ARTICLE I. GENERAL PROVISIONS

CURRENT TEXAS

RESTYLED TEXAS

RULE 101. TITLE AND SCOPE	Rule 101. Title, Scope, and Applicability
(a) Title. These rules shall be known and cited as the Texas Rules of Evidence.	of the Rules; Definitions(a) Title. These rules may be cited as the Texas Rules of Evidence.
(b) Scope. Except as otherwise provided by statute, these rules govern civil and criminal proceedings (including examining trials before magistrates) in all courts of Texas, except small claims courts.	 (b) Scope. These rules apply to proceedings in Texas courts except as otherwise provided in subdivisions (d)–(f). (c) Rules on Privilege. The rules on privilege apply to all stages of a case or proceeding.
(c) Hierarchical Governance in Criminal Proceedings. Hierarchical governance shall be in the following order: the Constitution of the United States, those federal statutes that control states under the supremacy clause, the Constitution of Texas, the Code of Criminal Procedure and the Penal Code, civil statutes, these rules, and the common law. Where possible, inconsistency is to be removed by reasonable construction.	(d) Exception for Constitutional or Statutory Provisions or Other Rules. Despite these rules, a court must admit or exclude evidence if required to do so by the United States or Texas Constitution, a federal or Texas statute, or a rule prescribed by the United States or Texas Supreme Court or the Texas Court of Criminal Appeals. If possible, a court should resolve by reasonable construction any inconsistency between these rules and applicable constitutional or statutory provisions or other rules.
(d) Special Rules of Applicability in Criminal Proceedings.	(e) Exceptions. These rules—except for those on privilege—do not apply to:
(1) <i>Rules not applicable in certain proceedings.</i> These rules, except with respect to privileges, do not apply in the following situations:	 the court's determination, under Rule 104(a), on a preliminary question of fact governing admissibility; grand jury proceedings; and
(A) the determination of questions of fact preliminary to admissibility of evidence when the issue is to be determined by the court under Rule 104;	(3) the following miscellaneous proceedings:
(B) proceedings before grand juries;	 (A) an application for habeas corpus in extradition, rendition, or interstate detainer proceedings;
(C) proceedings in an application for habeas corpus in extradition, rendition, or interstate detainer;	(B) an inquiry by the court under Code of Criminal Procedure article

Page 2

(D) a hearing under Code of Criminal Procedure article 46.02, by the court out of the presence of a jury, to determine whether there is sufficient evidence of incompetency to require a jury determination of the question of incompetency;

(E) proceedings regarding bail except hearings to deny, revoke or increase bail;

(F) a hearing on justification for pretrial detention not involving bail;

(G) proceedings for the issuance of a search or arrest warrant; or

(H) proceedings in a direct contempt determination.

(2) *Applicability of privileges*. These rules with respect to privileges apply at all stages of all actions, cases, and proceedings.

(3) *Military justice hearings*. Evidence in hearings under the Texas Code of Military Justice, TEX. GOV'T CODE § 432.001–432.195, shall be governed by that Code.

Notes and Comments

Comment to 1998 change: "Criminal proceedings" rather than "criminal cases" is used since that was the terminology used in the prior Rules of Criminal Evidence. In subpart (b), the reference to "trials before magistrates" comes from prior Criminal Rule 1101(a). In the prior Criminal Rules, both Rule 101 and Rule 1101 dealt with the same thing—the applicability of the rules. Thus, Rules 101(c) and (d) have been written to incorporate the provisions of former Criminal Rule 1101 and that rule is omitted.

