a person has a privilege to refuse to disclose and to prevent any other person from disclosing a confidential communication that was made by the person to anyone

 involved in the treatment of or examination for alcohol or drug abuse if the person was being: (1) treated voluntarily for alcohol or drug abuse; or (2) examined for admission to treatment for alcohol or drug abuse. (c) General Rule in a Civil Case. In a civil case, a patient has a privilege to refuse to disclose and to prevent any other person from disclosing: (1) a confidential communication between a physician and the patient that relates to or was made in connection with as professional services the physician rendered the patient, and (2) a record of the patient's identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment created or maintained by a physician. (d) Who May Claim in a Civil Case. The privilege may be claimed by: (1) the patient's representative on the patient's behalf. The physician may claim the privilege on the patient's behalf. The physician in a Civil Case. This privilege does not apply: (1) <i>Proceeding Against Physician</i>. If the communication or record is relevant to a physician's claim or defense in: (A) a proceeding the patient brings against a physician; or (B) a license revocation proceeding in whiteks. 		
 abuse; or (2) examined for admission to treatment for alcohol or drug abuse. (4) General Rule in a Civil Case. In a civil case, a patient has a privilege to refuse to disclose and to prevent any other person from disclosing: (1) a confidential communication between a physician and the patient that relates to or was made in connection with any professional services the physician rendered the patient; and (2) a record of the patient's identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment created or maintained by a physician. (d) Who May Claim in a Civil Case. The privilege may be claimed by: (1) the patient; or (2) the patient's representative on the patient's behalf. The physician may claim the privilege on the patient's behalf. The physician may claim the privilege on the patient's behalf. (e) Exceptions in a Civil Case. This privilege does not apply: (f) Proceeding Against Physician. If the communication or defense in: (A) a proceeding the patient brings against a physician; or (f) a license revocation proceeding in which the patient is a complaining 		for alcohol or drug abuse if the person was
 alcohol or drug abuse. (c) General Rule in a Civil Case. In a civil case, a patient has a privilege to refuse to disclose and to prevent any other person from disclosing: (1) a confidential communication between a physician and the patient that relates to or was made in connection with any professional services the physician rendered the patient's identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment created or maintained by a physician. (d) Who May Claim in a Civil Case. The privilege may be claimed by: (1) the patient's representative on the patient's behalf. The physician may claim the privilege on the patient's behalf. The physician may claim the privilege does not apply: (i) Proceeding Against Physician. If the communication or record is relevant to a physician's claim or defense in: (A) a proceeding the patient brings against a physician; or 		
 case, a patient has a privilege to refuse to disclose and to prevent any other person from disclosing: (1) a confidential communication between a physician and the patient that relates to or was made in connection with any professional services the physician rendered the patient; and (2) a record of the patient's identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment created or maintained by a physician. (d) Who May Claim in a Civil Case. The privilege may be claimed by: (1) the patient's representative on the patient's behalf. The physician may claim the privilege on the patient's behalf. The physician may claim the privilege does not apply: (1) Proceeding Against Physician. If the communication or record is relevant to a physician's claim or defense in: (A) a proceeding the patient brings against a physician; or 		
 physician and the patient that relates to or was made in connection with any professional services the physician rendered the patient; and (2) a record of the patient's identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment created or maintained by a physician. (d) Who May Claim in a Civil Case. The privilege may be claimed by: (1) the patient; or (2) the patient's representative on the patient's behalf. The physician may claim the privilege on the patient's behalf — and is presumed to have authority to do so. (e) Exceptions in a Civil Case. This privilege does not apply: (1) Proceeding Against Physician. If the communication or record is relevant to a physician's claim or defense in: (A) a proceeding the patient brings against a physician; or (B) a license revocation proceeding in which the patient is a complaining 	(case, a patient has a privilege to refuse to disclose and to prevent any other person
 diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment created or maintained by a physician. (d) Who May Claim in a Civil Case. The privilege may be claimed by: (1) the patient; or (2) the patient's representative on the patient's behalf. The physician may claim the privilege on the patient's behalf — and is presumed to have authority to do so. (e) Exceptions in a Civil Case. This privilege does not apply: (1) Proceeding Against Physician. If the communication or record is relevant to a physician's claim or defense in: (A) a proceeding the patient brings against a physician; or 		physician and the patient that relates to or was made in connection with any professional services the physician
 privilege may be claimed by: (1) the patient; or (2) the patient's representative on the patient's behalf. The physician may claim the privilege on the patient's behalf — and is presumed to have authority to do so. (e) Exceptions in a Civil Case. This privilege does not apply: (1) Proceeding Against Physician. If the communication or record is relevant to a physician's claim or defense in: (A) a proceeding the patient brings against a physician; or (B) a license revocation proceeding in which the patient is a complaining 		diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment
 (2) the patient's representative on the patient's behalf. The physician may claim the privilege on the patient's behalf — and is presumed to have authority to do so. (e) Exceptions in a Civil Case. This privilege does not apply: (1) Proceeding Against Physician. If the communication or record is relevant to a physician's claim or defense in: (A) a proceeding the patient brings against a physician; or (B) a license revocation proceeding in which the patient is a complaining 	(
 patient's behalf. The physician may claim the privilege on the patient's behalf — and is presumed to have authority to do so. (e) Exceptions in a Civil Case. This privilege does not apply: (1) Proceeding Against Physician. If the communication or record is relevant to a physician's claim or defense in: (A) a proceeding the patient brings against a physician; or (B) a license revocation proceeding in which the patient is a complaining 		(1) the patient; or
 the patient's behalf — and is presumed to have authority to do so. (e) Exceptions in a Civil Case. This privilege does not apply: (1) Proceeding Against Physician. If the communication or record is relevant to a physician's claim or defense in: (A) a proceeding the patient brings against a physician; or (B) a license revocation proceeding in which the patient is a complaining 		
does not apply:(1) Proceeding Against Physician. If the communication or record is relevant to a physician's claim or defense in:(A) a proceeding the patient brings against a physician; or(B) a license revocation proceeding in which the patient is a complaining		the patient's behalf — and is presumed to
 communication or record is relevant to a physician's claim or defense in: (A) a proceeding the patient brings against a physician; or (B) a license revocation proceeding in which the patient is a complaining 	(
against a physician; or (B) a license revocation proceeding in which the patient is a complaining		communication or record is relevant to a
which the patient is a complaining		
		which the patient is a complaining

 (2) Consent. If the patient or a person authorized to act on the patient's behalf consents in writing to the release of any privileged information, as provided in subdivision (f). (3) Action to Collect. In an action to collect
a claim for medical services rendered to the patient.
(4) <i>Party Relies on Patient's Condition.</i> If any party relies on the patient's physical, mental, or emotional condition as a part of the party's claim or defense and the communication or record is relevant to that condition.
(5) Disciplinary Investigation or Proceeding. In a disciplinary investigation of or proceeding against a physician under the Medical Practice Act, Tex. Occ. Code § 164.001 et seq., or a registered nurse under Tex. Occ. Code § 301.451 et seq. But the board conducting the investigation or proceeding must protect the identity of any patient whose medical records are examined unless:
(A) the patient's records would be subject to disclosure under paragraph (e)(1); or
(B) the patient has consented in writing to the release of medical records, as provided in subdivision (f).
(6) <i>Involuntary Civil Commitment or</i> <i>Similar Proceeding.</i> In a proceeding for involuntary civil commitment or court- ordered treatment, or a probable cause hearing under Tex. Health & Safety Code:
(A) chapter 464 (Facilities Treating Alcoholics and Drug-Dependent Persons);
(B) title 7, subtitle C (Texas Mental Health Code); or

(C) title 7, subtitle D (Persons With Mental Retardation Act).	
(7) Abuse or Neglect of "Institution" Resident. In a proceeding regarding the abuse or neglect, or the cause of any abuse or neglect, of a resident of an "institution" as defined in Tex. Health & Safety Code § 242.002.	
(f) Consent For Release of Privileged Information.	
(1) Consent for the release of privileged information must be in writing and signed by:	
(A) the patient;	
(B) a parent or legal guardian if the patient is a minor;	
(C) a legal guardian if the patient has been adjudicated incompetent to manage personal affairs;	
(D) an attorney appointed for the patient under Tex. Health & Safety Code title 7, subtitles C and D;	
(E) an attorney ad litem appointed for the patient under Tex. Prob. Code chapter XIII;	Comment [sg5]: NOTE: The Probate Code
(F) an attorney ad litem or guardian ad litem appointed for a minor under Tex. Fam. Code chapter 107, subchapter B; or	is scheduled to be replaced by the Texas Estates Code on 1/1/2014. The corresponding citation will be Tex. Estates Code title 3, subtitle E.
(G) a personal representative if the patient is deceased.	
(2) The consent must specify:	
(A) the information or medical records covered by the release;	
(B) the reasons or purposes for the release; and	
(C) the person to whom the information	

	is to be released.
	(3) The patient, or other person authorized to consent, may withdraw consent to the release of any information. But a withdrawal of consent does not affect any information disclosed before the patient or authorized person gave written notice of the withdrawal.
	(4) Any person who receives information privileged under this rule may disclose the information only to the extent consistent with the purposes specified in the consent.
patie in T Act, art. priv phy. enad now the the dele	nment to 2013 Restyling: The physician- ent privilege in a civil case was first enacted 'exas in 1981 as part of the Medical Practice , formerly codified in Tex. Rev. Civ. Stat. 4495b. That statute provided that the ilege applied even if a patient had received a sician's services before the statute's etment. Because more than thirty years have <i>v</i> passed, it is no longer necessary to burden text of the rule with a statement regarding privilege's retroactive application. But ting this statement from the rule's text is not nded as a substantive change in the law.
or" subb beer only excc com cour by t only 101 adm Adr app prov ager recc excl	to former rule's reference to "confidentiality and "administrative proceedings" in division (e) [Exceptions in a Civil Case] has n deleted. First, this rule is a privilege rule 7. Tex. Occ. Code § 159.004 sets forth eptions to a physician's duty to maintain fidentiality of patient information outside rt and administrative proceedings. Second, heir own terms the rules of evidence govern 7 proceedings in Texas courts. See Rule (b). To the extent the rules apply in ninistrative proceedings, it is because the ministrative Procedure Act mandates their licability. Tex. Gov't Code § 2001.083 vides that "In a contested case, a state ncy shall give effect to the rules of privilege ognized by law." Section 2001.091 ludes privileged material from discovery in tested administrative cases.
Stat	utory references in the former rule that are

	no longer up-to-date have been revised.

Page 60

RESTYLED FRE

NO CORRESPONDING FRE	Rule 510.Mental Health Information Privilege in Civil Cases
	(a) Definitions. In this rule:
	(1) A "professional" is a person:
	(A) authorized to practice medicine in any state or nation;
	(B) licensed or certified by the State of Texas in the diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of any mental or emotional disorder;
	(C) involved in the treatment or examination of drug abusers; or
	(D) who the patient reasonably believes to be a professional under this rule.
	(2) A "patient" is a person who:
	(A) consults or is interviewed by a professional for diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of any mental or emotional condition or disorder, including alcoholism and drug addiction; or
	(B) is being treated voluntarily or being examined for admission to voluntary treatment for drug abuse.
	(3) A "patient's representative" is:
	(A) any person who has the patient's written consent;
	(B) the parent of a minor patient;
	(C) the guardian of a patient who has been adjudicated incompetent to manage personal affairs; or
	(D) the personal representative of a deceased patient.
	(4) A communication is "confidential" if

not intended to be disclosed to third persons other than those:
 (A) present to further the patient's interest in the diagnosis, examination, evaluation, or treatment;
(B) reasonably necessary to transmit the communication; or
(C) participating in the diagnosis, examination, evaluation, or treatment under the professional's direction, including members of the patient's family.
(b) General Rule; Disclosure.
 In a civil case, a patient has a privilege to refuse to disclose and to prevent any other person from disclosing:
(A) a confidential communication between the patient and a professional; and
(B) a record of the patient's identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment that is created or maintained by a professional.
(2) In a civil case, any person — other than a patient's representative acting on the patient's behalf — who receives information privileged under this rule may disclose the information only to the extent consistent with the purposes for which it was obtained.
(c) Who May Claim. The privilege may be claimed by:
(1) the patient; or
(2) the patient's representative on the patient's behalf.
The professional may claim the privilege on the patient's behalf — and is presumed to have authority to do so.