46B.004 to determine whether evidence exists that would support a finding that the defendant may be incompetent to stand trial;

- (C) bail proceedings other than hearings to deny, revoke, or increase bail;
- (**D**) hearings on justification for pretrial detention not involving bail;
- (E) proceedings to issue a search or arrest warrant; and
- (F) direct contempt determination proceedings;.
- (f) Justice court cases. These rules do not apply to justice court cases except as authorized by Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 500.3.
- (g) Exception for Military Justice Hearings. The Texas Code of Military Justice, Tex. Gov't Code §§ 432.001–432.195, governs the admissibility of evidence in hearings held under that Code.
- (h) **Definitions.** In these rules:
 - (1) "civil case" means a civil action or proceeding;
 - (2) "criminal case" means a criminal action or proceeding, including an examining trial;
 - (3) "public office" includes a public agency;
 - (4) "record" includes a memorandum, report, or data compilation;
 - (5) a "rule prescribed by the United States or Texas Supreme Court or the Texas Court of Criminal Appeals" means a rule adopted by any of those courts under statutory authority;
 - (6) "unsworn declaration" means an unsworn declaration made in

accordance with Tex. Civ. Prac. &
Rem. Code § 132.001; and
(7) a reference to any kind of written material or any other medium includes electronically stored information.
Comment to 2013 Restyling: The reference
to "hierarchical governance" in former Rule
101(c) has been deleted as unnecessary. The
textual limitation of former Rule 101(c) to
criminal cases has been eliminated. Courts in
civil cases must also admit or exclude evidence
when required to do so by constitutional or
statutory provisions or other rules that take
5 1
precedence over these rules. Likewise, the title
to former Rule 101(d) has been changed to
more accurately indicate the purpose and scope
of the subdivision.

CURRENT TEXAS

RESTYLED TEXAS

RULE 102. PURPOSE	AND	Rule 102. Purpose
CONSTRUCTION		
		These rules should be construed so as to
These rules shall be construed to secur		51 8 57
in administration, elimination of unj		J 1 J 1
expense and delay, and promotion of gr	owth and	the development of evidence law, to the end of
development of the law of evidence to	the end	ascertaining the truth and securing a just
that the truth may be ascertain	ned and	determination.
proceedings justly determined.		

CURRENT TEXAS

RESTYLED TEXAS

RULE 103. RULINGS ON EVIDENCE	Rule 103.Rulings on Evidence
 (a) Effect of Erroneous Ruling. Error may not be predicated upon a ruling which admits or excludes evidence unless a substantial right of the party is affected, and (1) Objection. In case the ruling is one admitting evidence, a timely objection or motion to strike appears of record, stating the specific ground of objection, if the specific ground was not apparent from the context. When the court hears objections to offered evidence out of the presence of the jury and rules that such evidence be admitted, such objections shall be deemed to apply to such evidence when it is admitted before the jury without the necessity of repeating those objections. (2) Offer of proof. In case the ruling is one excluding evidence, the substance of the evidence was made known to the court by offer, or was apparent from the context within which questions were asked. (b) Record of Offer and Ruling. The offering party shall, as soon as practicable, but before the court's charge is read to the jury, its offer of proof. The court may add any other or further statement which shows the character of the 	 (a) Preserving a Claim of Error. A party may claim error in a ruling to admit or exclude evidence only if the error affects a substantial right of the party and: (1) if the ruling admits evidence, a party, on the record: (A) timely objects or moves to strike; and (B) states the specific ground, unless it was apparent from the context; or (2) if the ruling excludes evidence, a party informs the court of its substance by an offer of proof, unless the substance was apparent from the context. (b) Not Needing to Renew an Objection. When the court hears a party's objections outside the presence of the jury and rules that evidence is admissible, a party need not renew an objection to preserve a claim of error for appeal. (c) Court's Statement About the Ruling; Directing an Offer of Proof. The court must allow a party to make an offer of proof outside the jury's presence as soon
statement which shows the character of the evidence, the form in which it was offered, the objection made, and the ruling thereon. The court may, or at the request of a party shall, direct the making of an offer in question and answer form.	proof outside the jury's presence as soon practicable—and before the court reads its charge to the jury. The court may make any statement about the character or form of the evidence, the objection made, and the ruling. At a party's request, the court must direct that an offer of proof be made in question-and-answer form. Or the court may do so on its own.
(c) Hearing of Jury. In jury cases, proceedings shall be conducted, to the extent practicable, so as to prevent inadmissible evidence from being suggested to the jury by any means, such as making statements or offers of proof or asking questions in the hearing of the jury.	(d) Preventing the Jury from Hearing Inadmissible Evidence. To the extent practicable, the court must conduct a jury trial so that inadmissible evidence is not suggested to the jury by any means.