(d) Exceptions. This privilege does not apply:
(1) <i>Proceeding Against Professional.</i> If the communication or record is relevant to a professional's claim or defense in:
(A) a proceeding the patient brings against a professional; or
(B) a license revocation proceeding in which the patient is a complaining witness.
(2) <i>Written Waiver</i> . If the patient or a person authorized to act on the patient's behalf waives the privilege in writing.
(3) <i>Action to Collect.</i> In an action to collect a claim for mental or emotional health services rendered to the patient.
(4) <i>Communication Made in Court-</i> <i>Ordered Examination.</i> To a communication the patient made to a professional during a court-ordered examination relating to the patient's mental or emotional condition or disorder if:
(A) the patient made the communication after being informed that it would not be privileged;
(B) the communication is offered to prove an issue involving the patient's mental or emotional health; and
(C) the court imposes appropriate safeguards against unauthorized disclosure.
(5) <i>Party Relies on Patient's Condition.</i> If any party relies on the patient's physical, mental, or emotional condition as a part of the party's claim or defense and the communication or record is relevant to that condition.

(6) Abuse or Neglect of "Institution" Resident. In a proceeding regarding the abuse or neglect, or the cause of any abuse or neglect, of a resident of an "institution" as defined in Tex. Health & Safety Code § 242.002.
Comment to 2013 Restyling: The mental health information privilege in civil cases was enacted in Texas in 1979. Tex. Rev. Civ. STAT. ART. 5561H (LATER CODIFIED AT TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 611.001 ET SEQ.) PROVIDED that the privilege applied even if the patient had received the professional's services before the statute's enactment. Because more than thirty years have now passed, it is no longer necessary to burden the text of the rule with a statement regarding the privilege's retroactive application. But deleting this statement from the rule's text is not intended as a substantive change in the law.

RESTYLED FRE	RESTYLED TEXAS
NO CORRESPONDING FRE	Rule 511. [PROPOSED AREC AND SCAC VERSIONS OF RULE 511 ALREADY PENDING BEFORE SUPREME COURT]

Page 65

RESTYLED FRE

NO CORRESPONDING FRE	Rule 512.Privileged Matter Disclosed Under Compulsion or Without Opportunity to Claim Privilege
	A privilege claim is not defeated by a disclosure that was:
	(a) compelled erroneously; or
	(b) made without opportunity to claim the privilege.

Page 66

RESTYLED FRE

NO CORRESPONDING FRE	Rule 513. Comment On or Inference From a Privilege Claim; Instruction
	(a) Comment or Inference Not Permitted. Except as permitted in Rule 504(b)(2), neither the court nor counsel may comment on a privilege claim – whether made in the present proceeding or previously – and the factfinder may not draw an inference from the claim.
	(b) Claiming Privilege Without the Jury's Knowledge. To the extent practicable, the court must conduct a jury trial so that the making of a privilege claim is not suggested to the jury by any means.
	(c) Claim of Privilege Against Self– Incrimination in a Civil Case. Subdivisions (a) and (b) do not apply to a party's claim, in the present civil case, of the privilege against self-incrimination.
	(d) Jury Instruction. When this rule forbids a jury from drawing an inference from a privilege claim, the court must, on request of a party against whom the jury might draw the inference, instruct the jury accordingly.

ARTICLE VI. WITNESSES

RESTYLED FRE

RESTYLED TEXAS

Rule 601. Competency to Testify in General	Rule 601. Competency to Testify in General; "Dead Man's Rule"
Every person is competent to be a witness unless these rules provide otherwise. But in a civil case, state law governs the witness's competency regarding a claim or defense for which state law supplies the rule of decision.	(a) In General. Every person is competent to be a witness unless these rules provide otherwise. The following witnesses are incompetent:
which state law supplies the full of decision.	(1) <i>Insane Persons.</i> A person who is now insane or was insane at the time of the events about which the person is called to testify.
	(2) <i>Persons Lacking Sufficient Intellect.</i> A child—or any other person—who the court examines and finds lacks sufficient intellect to testify.
	(b) The "Dead Man's Rule."
	(1) <i>Applicability</i> . The "Dead Man's Rule" applies only in a civil case:
	 (A) by or against a party in the party's capacity as an executor, administrator, or guardian; or
	(B) by or against a decedent's heirs or legal representatives and based in whole or in part on the decedent's oral statement.
	(2) General Rule. In cases described in subparagraph (b)(1)(A), a party may not testify against another party about an oral statement by the testator, intestate, or ward. In cases described in subparagraph (b)(1)(B), a party may not testify against another party about an oral statement by the decedent.
	(3) <i>Exceptions.</i> A party may testify against another party about an oral statement by the testator, intestate, ward, or decedent if:

(A) the norty's testimenty shout the
(A) the party's testimony about the statement is corroborated; or
(B) the opposing party calls the party to testify at the trial about the statement.
(4) <i>Instructions.</i> If a court excludes evidence under paragraph (b)(2), the court must instruct the jury that the law prohibits a party from testifying about an oral statement by the testator, intestate, ward, or decedent unless the oral statement is corroborated or the opposing party calls the party to testify at the trial about the statement.
at the trial about the statement. Comment to 2013 Restyling: The text of the "Dead Man's Rule" has been streamlined to clarify its meaning without making any substantive changes. The text of former Rule 601(b) (as well as its statutory predecessor, Vernon's Ann.Civ.St. art. 3716) prohibits only a "party" from testifying about the dead man's statements. Despite this, the last sentence of former Rule 601(b) requires the court to instruct the jury when the rule "prohibits an interested party or witness" from testifying. Because the rule prohibits only a "party" from testifying, restyled Rule 601(b)(4) references only "a party," and not "an interested party or witness." To be sure, courts have indicated that the rule (or its statutory predecessor) may be applicable to a witness who is not nominally a party and inapplicable to a witness who is only nominally a party. See, e.g., Chandler v. Welborn, 156 Tex. 312, 294 S.W.2d 801, 809 (1956); Ragsdale v. Ragsdale, 142 Tex. 476, 179 S.W.2d 291, 295 (1944). But these decisions are based on an interpretation of the meaning of "party." Therefore, limiting the court's instruction under restyled Rule 601(b)(4) to "a party" does not change Texas practice. In addition, restyled Rule 601(b) deletes the sentence in former Rule 601(b) that
states "Except for the foregoing, a witness is not precluded from giving evidence because the witness is a party to the action"
This sentence is surplusage. Rule 601(b) is a rule of exclusion. If the testimony falls outside the rule of exclusion, its admissibility will be

determined evidence.	by	other	applicable	rules	of

Page 70

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 602.	Need	for	Personal	Rule 602.	Need	for	Personal
	Knowle	dge			Knowlee	lge	
evidence is i finding tha knowledge of personal kn	introduced s t the wi of the matter owledge r yn testimon	sufficient to itness has er. Evidenc nay consi y. This rul	e support a s personal e to prove st of the le does not	A witness r evidence is if finding that knowledge of personal kni witness's ow apply to a v Rule 703.	introduced s t the wi of the matte lowledge r n testimon	sufficient tness ha er. Eviden nay cons y. This ru	to support a as personal ace to prove sist of the ale does not

Page 71

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 603.	Oath Testify		Affirmation thfully	to	Rule 603.			Affirmation thfully	to
or affirmatio	n to testify gned to imp	truth	must give an afully. It must b s that duty on	be in	or affirmation	n to testify gned to ir	/ truth	nfully. It must l	be in

Page 72

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 604.	Interpreter	Rule 604.	Interpreter
	1 0	1	must be qualified and must give affirmation to make a true

Page 73

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 605.	Judge's Con Witness	npetency	as a	Rule 605.	Judge's Witness	Competency	as	a
	trial. A party n			The presiding witness at the t preserve the iss	trial. A pa			

Page 74

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 606. Juror's Competency as a Witness	Rule 606. Juror's Competency as a Witness
(a) At the Trial. A juror may not testify as a witness before the other jurors at the trial. If a juror is called to testify, the court must give a party an opportunity to object outside the jury's presence.	(a) At the Trial. A juror may not testify as a witness before the other jurors at the trial. If a juror is called to testify, the court must give a party an opportunity to object outside the jury's presence.
(b) During an Inquiry into the Validity of a Verdict or Indictment.	(b) During an Inquiry into the Validity of a Verdict or Indictment.
 (1) Prohibited Testimony or Other Evidence. During an inquiry into the validity of a verdict or indictment, a juror may not testify about any statement made or incident that occurred during the jury's deliberations; the effect of anything on that juror's or another juror's vote; or any juror's mental processes concerning the verdict or indictment. The court may not receive a juror's affidavit or evidence of a juror's statement on these matters. (2) Exceptions. A juror may testify about whether: (A) extraneous prejudicial information was improperly brought to the jury's attention; (B) an outside influence was improperly brought to bear on any juror; or (C) a mistake was made in entering the verdict on the verdict form. 	 Prohibited Testimony or Other Evidence. During an inquiry into the validity of a verdict or indictment, a juror may not testify about any statement made or incident that occurred during the jury's deliberations; the effect of anything on that juror's or another juror's vote; or any juror's mental processes concerning the verdict or indictment. The court may not receive a juror's affidavit or evidence of a juror's statement on these matters. Exceptions. A juror may testify: (A) about whether an outside influence was improperly brought to bear on any juror; or (B) to rebut a claim that the juror was not qualified to serve.

Page 75

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 607	Who Witnes	May ss	Impeach	a	Rule 607.	Who Witnes	May ss	Impeach	a
	y, including t hay attack the	1 2			Any party, ir witness, may	0	1 2		

Page 76

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 608. A Witness's Character for Truthfulness or Untruthfulness	Rule 608. A Witness's Character for Truthfulness or Untruthfulness
(a) Reputation or Opinion Evidence. A witness's credibility may be attacked or supported by testimony about the witness's reputation for having a character for truthfulness or untruthfulness, or by testimony in the form of an opinion about that character. But evidence of truthful character is admissible only after the witness's character for truthfulness has been attacked.	(a) Reputation or Opinion Evidence. A witness's credibility may be attacked or supported by testimony about the witness's reputation for having a character for truthfulness or untruthfulness, or by testimony in the form of an opinion about that character. But evidence of truthful character is admissible only after the witness's character for truthfulness has been attacked.
(b) Specific Instances of Conduct. Except for a criminal conviction under Rule 609, extrinsic evidence is not admissible to prove specific instances of a witness's conduct in order to attack or support the witness's character for truthfulness. But the court may, on cross-examination, allow them to be inquired into if they are probative of the character for truthfulness or untruthfulness of:	(b) Specific Instances of Conduct. Except for a criminal conviction under Rule 609, a party may not inquire into or offer extrinsic evidence to prove specific instances of the witness's conduct in order to attack or support the witness's character for truthfulness.
(1) the witness; or	
(2) another witness whose character the witness being cross-examined has testified about.	
By testifying on another matter, a witness does not waive any privilege against self- incrimination for testimony that relates only to the witness's character for truthfulness.	

Page 77

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 609.	Impeachment by Evidence of a Criminal Conviction	Rule 609. Impeachment by Evidence of a Criminal Conviction
	a Criminal Conviction	a Criminal Conviction
(a) In General. The following rules apply to attacking a witness's character for truthfulness by evidence of a criminal conviction:		conviction offered to attack a witness's
juriso or by	a crime that, in the convicting diction, was punishable by death v imprisonment for more than one the evidence:	 (1) the crime was a felony or involved moral turpitude, regardless of punishment;
4	nust be admitted, subject to Rule 103, in a civil case or in a criminal ase in which the witness is not a	(2) the probative value of the evidence outweighs its prejudicial effect to a party; and
d	lefendant; and	(3) it is elicited from the witness or established by public record.
i d ti	nust be admitted in a criminal case n which the witness is a lefendant, if the probative value of he evidence outweighs its orejudicial effect to that defendant; nd	
punis admi deter elem — c	any crime regardless of the shment, the evidence must be tted if the court can readily mine that establishing the ents of the crime required proving or the witness's admitting — a onest act or false statement.	
(b) Limit on Using the Evidence After 10 Years. This subdivision (b) applies if more than 10 years have passed since the witness's conviction or release from confinement for it, whichever is later. Evidence of the conviction is admissible only if:		(b) Limit on Using the Evidence After 10 Years. This subdivision (b) applies if more than 10 years have passed since the witness's conviction or release from confinement for it, whichever is later. Evidence of the conviction is admissible only if its probative value, supported by specific facts and circumstances.
speci subst	probative value, supported by fic facts and circumstances, antially outweighs its prejudicial t; and	substantially outweighs its prejudicial effect.
reaso	proponent gives an adverse party mable written notice of the intent se it so that the party has a fair	

opportunity to contest its use.	
(c) Effect of a Pardon, Annulment, or Certificate of Rehabilitation. Evidence of a conviction is not admissible if:	(c) Effect of a Pardon, Annulment, or Certificate of Rehabilitation. Evidence of a conviction is not admissible if:
(1) the conviction has been the subject of a pardon, annulment, certificate of rehabilitation, or other equivalent procedure based on a finding that the person has been rehabilitated, and the person has not been convicted of a later crime punishable by death or by imprisonment for more than one year; or	(1) the conviction has been the subject of a pardon, annulment, certificate of rehabilitation, or other equivalent procedure based on a finding that the person has been rehabilitated, and the person has not been convicted of a later crime that was classified as a felony or involved moral turpitude, regardless of punishment;
(2) the conviction has been the subject of a pardon, annulment, or other equivalent procedure based on a finding of innocence.	(2) probation has been satisfactorily completed for the conviction, and the person has not been convicted of a later crime that was classified as a felony or involved moral turpitude, regardless of punishment; or
	(3) the conviction has been the subject of a pardon, annulment, or other equivalent procedure based on a finding of innocence.
(d) Juvenile Adjudications. Evidence of a juvenile adjudication is admissible under this rule only if:	(d) Juvenile Adjudications. Evidence of a juvenile adjudication is admissible under this rule only if:
(1) it is offered in a criminal case;(2) the adjudication was of a witness other	(1) the witness is a party in a proceeding conducted under title 3 of the Texas Family Code; or
(3) an adult's conviction for that offense would be admissible to attack the adult's credibility; and	(2) the United States or Texas Constitution requires it be admitted.
(4) admitting the evidence is necessary to fairly determine guilt or innocence.	
(e) Pendency of an Appeal. A conviction that satisfies this rule is admissible even if an appeal is pending. Evidence of the pendency is also admissible.	(e) Pendency of an Appeal. A conviction for which an appeal is pending is not admissible under this rule.
	(f) Notice. Evidence of a witness's conviction is not admissible under this rule if, after receiving from the adverse party a timely

Page	79
i ugo	

written request specifying the witness, the
proponent of the conviction fails to provide
sufficient written notice of intent to use the
conviction. Notice is sufficient if
it provides a fair opportunity to contest the use of such evidence.

Page 80

RESTYLED FRE RESTYLED TEXAS

Rule 610.	Religious	Beliefs or	Opinions	Rule 610.	Religious	Beliefs or	Opinion	S
	ot admissible			Evidence of a opinions is not the witness's cr	admissible			

Page 81

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 611.ModeandOrderofExaminingWitnessesandPresentingEvidence	Rule 611.ModeandOrderofExaminingWitnessesandPresentingEvidence
(a) Control by the Court; Purposes. The court should exercise reasonable control over the mode and order of examining witnesses and presenting evidence so as to:	(a) Control by the Court; Purposes. The court should exercise reasonable control over the mode and order of examining witnesses and presenting evidence so as to:
(1) make those procedures effective for determining the truth;	 make those procedures effective for determining the truth;
(2) avoid wasting time; and	(2) avoid wasting time; and
(3) protect witnesses from harassment or undue embarrassment.	(3) protect witnesses from harassment or undue embarrassment.
(b) Scope of Cross-Examination. Cross- examination should not go beyond the subject matter of the direct examination and matters affecting the witness's credibility. The court may allow inquiry into additional matters as if on direct examination.	(b) Scope of Cross-Examination. A witness may be cross-examined on any relevant matter, including credibility.
(c) Leading Questions. Leading questions should not be used on direct examination except as necessary to develop the witness's testimony. Ordinarily, the court should allow leading questions:	(c) Leading Questions. Leading questions should not be used on direct examination except as necessary to develop the witness's testimony. Ordinarily, the court should allow leading questions:
(1) on cross-examination; and	(1) on cross-examination; and
(2) when a party calls a hostile witness, an adverse party, or a witness identified with an adverse party.	(2) when a party calls a hostile witness, an adverse party, or a witness identified with an adverse party.

Page 82

RESTYLED FRE

	le 612. Writing Used to Refresh a tness's Memory	Rule 612. Writing Used to Refresh a Witness's Memory
(a)	Scope. This rule gives an adverse party certain options when a witness uses a writing to refresh memory:	(a) Scope. This rule gives an adverse party certain options when a witness uses a writing to refresh memory:
	(1) while testifying; or	(1) while testifying;
	(2) before testifying, if the court decides that justice requires the party to have those options.	(2) before testifying, in civil cases, if the court decides that justice requires the party to have those options; or
		(3) before testifying, in criminal cases.
(b)	Adverse Party's Options; Deleting Unrelated Matter. Unless 18 U.S.C. § 3500 provides otherwise in a criminal case, an adverse party is entitled to have the writing produced at the hearing, to inspect it, to cross-examine the witness about it, and to introduce in evidence any portion that relates to the witness's testimony. If the producing party claims that the writing includes unrelated matter, the court must examine the writing in camera, delete any unrelated portion, and order that the rest be delivered to the adverse party. Any portion deleted over objection must be preserved for the record.	(b) Adverse Party's Options; Deleting Unrelated Matter. An adverse party is entitled to have the writing produced at the hearing, to inspect it, to cross-examine the witness about it, and to introduce in evidence any portion that relates to the witness's testimony. If the producing party claims that the writing includes unrelated matter, the court must examine the writing in camera, delete any unrelated portion, and order that the rest be delivered to the adverse party. Any portion deleted over objection must be preserved for the record.
(c)	Failure to Produce or Deliver the Writing. If a writing is not produced or is not delivered as ordered, the court may issue any appropriate order. But if the prosecution does not comply in a criminal case, the court must strike the witness's testimony or — if justice so requires — declare a mistrial.	(c) Failure to Produce or Deliver the Writing. If a writing is not produced or is not delivered as ordered, the court may issue any appropriate order. But if the prosecution does not comply in a criminal case, the court must strike the witness's testimony or — if justice so requires — declare a mistrial.

(a) Showing or Disclosing the Statement

During Examination. When examining a witness about the witness's prior statement,

a party need not show it or disclose its contents to the witness. But the party must,

on request, show it or disclose its contents

Statement.

evidence of a witness's prior inconsistent statement is admissible only if the witness is given an opportunity to explain or deny

the statement and an adverse party is given

an opportunity to examine the witness about it, or if justice so requires. This

subdivision (b) does not apply to an

opposing party's statement under Rule

of a

to an adverse party's attorney.

Evidence

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 613.

(b) Extrinsic

Inconsistent

801(d)(2).

RESTYLED TEXAS

VERSION 1: Rule 613. Witness's Prior Statement and Bias or Interest

(a) Witness's Prior Inconsistent Statement.

(1) *Foundation Requirement.* When examining a witness about the witness's prior inconsistent statement—whether oral or written—a party must first tell the witness:

(A) the contents of the statement;

- (B) the time and place of the statement; and
- (C) the person to whom the witness made the statement.
- (2) *Need Not Show Written Statement.* If the witness's prior inconsistent statement is written, a party need not show it to the witness before inquiring about it, but must, upon request, show it to opposing counsel.
- (3) *Opportunity to Explain or Deny.* A witness must be given the opportunity to explain or deny the prior inconsistent statement.
- (4) *Extrinsic Evidence*. Extrinsic evidence of a witness's prior inconsistent statement is not admissible unless the witness is first examined about the statement and fails to unequivocally admit making the statement.
- (5) *Opposing Party's Statement.* This subdivision (a) does not apply to an opposing party's statement under Rule 801(e)(2).
- (b) Witness's Bias or Interest.
 - (1) *Foundation Requirement.* When examining a witness about the witness's bias or interest, a party must first tell the

Comment [sg6]: PRACTICE-ORIENTED VERSION

RE RESTY
Witness's Prior Statement VERS

Prior

Extrinsic

witness the circumstances or statements that tend to show the witness's bias or interest. If examining a witness about a statement—whether oral or written—to prove the witness's bias or interest, a party must tell the witness:
(A) the contents of the statement;
(B) the time and place of the statement; and
(C) the person to whom the statement was made.
(2) <i>Need Not Show Written Statement.</i> If a party uses a written statement to prove the witness's bias or interest, a party need not show the statement to the witness before inquiring about it, but must, upon request, show it to opposing counsel.
(3) <i>Opportunity to Explain or Deny.</i> A witness must be given the opportunity to explain or deny the circumstances or statements that tend to show the witness's bias or interest. And the witness's proponent may present evidence to rebut the charge of bias or interest.
(4) <i>Extrinsic Evidence.</i> Extrinsic evidence of a witness's bias or interest is not admissible unless the witness is first examined about the bias or interest and fails to unequivocally admit it.
(c) Witness's Prior Consistent Statement. Unless Rule 801(e)(1)(B) provides otherwise, a witness's prior consistent statement is not admissible if offered solely to enhance the witness's credibility.

(a) Showing or Disclosing the Statement

During Examination. When examining a witness about the witness's prior statement,

a party need not show it or disclose its contents to the witness. But the party must,

on request, show it or disclose its contents

Statement.

evidence of a witness's prior inconsistent statement is admissible only if the witness

is given an opportunity to explain or deny the statement and an adverse party is given

an opportunity to examine the witness about it, or if justice so requires. This

subdivision (b) does not apply to an

opposing party's statement under Rule

to an adverse party's attorney.

Evidence

Witness's Prior Statement

of a

Prior

Extrinsic

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 613.

(b) Extrinsic

Inconsistent

801(d)(2).

RESTYLED TEXAS

VERSION 2: Rule 613. Witness's Prior Statement and Bias or Interest

(a) Witness's Prior Inconsistent Statement.

- (1) *Foundation Requirement.* When examining a witness about the witness's prior inconsistent statement—whether oral or written—and before offering extrinsic evidence of the statement, a party must provide the witness:
 - (A) the contents of the statement;
 - (B) the time and place of the statement;
 - (C) the person to whom the witness made the statement; and
 - (D) an opportunity to explain or deny the statement.
- (2) Need Not Show Written Statement. If the witness's prior inconsistent statement is written, a party need not show it to the witness before inquiring about it, but must, upon request, show it to opposing counsel.
- (3) *Extrinsic Evidence*. Extrinsic evidence of a witness's prior inconsistent statement is not admissible if the witness unequivocally admits making the statement.
- (4) *Opposing Party's Statement.* This subdivision (a) does not apply to an opposing party's statement under Rule 801(e)(2).

(b) Witness's Bias or Interest.

(1) *Foundation Requirement.* When examining a witness about and before offering extrinsic evidence of the witness's bias or interest, a party must first tell the witness the circumstances or statements that tend to show the

Comment [sg7]: TEXT-ORIENTED VERSION

witness's bias or interest and give the witness an opportunity to explain or deny the circumstances or statements. If examining a witness about a statement—whether oral or written—to prove the witness's bias or interest, a party must tell the witness:
(A) the contents of the statement;
(B) the time and place of the statement; and
(C) the person to whom the statement was made.
(2) <i>Need Not Show Written Statement.</i> If a party uses a written statement to prove the witness's bias or interest, a party need not show the statement to the witness before inquiring about it, but must, upon request, show it to opposing counsel.
(3) <i>Proponent May Rebut.</i> A witness's proponent may present evidence to rebut the charge of bias or interest.
(4) <i>Extrinsic Evidence.</i> Extrinsic evidence of a witness's bias or interest is not admissible if the witness unequivocally admits the bias or interest.
(c) Witness's Prior Consistent Statement. Unless Rule 801(e)(1)(B) provides otherwise, a witness's prior consistent statement is not admissible if offered solely to enhance the witness's credibility.

Page 87

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 615. Excluding Witnesses	Rule 614. Excluding Witnesses	Comment [SG8]: FRE 614 is titled "Court's
At a party's request, the court must order witnesses excluded so that they cannot hear other witnesses' testimony. Or the court may do so on its own. But this rule does not authorize excluding:	At a party's request, the court must order witnesses excluded so that they cannot hear other witnesses' testimony. Or the court may do so on its own. But this rule does not authorize excluding:	Calling or Examining a Witness." There is no corresponding rule in the TRE.
(a) a party who is a natural person;	(a) a party who is a natural person and, in civil cases, that person's spouse;	
(b) an officer or employee of a party that is not a natural person, after being designated as the party's representative by its attorney;	(b) after being designated as the party's representative by its attorney:	
	(1) in a civil case, an officer or employee of a party that is not a natural person; or	
	(2) in a criminal case, a defendant that is not a natural person;	
(c) a person whose presence a party shows to be essential to presenting the party's claim or defense; or	(c) a person whose presence a party shows to be essential to presenting the party's claim or defense; or	
(d) a person authorized by statute to be present.	(d) the victim in a criminal case, unless the court determines that the victim's testimony would be materially affected by hearing other testimony at the trial.	