	(e)	Taking Notice of Fundamental Error in
(d) Fundamental Error in Criminal Cases. In		Criminal Cases. In criminal cases, a court
a criminal case, nothing in these rules precludes		may take notice of a fundamental error
taking notice of fundamental errors affecting		affecting a substantial right, even if the
substantial rights although they were not		claim of error was not properly preserved.
brought to the attention of the court.		
-		

CURRENT TEXAS

respect to privileges.

fulfillment of the condition.

witness and so requests.

Preliminary

RESTYLED TEXAS

RULE 104. PRELIMINARY QUESTIONS (a) Questions of Admissibility Generally.

qualification of a person to be a witness, the

existence of a privilege, or the admissibility of

evidence shall be determined by the court,

subject to the provisions of subdivision (b). In

making its determination the court is not bound by the rules of evidence except those with

(b) Relevancy Conditioned on Fact. When the

relevancy of evidence depends upon the fulfillment of a condition of fact, the court shall

admit it upon, or subject to, the introduction of evidence sufficient to support a finding of the

(c) Hearing of Jury. In a criminal case, a

hearing on the admissibility of a confession shall

be conducted out of the hearing of the jury. All

other civil or criminal hearings on preliminary matters shall be conducted out of the hearing of

the jury when the interests of justice so require or in a criminal case when an accused is a

(d) Testimony by Accused Out of the Hearing

of the Jury. The accused in a criminal case does

not, by testifying upon a preliminary matter out

of the hearing of the jury, become subject to

(e) Weight and Credibility. This rule does not

limit the right of a party to introduce before the

jury evidence relevant to weight or credibility.

cross-examination as to other issues in the case.

concerning

the

questions

Rule 104. Preliminary Questions

- (a) In General. The court must decide any preliminary question about whether a witness is qualified, a privilege exists, or evidence is admissible. In so deciding, the court is not bound by evidence rules, except those on privilege.
- (b) Relevance That Depends on a Fact. When the relevance of evidence depends on whether a fact exists, proof must be introduced sufficient to support a finding that the fact does exist. The court may admit the proposed evidence on the condition that the proof be introduced later.
- (c) Conducting a Hearing So That the Jury Cannot Hear It. The court must conduct any hearing on a preliminary question so that the jury cannot hear it if:
 - (1) the hearing involves the admissibility of a confession in a criminal case;
 - (2) a defendant in a criminal case is a witness and so requests; or
 - (3) justice so requires.
- (d) Cross-Examining a Defendant in a Criminal Case. By testifying outside the jury's hearing on a preliminary question, a defendant in a criminal case does not become subject to cross-examination on other issues in the case.
- (e) Evidence Relevant to Weight and Credibility. This rule does not limit a party's right to introduce before the jury evidence that is relevant to the weight or credibility of other evidence.

CURRENT TEXAS

RESTYLED TEXAS

RULE 105. LIMITED ADMISSIBILITY	Rule 105. Evidence That Is Not
	Admissible Against Other
(a) Limiting Instruction. When evidence which	Parties or for Other
is admissible as to one party or for one purpose	Purposes
but not admissible as to another party or for	_
another purpose is admitted, the court, upon	(a) Limiting Admitted Evidence. If the court
request, shall restrict the evidence to its proper	admits evidence that is admissible against a
scope and instruct the jury accordingly; but, in	party or for a purpose — but not against
the absence of such request the court's action in	another party or for another purpose — the
admitting such evidence without limitation shall	court, on request, must restrict the evidence
not be a ground for complaint on appeal.	to its proper scope and instruct the jury accordingly.
(b) Offering Evidence for Limited Purpose.	
When evidence referred to in paragraph (a) is	(b) Preserving a Claim of Error. When
excluded, such exclusion shall not be a ground	evidence is admissible against a party or
for complaint on appeal unless the proponent	for a purpose — but not against another
expressly offers the evidence for its limited,	party or for another purpose — a party may
admissible purpose or limits its offer to the party	claim error in a ruling to admit or exclude
against whom it is admissible.	the evidence only:
	(1) if the court admits the evidence
	without restricting it to its proper scope
	and instructing the jury accordingly,
	the party requests the court to do so; or
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	(2) if the court excludes the evidence, the
	party limits its offer to the party
	against whom or the purpose for which
	the evidence is admissible.