RESTYLED FRE

RESTYLED TEXAS

[Criminal Procedure] Rule 26.2. Producing a	Rule 615. Producing a Witness's
Witness's Statement	Statement in Criminal Cases
(a) Motion to Produce. After a witness other than the defendant has testified on direct examination, the court, on motion of a party who did not call the witness, must order an attorney for the government or the defendant and the defendant's attorney to produce, for the examination and use of the moving party, any statement of the witness that is in their possession and that relates to the subject matter of the witness's testimony.	(a) Motion to Produce. After a witness other than the defendant testifies on direct examination, the court, on motion of a party who did not call the witness, must order an attorney for the state or the defendant and the defendant's attorney to produce, for the examination and use of the moving party, any statement of the witness that is in their possession and that relates to the subject matter of the witness's testimony.
(b) Producing the Entire Statement. If the entire statement relates to the subject matter of the witness's testimony, the court must order that the statement be delivered to the moving party.	(b) Producing the Entire Statement. If the entire statement relates to the subject matter of the witness's testimony, the court must order that the statement be delivered to the moving party.
(c) Producing a Redacted Statement. If the party who called the witness claims that the statement contains information that is privileged or does not relate to the subject matter of the witness's testimony, the court must inspect the statement in camera. After excising any privileged or unrelated portions, the court must order delivery of the redacted statement to the moving party. If the defendant objects to an excision, the court must preserve the entire statement with the excised portion indicated, under seal, as part of the record.	(c) Producing a Redacted Statement. If the party who called the witness claims that the statement contains information that does not relate to the subject matter of the witness's testimony, the court must inspect the statement in camera. After excising any unrelated portions, the court must order delivery of the redacted statement to the moving party. If a party objects to an excision, the court must preserve the entire statement with the excised portion indicated, under seal, as part of the record.
(d) Recess to Examine a Statement. The court may recess the proceedings to allow time for a party to examine the statement and prepare for its use.	(d) Recess to Examine a Statement. On the moving party's request, the court must recess the proceedings to allow time for a party to examine the statement and prepare for its use.
(e) Sanction for Failure to Produce or Deliver a Statement. If the party who called the witness disobeys an order to produce or deliver a statement, the court must strike the witness's testimony from the record. If an attorney for the government disobeys the order, the court must declare a mistrial if justice so requires.	(e) Sanction for Failure to Produce or Deliver a Statement. If the party who called the witness disobeys an order to produce or deliver a statement, the court must strike the witness's testimony from the record. If an attorney for the state disobeys the order, the court must declare a mistrial if justice so requires.

(f) "Statement" Defined. As used in this rule, a witness's "statement" means:	(f) "Statement" Defined. As used in this rule, a witness's "statement" means:
(1) a written statement that the witness makes and signs, or otherwise adopts or approves;	(1) a written statement that the witness makes and signs, or otherwise adopts or approves;
(2) a substantially verbatim, contemporaneously recorded recital of the witness's oral statement that is contained in any recording or any transcription of a recording; or	(2) a substantially verbatim, contemporaneously recorded recital of the witness's oral statement that is contained in any recording or any transcription of a recording; or
(3) the witness's statement to a grand jury, however taken or recorded, or a transcription of such a statement.	(3) the witness's statement to a grand jury, however taken or recorded, or a transcription of such a statement.
(g) Scope. This rule applies at trial, at a suppression hearing under Rule 12, and to the extent specified in the following rules:	
(1) Rule 5.1(h) (preliminary hearing);	
(2) Rule 32(i)(2) (sentencing);	
(3) Rule 32.1(e) (hearing to revoke or modify probation or supervised release);	
(4) Rule 46(j) (detention hearing); and	
(5) Rule 8 of the Rules.	

Page 90

ARTICLE VII. OPINIONS AND EXPERT TESTIMONY

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 701. Opinion Testimony by Lay Witnesses	Rule 701. Opinion Testimony by Lay Witnesses
If a witness is not testifying as an expert, testimony in the form of an opinion is limited to one that is:	If a witness is not testifying as an expert, testimony in the form of an opinion is limited to one that is:
(a) rationally based on the witness's perception;	(a) rationally based on the witness's perception; and
(b) helpful to clearly understanding the witness's testimony or to determining a fact in issue; and	(b) helpful to clearly understanding the witness's testimony or to determining a fact in issue.
(c) not based on scientific, technical, or other specialized knowledge within the scope of Rule 702.	Comment to 2013 Restyling: All references to an "inference" have been deleted because this makes the Rule flow better and easier to read, and because any "inference" is covered by the broader term "opinion." Courts have not made substantive decisions on the basis of any distinction between an opinion and an inference. No change in current practice is intended.

Page 91

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 702. Testimony by Expert Witnesses	Rule 702. Testimony by Expert Witnesses
A witness who is qualified as an expert by knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education may testify in the form of an opinion or otherwise if:	A witness who is qualified as an expert by knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education may testify in the form of an opinion or otherwise if the expert's scientific, technical, or other specialized knowledge will help the
(a) the expert's scientific, technical, or other specialized knowledge will help the trier of fact to understand the evidence or to determine a fact in issue;	trier of fact to understand the evidence or to
(b) the testimony is based on sufficient facts or data;	
(c) the testimony is the product of reliable principles and methods; and	
(d) the expert has reliably applied the principles and methods to the facts of the case.	

Page 92

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 703. Bases of an Expert's Opinion	Rule 703. Bases of an Expert's Opinion
Testimony	Testimony
An expert may base an opinion on facts or data in the case that the expert has been made aware of or personally observed. If experts in the particular field would reasonably rely on those kinds of facts or data in forming an opinion on the subject, they need not be admissible for the opinion to be admitted. But if the facts or data would otherwise be inadmissible, the proponent of the opinion may disclose them to the jury only if their probative value in helping the jury evaluate the opinion substantially outweighs their prejudicial effect.	An expert may base an opinion on facts or data in the case that the expert has been made aware of, reviewed, or personally observed. If experts in the particular field would reasonably rely on those kinds of facts or data in forming an opinion on the subject, they need not be admissible for the opinion to be admitted. Comment to 2013 Restyling: All references to an "inference" have been deleted because this makes the Rule flow better and easier to read, and because any "inference" is covered by the broader term "opinion." Courts have not made substantive decisions on the basis of any distinction between an opinion and an inference. No change in current practice is intended.

RESTYLED FRE

RESTYLED TEXAS

Rule 704.	Opinion on an Ultimate Issue	Rule 704.Opinion on an Ultimate Issue
Objectio	eral — Not Automatically nable. An opinion is not able just because it embraces an ssue.	An opinion is not objectionable just because it embraces an ultimate issue.
witness i whether t mental sta element	n. In a criminal case, an expert nust not state an opinion about he defendant did or did not have a ate or condition that constitutes an of the crime charged or of a Those matters are for the trier of 2.	

RESTYLED FRE

RESTYLED TEXAS

Rule 705. Disclosing the Facts or Data Underlying an Expert's Opinion	Rule 705.Disclosing the Underlying Facts or Data and Examining an Expert About Them
Unless the court orders otherwise, an expert may state an opinion — and give the reasons for it — without first testifying to the underlying facts or data. But the expert may be required to disclose those facts or data on cross-examination.	(a) Stating an Opinion Without Disclosing the Underlying Facts or Data. Unless the court orders otherwise, an expert may state an opinion — and give the reasons for it — without first testifying to the underlying facts or data. But the expert may be required to disclose those facts or data on cross-examination.
	(b) Voir Dire Examination of an Expert About the Underlying Facts or Data. Before an expert states an opinion or discloses the underlying facts or data, an adverse party in a civil case may — or in a criminal case must — be permitted to examine the expert about the underlying facts or data. This examination must take place outside the jury's hearing.
	(c) Admissibility of Opinion. An expert's opinion is inadmissible if the underlying facts or data do not provide a sufficient basis for the opinion.
	(d) When Otherwise Inadmissible Underlying Facts or Data May Be Disclosed; Instructing the Jury. If the underlying facts or data would otherwise be inadmissible, the proponent of the opinion may not disclose them to the jury if their probative value in helping the jury evaluate the opinion is outweighed by their prejudicial effect. If the court allows the proponent to disclose those facts or data the court must, upon timely request, restrict the evidence to its proper scope and instruct the jury accordingly.
	Comment to 2013 Restyling: All references to an "inference" have been deleted because this makes the Rule flow better and easier to read, and because any "inference" is covered by the broader term "opinion." Courts have not made substantive decisions on the basis of any distinction between an opinion and an

inference.	No	change	in	current	practice	is
intended.						

Page 96

RESTYLED FRE RESTYLED TEXAS

Notwithstanding any other evidence rule, the court must admit an auditor's verified report prepared under Rule of Civil Procedure 172 and offered by a party. If a party files exceptions to the report, a party may offer evidence supporting the exceptions to	NO CORRESPONDING FRE.	Rule 706. Audit in Civil Cases	 Comment [SG9]: FRE 706 is titled Court-
contradict the report.		court must admit an auditor's verified report prepared under Rule of Civil Procedure 172 and offered by a party. If a party files exceptions to the report, a party may offer evidence supporting the exceptions to	Appointed Expert Witnesses. There is no

Page 97

ARTICLE VIII. HEARSAY

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 801.Definitions That Apply to This Article; Exclusions from Hearsay	Rule 801. Definitions That Apply to This Article; Exclusions from Hearsay		
(a) Statement. "Statement" means a person's oral assertion, written assertion, or nonverbal conduct, if the person intended it as an assertion.	(a) Statement. "Statement" means a person's oral or written verbal expression, or nonverbal conduct that a person intended as a substitute for verbal expression.		
(b) Declarant. "Declarant" means the person who made the statement.	(b) Declarant. "Declarant" means the person who made the statement.		
	(c) Matter Asserted. "Matter asserted" means:		
	(1) any matter a declarant explicitly asserts; and		
	(2) any matter implied by a statement, if the probative value of the statement as offered flows from the declarant's belief about the matter.		
(c) Hearsay. "Hearsay" means a statement that:	(d) Hearsay. "Hearsay" means a statement that:		
(1) the declarant does not make while testifying at the current trial or hearing; and	(1) the declarant does not make while testifying at the current trial or hearing; and		
(2) a party offers in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted in the statement.	(2) a party offers in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted in the statement.		
(d) Statements That Are Not Hearsay. A statement that meets the following conditions is not hearsay:	(e) Statements That Are Not Hearsay. A statement that meets the following conditions is not hearsay:		
(1) <i>A</i> Declarant-Witness's Prior Statement. The declarant testifies and is subject to cross-examination about a prior statement, and the statement:	(1) <i>A Declarant-Witness's Prior</i> <i>Statement.</i> The declarant testifies and is subject to cross-examination about a prior statement, and the statement:		
 (A) is inconsistent with the declarant's testimony and was given under penalty of perjury at a trial, 	(A) is inconsistent with the declarant's testimony and:		

 hearing, or other proceeding or in a deposition; (i) when offered in a civil case, was given under penalty of perfury at trial, hearing, or other proceeding or in a deposition; or (ii) when offered in a civil case, was given under penalty of perfury at trial, hearing, or other proceeding or in a deposition; or (iii) when offered in a criminal case, was given under penalty of perfury at a trial, hearing, or other proceeding—except a grand jury proceeding—or in a deposition; (B) is consistent with the declarant's testimony and is offered to rebut an express or implied charge that the declarant perceived earlier. (c) An Opposing Party's Statement. The statement is offered against an opposing party and: (A) was made by the party in an individual or representative capacity; (B) is one the party manifested that it adopted or believed to be true; (C) was made by a person whom the party authorized to make a statement on the subject; (D) was made by the party's agent or employee on a matter within the scope of that relationship and while it existed; or (E) was made by the party's agent or employee on a matter within the scope of that relationship and while it existed; or (E) was made by the party's agent or employee on a matter within the scope of that relationship and while it existed; or (E) was made by the party's agent or employee on a matter within the scope of that relationship and while it existed; or (E) was made by the party's agent or employee on a matter within the scope of that relationship and while it existed; or (E) was made by the party's agent or employee on a matter within the scope of that relationship and while it existed; or (d) was made by the party's agent or employee on a matter within the scope of that		
 (C) identifies a person as someone the declarant perceived earlier. (B) is consistent with the declarant's testimony and is offered to rebut an express or implied charge that the declarant recently fabricated it or acted from a recent improper influence or motive in so testifying; or (C) identifies a person as someone the declarant perceived earlier. (2) An Opposing Party's Statement. The statement is offered against an opposing party and: (A) was made by the party in an individual or representative capacity; (B) is one the party manifested that it adopted or believed to be true; (C) was made by a person whom the party authorized to make a statement on the subject; (D) was made by the party's agent or employee on a matter within the scope of that relationship and while it existed; or (E) was made by the party's agent or employee on a matter within the scope of that relationship and while it existed; or (E) was made by the party's agent or employee on a matter within the scope of that relationship and while it existed; or (E) was made by the party's agent or employee on a matter within the scope of that relationship and while it existed; or (E) was made by the party's agent or employee on a matter within the scope of that relationship and while it existed; or 	deposition;(B) is consistent with the declarant's testimony and is offered to rebut an express or implied charge that the declarant recently fabricated it or acted from a recent improper influence or motive in so	 was given under penalty of perjury at a trial, hearing, or other proceeding or in a deposition; or (ii) when offered in a criminal case, was given under penalty of perjury at a trial,
 (2) An Opposing Party's Statement. The statement is offered against an opposing party and: (2) An Opposing Party's Statement. The statement is offered against an opposing party and: (A) was made by the party in an individual or representative capacity; (B) is one the party manifested that it adopted or believed to be true; (C) was made by a person whom the party authorized to make a statement on the subject; (D) was made by the party's agent or employee on a matter within the scope of that relationship and while it existed; or (E) was made by the party's agent or employee on a matter within the scope of that relationship and while it existed; or (E) was made by the party's agent or employee on a matter within the scope of that relationship and while it existed; or (E) was made by the party's agent or employee on a matter within the scope of that relationship and while it existed; or (E) was made by the party's agent or employee on a matter within the scope of that relationship and while it existed; or (E) was made by the party's agent or employee on a matter within the scope of that relationship and while it existed; or 	(C) identifies a person as someone the	proceeding—except a grand jury proceeding—or in a
 (2) An Opposing Party's Statement. The statement is offered against an opposing party and: (A) was made by the party in an individual or representative capacity; (B) is one the party manifested that it adopted or believed to be true; (C) was made by a person whom the party authorized to make a statement on the subject; (D) was made by the party's agent or employee on a matter within the scope of that relationship and while it existed; or (E) was made by the party's agent or during and in (E) was made by the party's agent or during and in 		testimony and is offered to rebut an express or implied charge that the declarant recently fabricated it or acted from a recent improper influence or motive in so
 statement is offered against an opposing party and: (A) was made by the party in an individual or representative capacity; (B) is one the party manifested that it adopted or believed to be true; (C) was made by a person whom the party authorized to make a statement on the subject; (D) was made by the party's agent or employee on a matter within the scope of that relationship and while it existed; or (E) was made by the party's gand in (E) was made by the party's coconspirator during and in 		
 individual or representative capacity; (B) is one the party manifested that it adopted or believed to be true; (C) was made by a person whom the party authorized to make a statement on the subject; (D) was made by the party's agent or employee on a matter within the scope of that relationship and while it existed; or (E) was made by the party's coconspirator during and in (B) is one the party manifested that it adopted or believed to be true; (B) is one the party manifested that it adopted or believed to be true; (C) was made by a person whom the party authorized to make a statement on the subject; (D) was made by the party's agent or employee on a matter within the scope of that relationship and while it existed; or (E) was made by the party's coconspirator during and in 	statement is offered against an	statement is offered against an
 adopted or believed to be true; (C) was made by a person whom the party authorized to make a statement on the subject; (D) was made by the party's agent or employee on a matter within the scope of that relationship and while it existed; or (E) was made by the party's coconspirator during and in (C) was made by a person whom the party authorized to be true; (C) was made by a person whom the party authorized to make a statement on the subject; (D) was made by the party's agent or employee on a matter within the scope of that relationship and while it existed; or (E) was made by the party's coconspirator during and in 	individual or representative	individual or representative
 party authorized to make a statement on the subject; (D) was made by the party's agent or employee on a matter within the scope of that relationship and while it existed; or (E) was made by the party's coconspirator during and in (D) was made by the party's agent or employee on a matter within the scope of that relationship and while it existed; or (D) was made by the party's agent or employee on a matter within the scope of that relationship and while it existed; or (E) was made by the party's coconspirator during and in 		
 employee on a matter within the scope of that relationship and while it existed; or (E) was made by the party's coconspirator during and in (E) was made by the party's coconspirator during and in 	party authorized to make a	party authorized to make a
coconspirator during and in coconspirator during and in	employee on a matter within the scope of that relationship and while	employee on a matter within the scope of that relationship and
	coconspirator during and in	coconspirator during and in
The statement must be considered but does not by itself establish the (3) <i>A Deponent's Statement</i> . In a civil case, the statement was made in a		

R "a TI no ac st st "a pa "a co fo	comment to 2013 Restyling: Statements illing under the hearsay exclusion provided by ule $801(e)(2)$ are no longer referred to as admissions" in the title to the subdivision. he term "admissions" is confusing because of all statements covered by the exclusion are dmissions in the colloquial sense — a atement can be within the exclusion even if it admitted" nothing and was not against the arty's interest when made. The term admissions" also raises confusion in omparison with the Rule $803(24)$ exception or declarations against interest. No change in oplication of the exclusion is intended.
w Ph su re C C W W P P ap ap ap W W C C V V V T T T T t th ei d d d st St W P P N M n c C L V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	he deletion of former Rule $801(e)(1)(D)$, hich cross-references Code of Criminal rocedure art. 38.071 , is not intended as a abstantive change. Including this cross- ference made sense when the Texas Rules of riminal Evidence were first promulgated, but ith subsequent changes to the statutory rovision, its inclusion is no longer propriate. The version of article 38.071 that as initially cross-referenced in the Rules of riminal Evidence required the declarant- tic to be available to testify at the trial. hat requirement has since been deleted from the statute, and the statute no longer requires ther the availability or testimony of the eclarant-victim. Thus, cross-referencing the atute in Rule $801(e)(1)$, which applies only hen the declarant testifies at trial about the rior statement, no longer makes sense. Ioreover, article 38.071 is but one of a umber of statutes that mandate the admission f certain hearsay statements in particular recumstances. See, e.g., Code of Criminal rocedure art. 38.072 ; Family Code §§ 54.031, 04.002, 104.006. These statutory provisions ke precedence over the general rule ccluding hearsay, see Rules $101(c)$ and 802 ,

such provisions.

RESTYLED FRE

RESTYLED TEXAS

Rule 802.The Rule Against Hearsay	Rule 802.The Rule Against Hearsay
Hearsay is not admissible unless any of the following provides otherwise:	Hearsay is not admissible unless any of the following provides otherwise:
 a federal statute; these rules; or other rules prescribed by the Supreme Court. 	 a statute; these rules; or other rules prescribed under statutory authority.
	Inadmissible hearsay admitted without objection may not be denied probative value merely because it is hearsay.

Page 102

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 803.Exceptions to the Rule Against Hearsay — Regardless of Whether the Declarant Is Available as a WitnessThe following are not excluded by the rule against hearsay, regardless of whether the declarant is available as a witness:	Rule 803.Exceptions to the Rule Against Hearsay — Regardless of Whether the Declarant Is Available as a WitnessThe following are not excluded by the rule against hearsay, regardless of whether the declarant is available as a witness:
(1) <i>Present Sense Impression.</i> A statement describing or explaining an event or condition, made while or immediately after the declarant perceived it.	(1) <i>Present Sense Impression.</i> A statement describing or explaining an event or condition, made while or immediately after the declarant perceived it.
(2) <i>Excited Utterance.</i> A statement relating to a startling event or condition, made while the declarant was under the stress of excitement that it caused.	(2) <i>Excited Utterance.</i> A statement relating to a startling event or condition, made while the declarant was under the stress of excitement that it caused.
(3) <i>Then-Existing Mental, Emotional, or</i>	(3) Then-Existing Mental, Emotional, or
<i>Physical Condition.</i> A statement of the	Physical Condition. A statement of the
declarant's then-existing state of mind	declarant's then-existing state of mind
(such as motive, intent, or plan) or	(such as motive, intent, or plan) or
emotional, sensory, or physical	emotional, sensory, or physical
condition (such as mental feeling, pain,	condition (such as mental feeling, pain,
or bodily health), but not including a	or bodily health), but not including a
statement of memory or belief to prove	statement of memory or belief to prove
the fact remembered or believed unless	the fact remembered or believed unless
it relates to the validity or terms of the	it relates to the validity or terms of the
declarant's will.	declarant's will.
(4) <i>Statement Made for Medical</i>	(4) Statement Made for Medical
<i>Diagnosis or Treatment.</i> A statement	Diagnosis or Treatment. A statement
that:	that:
 (A) is made for — and is reasonably	 (A) is made for — and is reasonably
pertinent to — medical diagnosis	pertinent to — medical diagnosis
or treatment; and	or treatment; and
(B) describes medical history; past or	 (B) describes medical history; past or
present symptoms or sensations;	present symptoms or sensations;

their inception; or their genera	their inception; or their general
cause.	cause.

(5) <i>Recorded Recollection</i> . A record that:	(5) <i>Recorded Recollection</i> . A record that:
(A) is on a matter the witness once knew about but now cannot recall well enough to testify fully and accurately;	(A) is on a matter the witness once knew about but now cannot recall well enough to testify fully and accurately;
(B) was made or adopted by the witness when the matter was fresh in the witness's memory; and	(B) was made or adopted by the witness when the matter was fresh in the witness's memory; and
(C) accurately reflects the witness's knowledge.If admitted, the record may be read into evidence but may be received as an exhibit only if offered by an adverse	(C) accurately reflects the witness's knowledge, unless the circumstances of the record's preparation cast doubt on its trustworthiness.
party.	If admitted, the record may be read into evidence but may be received as an exhibit only if offered by an adverse party.
(6) <i>Records of a Regularly Conducted</i> <i>Activity.</i> A record of an act, event, condition, opinion, or diagnosis if:	(6) <i>Records of a Regularly Conducted</i> <i>Activity.</i> A record of an act, event, condition, opinion, or diagnosis if:
 (A) the record was made at or near the time by — or from information transmitted by — someone with knowledge; 	(A) the record was made at or near the time by — or from information transmitted by — someone with knowledge;
(B) the record was kept in the course of a regularly conducted activity of a business, organization, occupation, or calling, whether or not for profit;	(B) the record was kept in the course of a regularly conducted business activity;(C) making the record was a regular
(C) making the record was a regular	practice of that activity;
practice of that activity;	(D) all these conditions are shown by the testimony of the custodian or
(D) all these conditions are shown by the testimony of the custodian or another qualified witness, or by a certification that complies with	another qualified witness, or by an affidavit or unsworn declaration that complies with Rule 902(10); and

Rule 902(11) or (12) or with a statute permitting certification; and(E) neither the source of information nor the method or circumstances of preparation indicate a lack of trustworthiness.	 (E) the opponent fails to show that the source of information or the method or circumstances of preparation indicate a lack of trustworthiness. "Business" as used in this paragraph includes every kind of regular organized activity whether conducted for profit or not.
(7) Absence of a Record of a Regularly Conducted Activity. Evidence that a matter is not included in a record described in paragraph (6) if:	(7) Absence of a Record of a Regularly Conducted Activity. Evidence that a matter is not included in a record described in paragraph (6) if:
(A) the evidence is admitted to prove that the matter did not occur or exist;	(A) the evidence is admitted to prove that the matter did not occur or exist;
(B) a record was regularly kept for a matter of that kind; and	(B) a record was regularly kept for a matter of that kind; and
(C) neither the possible source of the information nor other circumstances indicate a lack of trustworthiness.	(C) the opponent fails to show that the possible source of the information or other circumstances indicate a lack of trustworthiness.
(8) <i>Public Records.</i> A record or statement of a public office if:	(8) <i>Public Records.</i> A record or statement of a public office if:
(A) it sets out:	(A) it sets out:
(i) the office's activities;	(i) the office's activities;
 (ii) a matter observed while under a legal duty to report, but not including, in a criminal case, a matter observed by law- enforcement personnel; or 	(ii) a matter observed while under a legal duty to report, but not including, in a criminal case, a matter observed by law- enforcement personnel; or
(iii) in a civil case or against the government in a criminal case, factual findings from a legally authorized investigation; and	(iii) in a civil case or against the government in a criminal case, factual findings from a legally authorized investigation; and
(B) neither the source of information	(B) the opponent fails to show that the