CURRENT TEXAS

RESTYLED TEXAS

RULE 106. REMAINDER OF OR	Rule 106. Remainder of or Related
RELATED WRITINGS OR RECORDED	Writings or Recorded
STATEMENTS	Statements
When a writing or recorded statement or part	If a party introduces all or part of a writing or
thereof is introduced by a party, an adverse party	recorded statement, an adverse party may
may at that time introduce any other part or any	introduce, at that time, any other part — or any
other writing or recorded statement which ought	other writing or recorded statement — that in
in fairness to be considered contemporaneously	fairness ought to be considered at the same
with it. "Writing or recorded statement" includes	time. "Writing or recorded statement" includes
depositions.	depositions.

Page 10

CURRENT TEXAS

RULE 107. RULE OF OPTIONAL	Rule 107. Rule of Optional
COMPLETENESS	Completeness
When part of an act, declaration, conversation, writing or recorded statement is given in evidence by one party, the whole on the same subject may be inquired into by the other, and any other act, declaration, writing or recorded statement which is necessary to make it fully understood or to explain the same may also be given in evidence, as when a letter is read, all letters on the same subject between the same parties may be given. "Writing or recorded statement" includes depositions.	If a party introduces part of an act, declaration, conversation, writing, or recorded statement, an adverse party may inquire into any other part on the same subject. An adverse party may also introduce any other act, declaration, conversation, writing, or recorded statement that is necessary to explain or allow the trier of fact to fully understand the part offered by the opponent. "Writing or recorded statement" includes a deposition.

Page 11

ARTICLE II. JUDICIAL NOTICE

CURRENT TEXAS

RULE 201. JUDICIAL NOTICE OF ADJUDICATIVE FACTS	Rule 201. Judicial Notice of Adjudicative Facts <td< th=""></td<>
(a) Scope of Rule. This rule governs only judicial notice of adjudicative facts.	(a) Scope. This rule governs judicial notice of an adjudicative fact only, not a legislative fact.
(b) Kinds of Facts. A judicially noticed fact must be one not subject to reasonable dispute in that it is either (1) generally known within the territorial jurisdiction of the trial court or (2)	(b) Kinds of Facts That May Be Judicially Noticed. The court may judicially notice a fact that is not subject to reasonable dispute because it:
capable of accurate and ready determination by resort to sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned.	(1) is generally known within the trial court's territorial jurisdiction; or
	(2) can be accurately and readily determined from sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned.
(c) When Discretionary. A court may take judicial notice, whether requested or not.	(c) Taking Notice. The court:
(d) When Mandatory. A court shall take	(1) may take judicial notice on its own; or
judicial notice if requested by a party and supplied with the necessary information.	(2) must take judicial notice if a party requests it and the court is supplied with the necessary information.
(e) Opportunity to Be Heard. A party is entitled upon timely request to an opportunity to	(d) Timing. The court may take judicial notice at any stage of the proceeding.
be heard as to the propriety of taking judicial notice and the tenor of the matter noticed. In the absence of prior notification, the request may be made after judicial notice has been taken.	(e) Opportunity to Be Heard. On timely request, a party is entitled to be heard on the propriety of taking judicial notice and the nature of the fact to be noticed. If the court takes judicial notice before notifying
(f) Time of Taking Notice. Judicial notice may be taken at any stage of the proceeding.	a party, the party, on request, is still entitled to be heard.
(g) Instructing Jury. In civil cases, the court shall instruct the jury to accept as conclusive any fact judicially noticed. In criminal cases, the court shall instruct the jury that it may, but is not required to, accept as conclusive any fact judicially noticed.	(f) Instructing the Jury. In a civil case, the court must instruct the jury to accept the noticed fact as conclusive. In a criminal case, the court must instruct the jury that it may or may not accept the noticed fact as conclusive.