 nor other circumstances indicate a lack of trustworthiness. (9) Public Records of Vital Statistics. A record of a birth, death, or marriage, if reported to a public office in accordance with a legal duty. 	 source of information or other circumstances indicate a lack of trustworthiness. (9) Public Records of Vital Statistics. A record of a birth, death, or marriage, if reported to a public office in accordance with a legal duty. 	
 (10) Absence of a Public Record. Testimony — or a certification under Rule 902 — that a diligent search failed to disclose a public record or statement if the testimony or certification is admitted to prove that: (A) the record or statement does not exist; or (B) a matter did not occur or exist, if a public office regularly kept a record or statement for a matter of that kind. 	 (10) Absence of a Public Record. Testimony — or a certification under Rule 902 — that a diligent search failed to disclose a public record or statement if the testimony or certification is admitted to prove that: (A) the record or statement does not exist; or (B) a matter did not occur or exist, if a public office regularly kept a record or statement for a matter of that kind. 	Comment [SG10]: On April 16, 2013, the Supreme Court transmitted to Congress an amended version of Rule 803(10), which— unless disapproved by Congress—will become effective December 1, 2013. The amendment requires the prosecution in a criminal case to provide written notice of its intent to offer a certification under this exception and gives the defense a time period to object.
(11) Records of Religious Organizations Concerning Personal or Family History. A statement of birth, legitimacy, ancestry, marriage, divorce, death, relationship by blood or marriage, or similar facts of personal or family history, contained in a regularly kept record of a religious organization.	(11) Records of Religious Organizations Concerning Personal or Family History. A statement of birth, legitimacy, ancestry, marriage, divorce, death, relationship by blood or marriage, or similar facts of personal or family history, contained in a regularly kept record of a religious organization.	
 (12) Certificates of Marriage, Baptism, and Similar Ceremonies. A statement of fact contained in a certificate: (A) made by a person who is authorized by a religious organization or by law to perform the act certified; (B) attesting that the person performed a marriage or similar 	 (12) Certificates of Marriage, Baptism, and Similar Ceremonies. A statement of fact contained in a certificate: (A) made by a person who is authorized by a religious organization or by law to perform the act certified; (B) attesting that the person performed a marriage or similar 	

ceremony or administered a sacrament; and	ceremony or administered a sacrament; and
(C) purporting to have been issued at the time of the act or within a reasonable time after it.	(C) purporting to have been issued at the time of the act or within a reasonable time after it.
(13) <i>Family Records.</i> A statement of fact about personal or family history contained in a family record, such as a Bible, genealogy, chart, engraving on a ring, inscription on a portrait, or engraving on an urn or burial marker.	(13) <i>Family Records.</i> A statement of fact about personal or family history contained in a family record, such as a Bible, genealogy, chart, engraving on a ring, inscription on a portrait, or engraving on an urn or burial marker.
(14) <i>Records of Documents That Affect</i> <i>an Interest in Property.</i> The record of a document that purports to establish or affect an interest in property if:	(14) <i>Records of Documents That Affect</i> <i>an Interest in Property.</i> The record of a document that purports to establish or affect an interest in property if:
(A) the record is admitted to prove the content of the original recorded document, along with its signing and its delivery by each person who purports to have signed it;	(A) the record is admitted to prove the content of the original recorded document, along with its signing and its delivery by each person who purports to have signed it;
(B) the record is kept in a public office; and	(B) the record is kept in a public office; and
(C) a statute authorizes recording documents of that kind in that office.	(C) a statute authorizes recording documents of that kind in that office.
(15) Statements in Documents That Affect an Interest in Property. A statement contained in a document that purports to establish or affect an interest in property if the matter stated was relevant to the document's purpose — unless later dealings with the property are inconsistent with the truth of the statement or the purport of the document.	(15) Statements in Documents That Affect an Interest in Property. A statement contained in a document that purports to establish or affect an interest in property if the matter stated was relevant to the document's purpose — unless later dealings with the property are inconsistent with the truth of the statement or the purport of the document.
(16) <i>Statements in Ancient Documents.</i> A statement in a document that is at least 20 years old and whose authenticity is	(16) <i>Statements in Ancient Documents.</i> A statement in a document that is at least 20 years old and whose

established.	authenticity is established.
(17) <i>Market Reports and Similar</i> <i>Commercial Publications.</i> Market quotations, lists, directories, or other compilations that are generally relied on by the public or by persons in particular occupations.	(17) <i>Market Reports and Similar</i> <i>Commercial Publications.</i> Market quotations, lists, directories, or other compilations that are generally relied on by the public or by persons in particular occupations.
(19) Statements in Learned Transform	(10) Statements in Lemmed Tractices
(18) Statements in Learned Treatises, Periodicals, or Pamphlets. A statement contained in a treatise, periodical, or pamphlet if:	(18) <i>Statements in Learned Treatises,</i> <i>Periodicals, or Pamphlets.</i> A statement contained in a treatise, periodical, or pamphlet if:
(A) the statement is called to the attention of an expert witness on cross-examination or relied on by the expert on direct examination; and	(A) the statement is called to the attention of an expert witness on cross-examination or relied on by the expert on direct examination; and
(B) the publication is established as a reliable authority by the expert's admission or testimony, by another expert's testimony, or by judicial notice.	(B) the publication is established as a reliable authority by the expert's admission or testimony, by another expert's testimony, or by judicial notice.
If admitted, the statement may be read into evidence but not received as an exhibit.	If admitted, the statement may be read into evidence but not received as an exhibit.
(19) Reputation Concerning Personal or Family History. A reputation among a person's family by blood, adoption, or marriage — or among a person's associates or in the community — concerning the person's birth, adoption, legitimacy, ancestry, marriage, divorce, death, relationship by blood, adoption, or marriage, or similar facts of personal or family history.	(19) Reputation Concerning Personal or Family History. A reputation among a person's family by blood, adoption, or marriage — or among a person's associates or in the community — concerning the person's birth, adoption, legitimacy, ancestry, marriage, divorce, death, relationship by blood, adoption, or marriage, or similar facts of personal or family history.
((20) <i>Reputation Concerning Boundaries</i> <i>or General History.</i> A reputation in a community — arising before the	(20) <i>Reputation Concerning Boundaries</i> <i>or General History.</i> A reputation in a community — arising before the
controversy — concerning boundaries	controversy concerning boundaries

of land in the community or customs that affect the land, or concerning general historical events important to that community, state, or nation. (21) Reputation Concerning Character. A reputation among a person's associates or in the community concerning the person's character.	 of land in the community or customs that affect the land, or concerning general historical events important to that community, state, or nation. (21) Reputation Concerning Character. A reputation among a person's associates or in the community concerning the person's character.
 (22) Judgment of a Previous Conviction. Evidence of a final judgment of conviction if: (A) the judgment was entered after a 	 (22) Judgment of a Previous Conviction. Evidence of a final judgment of conviction if: (A) it is offered in a civil case and:
 (II) the judgitient was entered after a trial or guilty plea, but not a nolo contendere plea; (B) the conviction was for a crime punishable by death or by imprisonment for more than a year; 	 (i) the judgment was entered after a trial or guilty plea, but not a nolo contendere plea; (ii) the conviction was for a felony;
 (C) the evidence is admitted to prove any fact essential to the judgment; and (D) when offered by the prosecutor in a criminal case for a purpose other than impeachment, the judgment was against the defendant. 	 (iii) the evidence is admitted to prove any fact essential to the judgment; and (iv) an appeal of the conviction is not pending; or (B) it is offered in a criminal case
The pendency of an appeal may be shown but does not affect admissibility.	 and: (i) the judgment was entered after a trial or a guilty or nolo contendere plea; (ii) the conviction was for a criminal offense; (iii) the evidence is admitted to prove any fact essential to the judgment; (iv) when offered by the prosecutor for a purpose other than impeachment, the judgment was against the

Page 109

	defendant; and
	(v) an appeal of the conviction is not pending.
 (23) Judgments Involving Personal, Family, or General History or a Boundary. A judgment that is admitted to prove a matter of personal, family, or general history, or boundaries, if the matter: (A) was essential to the judgment; and (B) could be proved by evidence of reputation. 	 (23) Judgments Involving Personal, Family, or General History or a Boundary. A judgment that is admitted to prove a matter of personal, family, or general history, or boundaries, if the matter: (A) was essential to the judgment; and (B) could be proved by evidence of reputation.
Rule 804(b)(3)	(24) Statement Against Interest. A
(2) Statement Amingt Interest A	statement that:
(3) <i>Statement Against Interest.</i> A statement that:	(A) a reasonable person in the declarant's position would have
(A) a reasonable person in the declarant's position would have made only if the person believed it to be true because, when made, it was so contrary to the declarant's proprietary or pecuniary interest or had so great a tendency to invalidate the declarant's claim against someone else or to expose the declarant to civil or criminal liability; and	made only if the person believed it to be true because, when made, it was so contrary to the declarant's proprietary or pecuniary interest or had so great a tendency to invalidate the declarant's claim against someone else or to expose the declarant to civil or criminal liability or to make the declarant an object of hatred, ridicule, or disgrace; and
(B) is supported by corroborating circumstances that clearly indicate its trustworthiness, if it is offered in a criminal case as one that tends to expose the declarant to criminal liability.	(B) is supported by corroborating circumstances that clearly indicate its trustworthiness, if it is offered in a criminal case as one that tends to expose the declarant to criminal liability.

Page 110

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 804. Exceptions to the Rule Against Hearsay — When the Declarant Is Unavailable as a Witness	Rule 804. Exceptions to the Rule Against Hearsay — When the Declarant Is Unavailable as a Witness
(a) Criteria for Being Unavailable. A declarant is considered to be unavailable as a witness if the declarant:	(a) Criteria for Being Unavailable. A declarant is considered to be unavailable as a witness if the declarant:
(1) is exempted from testifying about the subject matter of the declarant's statement because the court rules that a privilege applies;	(1) is exempted from testifying about the subject matter of the declarant's statement because the court rules that a privilege applies;
(2) refuses to testify about the subject matter despite a court order to do so;	(2) refuses to testify about the subject matter despite a court order to do so;
(3) testifies to not remembering the subject matter;	(3) testifies to not remembering the subject matter;
(4) cannot be present or testify at the trial or hearing because of death or a then- existing infirmity, physical illness, or mental illness; or	(4) cannot be present or testify at the trial or hearing because of death or a then- existing infirmity, physical illness, or mental illness; or
(5) is absent from the trial or hearing and the statement's proponent has not been able, by process or other reasonable means, to procure:	(5) is absent from the trial or hearing and the statement's proponent has not been able, by process or other reasonable means, to procure the declarant's attendance or testimony.
 (A) the declarant's attendance, in the case of a hearsay exception under Rule 804(b)(1) or (6); or (B) the declarant's attendance or testimony, in the case of a hearsay exception under Rule 804(b)(2), (3), or (4). 	But this subdivision (a) does not apply if the statement's proponent procured or wrongfully caused the declarant's unavailability as a witness in order to prevent the declarant from attending or testifying.
But this subdivision (a) does not apply if the statement's proponent procured or wrongfully caused the declarant's unavailability as a witness in order to prevent the declarant from attending or testifying.	

Page 111

RESTYLED FRE

(b) The Exceptions. The following are not excluded by the rule against hearsay if the declarant is unavailable as a witness:	(b) The Exceptions. The following are not excluded by the rule against hearsay if the declarant is unavailable as a witness:
 (1) Former Testimony. Testimony that: (A) was given as a witness at a trial, hearing, or lawful deposition, whether given during the current proceeding or a different one; and (B) is now offered against a party who had — or, in a civil case, whose predecessor in interest had — an opportunity and similar motive to 	 (1) Former Testimony. Testimony that: (A) when offered in a civil case: (i) was given as a witness at a trial or hearing of the current or a different proceeding or was given as a witness in a deposition in a different proceeding; and
develop it by direct, cross-, or redirect examination.	 (ii) is now offered against a party and the party—or a person with similar interest—had an opportunity and similar motive to develop the testimony by direct, cross-, or redirect examination. (B) when offered in a criminal case: (i) was given as a witness at a trial or hearing, whether given during the current or a different proceeding and dif
	 different proceeding; and (ii) is now offered against a party who had an opportunity and similar motive to develop it by direct, cross-, or redirect examination; or (iii) was taken in a deposition under—and is now offered in accordance with—chapter 39 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.
(2) Statement Under the Belief of <i>Imminent Death.</i> In a prosecution for homicide or in a civil case, a statement that the declarant, while believing the	(2) <i>Statement Under the Belief of Imminent Death.</i> A statement that the declarant, while believing the declarant's death to be imminent, made

declarant's death to be imminent, made about its cause or circumstances.	about its cause or circumstances.
 4) Statement of Personal or Family History. A statement about: (A) the declarant's own birth, adoption, legitimacy, ancestry, marriage, divorce, relationship by blood, adoption or marriage, or similar facts of personal or family history, even though the declarant had no way of acquiring personal knowledge about that fact; or 	 (3) Statement of Personal or Family History. A statement about: (A) the declarant's own birth, adoption, legitimacy, ancestry, marriage, divorce, relationship by blood, adoption or marriage, or similar facts of personal or family history, even though the declarant had no way of acquiring personal knowledge about that fact; or
(B) another person concerning any of these facts, as well as death, if the declarant was related to the person by blood, adoption, or marriage or was so intimately associated with the person's family that the declarant's information is likely to be accurate.	(B) another person concerning any of these facts, as well as death, if the declarant was related to the person by blood, adoption, or marriage or was so intimately associated with the person's family that the declarant's information is likely to be accurate.
6) Statement Offered Against a Party That Wrongfully Caused the Declarant's Unavailability. A statement offered against a party that wrongfully caused — or acquiesced in wrongfully causing — the declarant's unavailability as a witness, and did so intending that result.	NO CORRESPONDING TRE

Comment [sg11]: The Legislature passed SB 1360, which includes a forfeiture by wrongdoing provision. It is codified in new Code of Crim.Proc. art. 38.49. It applies to criminal cases only and is broader than a hearsay exception.