CURRENT TEXAS

RESTYLED TEXAS

RULE 202. DETERMINATION OF LAWRule 202.OF OTHER STATES

A court upon its own motion may, or upon the motion of a party shall, take judicial notice of the constitutions, public statutes, rules, regulations, ordinances, court decisions, and common law of every other state, territory, or jurisdiction of the United States. A party requesting that judicial notice be taken of such matter shall furnish the court sufficient information to enable it properly to comply with the request, and shall give all parties such notice, if any, as the court may deem necessary, to enable all parties fairly to prepare to meet the request. A party is entitled upon timely request to an opportunity to be heard as to the propriety of taking judicial notice and the tenor of the matter noticed. In the absence of prior notification, the request may be made after judicial notice has been taken. Judicial notice of such matters may be taken at any stage of the proceeding. The court's determination shall be subject to review as a ruling on a question of law.

(a) Scope. This rule governs judicial notice of another state's, territory's, or federal jurisdiction's:

Judicial Notice

States' Law

- Constitution;
- public statutes;
- rules;
- regulations;
- ordinances;
- court decisions; and
- common law.

(b) Taking Notice. The court:

- (1) may take judicial notice on its own; or
- (2) must take judicial notice if a party requests it and the court is supplied with the necessary information.

(c) Notice and Opportunity to Be Heard.

- (1) *Notice.* The court may require a party requesting judicial notice to notify all other parties of the request so they may respond to it.
- (2) *Opportunity to Be Heard.* On timely request, a party is entitled to be heard on the propriety of taking judicial notice and the nature of the matter to be noticed. If the court takes judicial notice before a party has been notified, the party, on request, is still entitled to be heard.
- (d) **Timing.** The court may take judicial notice at any stage of the proceeding.
- (e) Determination and Review. The court not the jury—must determine the law of another state, territory, or federal jurisdiction. The court's determination must be treated as a ruling on a question of law.

Page 12

of Other

CURRENT TEXAS

RESTYLED TEXAS

RULE 203. DETERMINATION OF THE
LAWS OF FOREIGN COUNTRIESRule 203.

A party who intends to raise an issue concerning the law of a foreign country shall give notice in the pleadings or other reasonable written notice, and at least 30 days prior to the date of trial such party shall furnish all parties copies of any written materials or sources that the party intends to use as proof of the foreign law. If the materials or sources were originally written in a language other than English, the party intending to rely upon them shall furnish all parties both a copy of the foreign language text and an English translation. The court, in determining the law of a foreign nation, may consider any material or source, whether or not submitted by a party or admissible under the rules of evidence, including but not limited to affidavits, testimony, briefs, and treatises. If the court considers sources other than those submitted by a party, it shall give all parties notice and a reasonable opportunity to comment on the sources and to submit further materials for review by the court. The court, and not a jury, shall determine the laws of foreign countries. The court's determination shall be subject to review as a ruling on a question of law.

Determining Foreign Law

- (a) Raising a Foreign Law Issue. A party who intends to raise an issue about a foreign country's law must:
 - (1) give reasonable notice by a pleading or other writing; and
 - (2) at least 30 days before trial, supply all parties a copy of any written materials or sources the party intends to use to prove the foreign law.
- (b) **Translations.** If the materials or sources were originally written in a language other than English, the party intending to rely on them must supply all parties both a copy of the foreign language text and an English translation.
- (c) Materials the Court May Consider; Notice. In determining foreign law, the court may consider any material or source, whether or not admissible. If the court considers any material or source not submitted by a party, it must give all parties notice and a reasonable opportunity to comment and submit additional materials.
- (d) Determination and Review. The court not the jury—must determine foreign law. The court's determination must be treated as a ruling on a question of law.

Page 15

Judicial Notice of Texas Munic

CURRENT TEXAS

RESTYLED TEXAS

Rule 204.

RULE 204. DETERMINATION OF TEXAS CITY AND COUNTY ORDINANCES, THE CONTENTS OF THE TEXAS REGISTER, AND THE RULES OF AGENCIES PUBLISHED IN THE ADMINISTRATIVE CODE

A court upon its own motion may, or upon the motion of a party shall, take judicial notice of the ordinances of municipalities and counties of Texas, of the contents of the Texas Register, and of the codified rules of the agencies published in the Administrative Code. Any party requesting that judicial notice be taken of such matter shall furnish the court sufficient information to enable it properly to comply with the request, and shall give all parties such notice, if any, as the court may deem necessary, to enable all parties fairly to prepare to meet the request. A party is entitled upon timely request to an opportunity to be heard as to the propriety of taking judicial notice and the tenor of the matter noticed. In the absence of prior notification, the request may be made after judicial notice has been taken. The court's determination shall be subject to review as a ruling on a question of law.

(a) Scope. This rule governs judicial notice of Texas municipal and county ordinances, the contents of the Texas Register, and agency rules published in the Texas Administrative Code. (b) Taking Notice. The court: (1) may take judicial notice on its own; or (2) must take judicial notice if a party requests it and the court is supplied with the necessary information. (c) Notice and Opportunity to Be Heard.

- (1) *Notice.* The court may require a party requesting judicial notice to notify all other parties of the request so they may respond to it.
- (2) *Opportunity to Be Heard.* On timely request, a party is entitled to be heard on the propriety of taking judicial notice and the nature of the matter to be noticed. If the court takes judicial notice before a party has been notified, the party, on request, is still entitled to be heard.
- (d) Determination and Review. The court not the jury—must determine municipal and county ordinances, the contents of the Texas Register, and published agency rules. The court's determination must be treated as a ruling on a question of law.

Page 16

ARTICLE III. PRESUMPTIONS

CURRENT TEXAS

RESTYLED TEXAS

NO RULES ADOPTED AT THIS TIME NO RULES ADOPTED AT THIS TIME

Page 17

ARTICLE IV. **RELEVANCY AND ITS LIMITS**

CURRENT TEXAS **RESTYLED TEXAS RULE 401. DEFINITION OF "RELEVANT** Rule 401. **Test for Relevant Evidence** EVIDENCE" Evidence is relevant if: "Relevant evidence" means evidence having any tendency to make the existence of any fact that (a) it has any tendency to make a fact more or is of consequence to the determination of the less probable than it would be without the action more probable or less probable than it evidence; and would be without the evidence. (b) the fact is of consequence in determining the action.

CURRENT TEXAS

RESTYLED TEXAS

RULE 402. RELEVANT EVIDENCE GENERALLY ADMISSIBLE; IRRELEVANT EVIDENCE INADMISSIBLE All relevant evidence is admissible, except as otherwise provided by Constitution, by statute, by these rules, or by other rules prescribed pursuant to statutory authority. Evidence which is not relevant is inadmissible.	Rule 402. General Admissibility of Relevant Evidence Relevant evidence is admissible unless any of the following provides otherwise: • the United States or Texas Constitution; • a statute; • these rules; or • other rules prescribed under statutory authority.
---	--

Page 19

CURRENT TEXAS

RULE 403. EXCLUSION OF RELEVANT EVIDENCE ON SPECIAL GROUNDS	Rule 403. Excluding Relevant Evidence for Prejudice, Confusion, or Other Reasons
Although relevant, evidence may be excluded if its probative value is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusion of the issues, or misleading the jury, or by considerations of undue delay, or needless presentation of cumulative evidence.	