Page 113

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 805.	Hearsay Within Hearsay		Rule 805.	Hearsay Within Hearsay
rule agains	t hearsay if each part of t statements conforms with	the	rule against	hin hearsay is not excluded by the t hearsay if each part of the statements conforms with an the rule.

Page 114

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 806. Attacking and Supporting the Declarant's Credibility	Rule 806. Attacking and Supporting the Declarant's Credibility
When a hearsay statement — or a statement described in Rule $801(d)(2)(C)$, (D), or (E) — has been admitted in evidence, the declarant's credibility may be attacked, and then supported, by any evidence that would be admissible for those purposes if the declarant had testified as a witness. The court may admit evidence of the declarant's inconsistent statement or conduct, regardless of when it occurred or whether the declarant had an opportunity to explain or deny it. If the party against whom the statement was admitted calls the declarant as a witness, the party may examine the declarant on the statement as if on cross-examination.	When a hearsay statement — or a statement described in Rule $801(e)(2)(C)$, (D), or (E), or, in a civil case, a statement described in Rule $801(e)(3)$ — has been admitted in evidence, the declarant's credibility may be attacked, and then supported, by any evidence that would be admissible for those purposes if the declarant had testified as a witness. The court may admit evidence of the declarant's statement or conduct, offered to impeach the declarant, regardless of when it occurred or whether the declarant had an opportunity to explain or deny it. If the party against whom the statement was admitted calls the declarant as a witness, the party may examine the declarant on the statement as if on cross-examination.

Page 115

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 807. Residual Exception	NO CORRESPONDING TRE
(a) In General. Under the following circumstances, a hearsay statement is not excluded by the rule against hearsay even if the statement is not specifically covered by a hearsay exception in Rule 803 or 804:	
(1) the statement has equivalent circumstantial guarantees of trustworthiness;	
(2) it is offered as evidence of a material fact;	
(3) it is more probative on the point for which it is offered than any other evidence that the proponent can obtain through reasonable efforts; and	
(4) admitting it will best serve the purposes of these rules and the interests of justice.	
(b) Notice. The statement is admissible only if, before the trial or hearing, the proponent gives an adverse party reasonable notice of the intent to offer the statement and its particulars, including the declarant's name and address, so that the party has a fair opportunity to meet it.	

Page 116

ARTICLE IX. AUTHENTICATION AND IDENTIFCATION

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 901. Authenticating or Identifying Evidence Identifying Evidence Identifying Evidence	Rule 901. Authenticating or Identifying Evidence
(a) In General. To satisfy the requirement of authenticating or identifying an item of evidence, the proponent must produce evidence sufficient to support a finding that the item is what the proponent claims it is.	(a) In General. To satisfy the requirement of authenticating or identifying an item of evidence, the proponent must produce evidence sufficient to support a finding that the item is what the proponent claims it is.
(b) Examples. The following are examples only — not a complete list — of evidence that satisfies the requirement:	(b) Examples. The following are examples only — not a complete list — of evidence that satisfies the requirement:
(1) <i>Testimony of a Witness with</i> <i>Knowledge.</i> Testimony that an item is what it is claimed to be.	(1) <i>Testimony of a Witness with</i> <i>Knowledge.</i> Testimony that an item is what it is claimed to be.
(2) <i>Nonexpert Opinion About</i> <i>Handwriting.</i> A nonexpert's opinion that handwriting is genuine, based on a familiarity with it that was not acquired for the current litigation.	(2) <i>Nonexpert Opinion About Handwriting.</i> A nonexpert's opinion that handwriting is genuine, based on a familiarity with it that was not acquired for the current litigation.
(3) <i>Comparison by an Expert Witness or the Trier of Fact.</i> A comparison with an authenticated specimen by an expert witness or the trier of fact.	(3) Comparison by an Expert Witness or the Trier of Fact. A comparison by an expert witness or the trier of fact with a specimen that the court has found is genuine.
(4) <i>Distinctive Characteristics and the</i> <i>Like.</i> The appearance, contents, substance, internal patterns, or other distinctive characteristics of the item, taken together with all the circumstances.	(4) <i>Distinctive Characteristics and the</i> <i>Like.</i> The appearance, contents, substance, internal patterns, or other distinctive characteristics of the item, taken together with all the circumstances.
 (5) Opinion About a Voice. An opinion identifying a person's voice — whether heard firsthand or through mechanical or electronic transmission or recording — based on hearing the voice at any 	(5) Opinion About a Voice. An opinion identifying a person's voice — whether heard firsthand or through mechanical or electronic transmission or recording — based on hearing the

time under circumstances that connect it with the alleged speaker.	voice at any time under circumstances that connect it with the alleged speaker.
(6) <i>Evidence About a Telephone</i>	(6) Evidence About a Telephone
<i>Conversation.</i> For a telephone	Conversation. For a telephone
conversation, evidence that a call was	conversation, evidence that a call was
made to the number assigned at the	made to the number assigned at the
time to:	time to:
(A) a particular person, if	(A) a particular person, in
circumstances, including self-	circumstances, including self-
identification, show that the person	identification, show that the person
answering was the one called; or	answering was the one called; or
(B) a particular business, if the call was	(B) a particular business, if the cal
made to a business and the call	was made to a business and the
related to business reasonably	call related to business reasonably
transacted over the telephone.	transacted over the telephone.
(7) <i>Evidence About Public Records.</i>	(7) <i>Evidence About Public Records</i>
Evidence that:	Evidence that:
(A) a document was recorded or filed	(A) a document was recorded or file
in a public office as authorized by	in a public office as authorized by
law; or	law; or
(B) a purported public record or statement is from the office where items of this kind are kept.	(B) a purported public record o statement is from the office when items of this kind are kept.
(8) <i>Evidence About Ancient Documents</i>	(8) Evidence About Ancient Document
<i>or Data Compilations.</i> For a document	or Data Compilations. For a document
or data compilation, evidence that it:	or data compilation, evidence that it:
(A) is in a condition that creates no suspicion about its authenticity;	(A) is in a condition that creates ne suspicion about its authenticity;
(B) was in a place where, if authentic, it would likely be; and	(B) was in a place where, if authentic it would likely be; and
(C) is at least 20 years old when offered.	(C) is at least 20 years old when offered.
(9) Evidence About a Process or System.	(9) Evidence About a Process or System

system and showing that it produces an accurate result.	system and showing that it produces an accurate result.
(10) <i>Methods Provided by a Statute or</i>	(10) <i>Methods Provided by a Statute or</i>
<i>Rule.</i> Any method of authentication	<i>Rule.</i> Any method of authentication
or identification allowed by a federal	or identification allowed by a statute
statute or a rule prescribed by the	or other rule prescribed under
Supreme Court.	statutory authority.

Page 119

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 902. Evidence That Is Self-	Rule 902. Evidence That Is Self-
Authenticating	Authenticating
The following items of evidence are self-	The following items of evidence are self-
authenticating; they require no extrinsic	authenticating; they require no extrinsic
evidence of authenticity in order to be	evidence of authenticity in order to be
admitted:	admitted:
(1) <i>Domestic Public Documents That Are</i>	(1) <i>Domestic Public Documents That Are</i>
<i>Sealed and Signed.</i> A document that	<i>Sealed and Signed.</i> A document that
bears:	bears:
 (A) a seal purporting to be that of the United States; any state, district, commonwealth, territory, or insular possession of the United States; the former Panama Canal Zone; the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands; a political subdivision of any of these entities; or a department, agency, or officer of any entity named above; and (B) a signature purporting to be an execution or attestation. 	 (A) a seal purporting to be that of the United States; any state, district, commonwealth, territory, or insular possession of the United States; the former Panama Canal Zone; the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands; a political subdivision of any of these entities; or a department, agency, or officer of any entity named above; and (B) a signature purporting to be an execution or attestation.
(2) Domestic Public Documents That Are	 (2) Domestic Public Documents That Are
Not Sealed but Are Signed and	Not Sealed But Are Signed and
Certified. A document that bears no	Certified. A document that bears no
seal if:	seal if:
(A) it bears the signature of an officer	(A) it bears the signature of an officer
or employee of an entity named in	or employee of an entity named in
Rule 902(1)(A); and	Rule 902(1)(A); and
(B) another public officer who has a seal and official duties within that same entity certifies under seal — or its equivalent — that the signer has the official capacity and that the signature is genuine.	(B) another public officer who has a seal and official duties within that same entity certifies under seal — or its equivalent — that the signer has the official capacity and that the signature is genuine.
(3) <i>Foreign Public Documents.</i> A document that purports to be signed or attested by a person who is authorized by a foreign country's law to do so.	(3) <i>Foreign Public Documents.</i> A document that purports to be signed or attested by a person who is authorized by a foreign country's law to do so.

Page 120

The document must be accompanied by a final certification that certifies the genuineness of the signature and official position of the signer or attester - or of any foreign official whose certificate of genuineness relates to the signature or attestation or is in a chain of certificates of genuineness relating to the signature or attestation. The certification may be made by a secretary of a United States embassy or legation; by a consul general, vice consul, or consular agent of the United States; or by a diplomatic or consular official of the foreign country assigned or accredited to the United States. If all parties have been given a reasonable opportunity to investigate the document's authenticity and accuracy, the court may, for good cause, either:

- (A) order that it be treated as presumptively authentic without final certification; or
- (**B**) allow it to be evidenced by an attested summary with or without final certification.
- (A) In General. The document must be accompanied by a final certification that certifies the genuineness of the signature and official position of the signer or attester - or of any foreign official whose certificate of genuineness relates to the signature or attestation or is in a chain of certificates of genuineness relating to the signature or attestation. The certification may be made by a secretary of a United States embassy or legation; by a consul general, vice consul, or consular agent of the United States; or by a diplomatic or consular official of the foreign country assigned or accredited to the United States.
- (B) If Parties Have Reasonable Opportunity to Investigate. If all parties have been given a reasonable opportunity to investigate the document's authenticity and accuracy, the court may, for good cause, either:
 - (i) order that it be treated as presumptively authentic without final certification; or
 - (ii) allow it to be evidenced by an attested summary with or without final certification.
- (C) If a Treaty Abolishes or Displaces the Final Certification Requirement. If the United States and the foreign country in which the official record is located are parties to a treaty or convention that abolishes or displaces the final certification requirement, the record and attestation must be certified under the terms of the treaty or convention.

(4) Certified Copies of Public Records. A copy of an official record — or a copy of a document that was recorded or filed in a public office as authorized by law — if the copy is certified as correct by:	(4) Certified Copies of Public Records. A copy of an official record — or a copy of a document that was recorded or filed in a public office as authorized by law — if the copy is certified as correct by:
(A) the custodian or another person authorized to make the certification; or	 (A) the custodian or another person authorized to make the certification; or
(B) a certificate that complies with Rule 902(1), (2), or (3), a federal statute, or a rule prescribed by the Supreme Court.	(B) a certificate that complies with Rule 902(1), (2), or (3), a statute, or a rule prescribed under statutory authority.
(5) <i>Official Publications.</i> A book, pamphlet, or other publication purporting to be issued by a public authority.	(5) <i>Official Publications.</i> A book, pamphlet, or other publication purporting to be issued by a public authority.
(6) <i>Newspapers and Periodicals.</i> Printed material purporting to be a newspaper or periodical.	(6) <i>Newspapers and Periodicals.</i> Printed material purporting to be a newspaper or periodical.
(7) <i>Trade Inscriptions and the Like.</i> An inscription, sign, tag, or label purporting to have been affixed in the course of business and indicating origin, ownership, or control.	(7) <i>Trade Inscriptions and the Like.</i> An inscription, sign, tag, or label purporting to have been affixed in the course of business and indicating origin, ownership, or control.
(8) <i>Acknowledged Documents.</i> A document accompanied by a certificate of acknowledgment that is lawfully executed by a notary public or another officer who is authorized to take acknowledgments.	(8) Acknowledged Documents. A document accompanied by a certificate of acknowledgment that is lawfully executed by a notary public or another officer who is authorized to take acknowledgments.
(9) <i>Commercial Paper and Related</i> <i>Documents.</i> Commercial paper, a signature on it, and related documents, to the extent allowed by general commercial law.	(9) <i>Commercial Paper and Related</i> <i>Documents.</i> Commercial paper, a signature on it, and related documents, to the extent allowed by general commercial law.

- (11) Certified Domestic Records of a Regularly Conducted Activity. The original or a copy of a domestic record that meets the requirements of Rule 803(6)(A)-(C), as shown by a certification of the custodian or another qualified person that complies with a federal statute or a rule prescribed by the Supreme Court. Before the trial or hearing, the proponent must give an adverse party reasonable written notice of the intent to offer the record — and must make the record and certification available for inspection — so that the party has a fair opportunity to challenge them.
- (12) Certified Foreign Records of a Regularly Conducted Activity. In a civil case, the original or a copy of a foreign record that meets the requirements of Rule 902(11), modified as follows: the certification, rather than complying with a federal statute or Supreme Court rule, must be signed in a manner that, if falsely made, would subject the maker to a criminal penalty in the country where the certification is signed. The proponent must also meet the notice requirements of Rule 902(11).

(10) Records of a Regularly Conducted Activity.

- (A) Requirements. The original or a copy of a record that meets the requirements of Rule 803(6)(A)-(C) or 803(7)(A)-(B), as shown by the custodian's or another qualified person's affidavit or unsworn declaration. The proponent of the record must:
 - (i) file the affidavit or unsworn declaration and the record with the court at least 14 days before trial;
 - (ii) make the record available to the other parties for inspection and copying, but the party seeking the copy must bear the cost of copying; and
 - (iii) give the other parties prompt notice of the filing, including the name and employer, if any, of the person making the affidavit or unsworn declaration. If the proponent gives notice at least 14 days before trial in a manner acceptable under Rule of Civil Procedure 21a, the court must find the notice is prompt.
- (B) Form for Business Records. A properly-executed affidavit or unsworn declaration that includes the following language meets the requirements of Rule 803(6)(A)-(C), although other language may also meet the requirements:

"1. I am the custodian of these records, or I am an employee familiar with the manner in which these records are created and maintained by virtue of my duties and responsibilities.

[
	 Attached are pages of records. These are the original records. These are the original records or exact duplicates of the original records. The records were made at or near the time of the occurrence of the matters set forth. The records were made by, or from information transmitted by, persons_with knowledge of the matters set forth. The records were kept in the course of regularly conducted business activity. It was the regular practice of the business activity to make the records."
	(C) Form for Medical Expenses. A properly-executed affidavit or unsworn declaration that includes the following language constitutes prima facie proof of medical expenses:
	 "1. I am the custodian of these records, or I am an employee familiar with the manner in which these records are created and maintained by virtue of my duties and responsibilities. 2. Attached are pages of records. These are the original records and are a part of this [affidavit or unsworn declaration]. 3. The attached records provide an itemized statement of the services and charge for the services that provided to on
	 4. The records were made at or near the time the service was provided. 5. The records were made by, or from information transmitted by, persons with knowledge of the matters set forth. 6. The records were kept in the course of regularly conducted

	 business activity. 7. It was the regular practice of the business activity to make the records. 8. The services provided were necessary, and the amount charged for the services was reasonable at the time and place the services were provided. 9. The total amount paid for the services was \$, and the amount currently unpaid but which has a right to be paid after any adjustments or credits is \$"
(10) <i>Presumptions Under a Federal</i> <i>Statute.</i> A signature, document, or anything else that a federal statute declares to be presumptively or prima facie genuine or authentic.	(11) <i>Presumptions Under a Statute or</i> <i>Rule.</i> A signature, document, or anything else that a statute or rule prescribed under statutory authority declares to be presumptively or prima facie genuine or authentic.
	Comment to 2013 Restyling: The forms provided in Rules 902(10)(B) and (C) respectively include only the language designed to meet the requirements of the business record exception and medical expense form. They omit language for introductory material and the jurat because these may differ between an affidavit and an unsworn declaration. For example, an unsworn declaration will not include language typically found in an affidavit (e.g., "Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared , who, being by me duly sworn, deposed as follows"). Similarly, Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code § 132.001 prescribes a jurat for unsworn declarations that differs from the jurat typically used in affidavits. Because Rules 902(10)(B) and (C) require that an affidavit or unsworn declaration be "properly- executed," a party must be sure to include introductory material and a jurat appropriate to the type of document filed.

Page 125

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 903. Subscribing Testimony	Witness's	Rule 903.	Subscribing Testimony	Witness's
A subscribing witness's testime to authenticate a writing only in law of the jurisdiction that gove	f required by the	to authentica	ng witness's testimor ate a writing only if r risdiction that govern	required by the

Page 126

ARTICLE X. CONTENTS OF WRITINGS, RECORDINGS, AND PHOTOGRAPHS

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 1001. Definitions That Apply to This Article	Rule 1001. Definitions That Apply to This Article
In this article:	In this article:
(a) A "writing" consists of letters, words, numbers, or their equivalent set down in any form.	(a) A "writing" consists of letters, words, numbers, or their equivalent set down in any form.
(b) A "recording" consists of letters, words, numbers, or their equivalent recorded in any manner.	(b) A "recording" consists of letters, words, numbers, or their equivalent recorded in any manner.
(c) A "photograph" means a photographic image or its equivalent stored in any form.	(c) A "photograph" means a photographic image or its equivalent stored in any form.
(d) An "original" of a writing or recording means the writing or recording itself or any counterpart intended to have the same effect by the person who executed or issued it. For electronically stored information, "original" means any printout — or other output readable by sight — if it accurately reflects the information. An "original" of a photograph includes the negative or a print from it.	(d) An "original" of a writing or recording means the writing or recording itself or any counterpart intended to have the same effect by the person who executed or issued it. For electronically stored information, "original" means any printout — or other output readable by sight — if it accurately reflects the information. An "original" of a photograph includes the negative or a print from it.
(e) A "duplicate" means a counterpart produced by a mechanical, photographic, chemical, electronic, or other equivalent process or technique that accurately reproduces the original.	(e) A "duplicate" means a counterpart produced by a mechanical, photographic, chemical, electronic, or other equivalent process or technique that accurately reproduces the original.

Page 127

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 1002.	Requiremen	t of the Original	Rule 1002.	Requirement of the Original
required in o	order to prove	its content unles	required in	writing, recording, or photograph is order to prove its content unless r other law provides otherwise.

Page 128

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 1003.	Admissibility of Duplicates	Rule 1003.	Admissibility of Duplicates
the original u about the	inless a genuine question is raised	the original the original's	is admissible to the same extent as unless a question is raised about a authenticity or the circumstances r to admit the duplicate.

Page 129

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 1004. Admissibility of Other	Rule 1004. Admissibility of Other
Evidence of Content	Evidence of Content
An original is not required and other evidence	An original is not required and other evidence
of the content of a writing, recording, or	of the content of a writing, recording, or
photograph is admissible if:	photograph is admissible if:
(a) all the originals are lost or destroyed, and not by the proponent acting in bad faith;(b) an original cannot be obtained by any	(a) all the originals are lost or destroyed, unless the proponent lost or destroyed them in bad faith;
available judicial process;	(b) an original cannot be obtained by any available judicial process;(c) an original is not located in Texas;
(c) the party against whom the original would	(d) the party against whom the original would
be offered had control of the original; was	be offered had control of the original; was
at that time put on notice, by pleadings or	at that time put on notice, by pleadings or
otherwise, that the original would be a	otherwise, that the original would be a
subject of proof at the trial or hearing; and	subject of proof at the trial or hearing; and
fails to produce it at the trial or hearing; or	fails to produce it at the trial or hearing; or
(d) the writing, recording, or photograph is not	(e) the writing, recording, or photograph is not
closely related to a controlling issue.	closely related to a controlling issue.

Page 130

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 1005. Copies of Public Records to	Rule 1005. Copies of Public Records to
Prove Content	Prove Content
The proponent may use a copy to prove the content of an official record — or of a document that was recorded or filed in a public office as authorized by law — if these conditions are met: the record or document is otherwise admissible; and the copy is certified as correct in accordance with Rule 902(4) or is testified to be correct by a witness who has compared it with the original. If no such copy can be obtained by reasonable diligence, then the proponent may use other evidence to prove the content.	The proponent may use a copy to prove the content of an official record — or of a document that was recorded or filed in a public office as authorized by law — if these conditions are met: the record or document is otherwise admissible; and the copy is certified as correct in accordance with Rule 902(4) or is testified to be correct by a witness who has compared it with the original. If no such copy can be obtained by reasonable diligence, then the proponent may use other evidence to prove the content.

Page 131

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 1006. Summaries to Prove Content	Rule 1006. Summaries to Prove Content
The proponent may use a summary, chart, or calculation to prove the content of voluminous writings, recordings, or photographs that cannot be conveniently examined in court. The proponent must make the originals or duplicates available for examination or copying, or both, by other parties at a reasonable time and place. And the court may order the proponent to produce them in court.	writings, recordings, or photographs that cannot be conveniently examined in court. The

Page 132

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 1007.	Testimony or Statement of a	Rule 1007. Testimony or Statement of a
	Party to Prove Content	Party to Prove Content
writing, reco testimony, de the party again	rding, or photograph by the	The proponent may prove the content of a writing, recording, or photograph by the testimony, deposition, or written statement of the party against whom the evidence is offered. The proponent need not account for the original.

Page 133

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 1008. Functions of the Court and Jury	Rule 1008. Functions of the Court and Jury
Ordinarily, the court determines whether the proponent has fulfilled the factual conditions for admitting other evidence of the content of a writing, recording, or photograph under Rule 1004 or 1005. But in a jury trial, the jury determines — in accordance with Rule 104(b) — any issue about whether:	Ordinarily, the court determines whether the proponent has fulfilled the factual conditions for admitting other evidence of the content of a writing, recording, or photograph under Rule 1004 or 1005. But in a jury trial, the jury determines — in accordance with Rule 104(b) — any issue about whether:
(a) an asserted writing, recording, or photograph ever existed;	(a) an asserted writing, recording, or photograph ever existed;
(b) another one produced at the trial or hearing is the original; or	(b) another one produced at the trial or hearing is the original; or
(c) other evidence of content accurately reflects the content.	(c) other evidence of content accurately reflects the content.

Page 134

RESTYLED FRE

NO CORRESPONDING FRE	Rule 1009. Translating a Foreign Language Document
	(a) Submitting a Translation. A translation of a foreign language document is admissible if, at least 45 days before trial, the proponent serves on all parties:
	(1) the translation and the underlying foreign language document; and
	(2) a qualified translator's affidavit or unsworn declaration that sets forth the translator's qualifications and certifies that the translation is accurate.
	(b) Objection. When objecting to a translation's accuracy, a party should specifically indicate its inaccuracies and offer an accurate translation. A party must serve the objection on all parties at least 15 days before trial.
	(c) Effect of Failing to Object or Submit a Conflicting Translation. If the underlying foreign language document is otherwise admissible, the court must admit — and may not allow a party to attack the accuracy of — a translation submitted under subdivision (a) unless the party has:
	(1) submitted a conflicting translation under subdivision (a); or
	(2) objected to the translation under subdivision (b).
	(d) Effect of Objecting or Submitting a Conflicting Translation. If conflicting translations are submitted under subdivision (a) or an objection is made under subdivision (b), the court must determine whether there is a genuine issue about the accuracy of a material part of the translation. If so, the trier of fact must resolve the issue.
	(e) Qualified Translator May Testify. Except for subdivision (c), this rule does not

preclude a party from offering the testimony of a qualified translator to translate a foreign
(f) Time Limits. On a party's motion and for good cause, the court may alter this rule's
time limits. (g) Court-Appointed Translator. If
necessary, the court may appoint a qualified translator. The reasonable value of the translator's services must be taxed as court costs.

Page 136

[FRE ARTICLE XI. MISCELLANEOUS RULES]

RESTYLED FRE

Rule 1101.	Applicability of the Rules	COVERED UNDER TRE 101
Rule 1102.	Amendments	NO CORRESPONDING TRE
These rules ma U.S.C. § 2072.	y be amended as provided in 28	
Rule 1103.	Title	COVERED UNDER TRE 101