CURRENT TEXAS

RESTYLED TEXAS

RULE 404. CHARACTER EVIDENCE NOTRule 404.ADMISSIBLETOPROVECONDUCT;EXCEPTIONS;OTHER CRIMESImage: Colspan="2">CONDUCT;

(a) Character Evidence Generally. Evidence of a person's character or character trait is not admissible for the purpose of proving action in conformity therewith on a particular occasion, except:

(1) *Character of accused.* Evidence of a pertinent character trait offered:

(A) by an accused in a criminal case, or by the prosecution to rebut the same, or

(B) by a party accused in a civil case of conduct involving moral turpitude, or by the accusing party to rebut the same;

(2) Character of victim. In a criminal case and subject to Rule 412, evidence of a pertinent character trait of the victim of the crime offered by an accused, or by the prosecution to rebut the same, or evidence of peaceable character of the victim offered by the prosecution in a homicide case to rebut evidence that the victim was the first aggressor; or in a civil case, evidence of character for violence of the alleged victim of assaultive conduct offered on the issue of self-defense by a party accused of the assaultive conduct, or evidence of peaceable character to rebut the same;

Character Evidence; Crimes or Other Acts

(a) Character Evidence.

(1) *Prohibited Uses.* Evidence of a person's character or character trait is not admissible to prove that on a particular occasion the person acted in accordance with the character or trait.

(2) Exceptions for an Accused.

- (A) In a criminal case, a defendant may offer evidence of the defendant's pertinent trait, and if the evidence is admitted, the prosecutor may offer evidence to rebut it.
- (B) In a civil case, a party accused of conduct involving moral turpitude may offer evidence of the party's pertinent trait, and if the evidence is admitted, the accusing party may offer evidence to rebut it.

(3) Exceptions for a Victim.

- (A) In a criminal case, subject to the limitations in Rule 412, a defendant may offer evidence of a victim's pertinent trait, and if the evidence is admitted, the prosecutor may offer evidence to rebut it.
- (B) In a homicide case, the prosecutor may offer evidence of the victim's trait of peacefulness to rebut evidence that the victim was the first aggressor.
- (C) In a civil case, a party accused of assaultive conduct may offer evidence of the victim's trait of violence to prove self-defense, and if the evidence is admitted, the accusing party may offer evidence

(3) <i>Character of witness</i> . Evidence of the character of a witness, as provided in rules 607, 608 and 609.	 of the victim's trait of peacefulness. (4) <i>Exceptions for a Witness</i>. Evidence of a witness's character may be admitted under Rules 607, 608, and 609. (5) <i>Definition of "Victim.</i>" In this rule, "victim" includes an alleged victim.
b) Other Crimes, Wrongs or Acts. Evidence of other crimes, wrongs or acts is not admissible to prove the character of a person in order to show action in conformity therewith. It may, however, be admissible for other purposes, such as proof of motive, opportunity, intent, preparation, plan, knowledge, identity, or absence of mistake or accident, provided that upon timely request by the accused in a criminal case, reasonable notice is given in advance of trial of intent to introduce in the State's case-in-chief such evidence other than that arising in the same transaction.	 (b) Crimes, Wrongs, or Other Acts. (1) Prohibited Uses. Evidence of a crime, wrong, or other act is not admissible to prove a person's character in order to show that on a particular occasion the person acted in accordance with the character. (2) Permitted Uses; Notice in Criminal Case. This evidence may be admissible for another purpose, such as proving motive, opportunity, intent, preparation, plan, knowledge, identity, absence of mistake, or lack of accident. On timely request by a defendant in a criminal case, the prosecutor must provide reasonable notice before trial that the prosecution intends to introduce such evidence — other than that arising in the same transaction — in its case-in-chief.

CURRENT TEXAS

RESTYLED TEXAS

RULE 405. METHODS OF PROVING
CHARACTERRule 405.Methods
Characterof
Proving
Character

(a) **Reputation or Opinion.** In all cases in which evidence of a person's character or character trait is admissible, proof may be made by testimony as to reputation or by testimony in the form of an opinion. In a criminal case, to be qualified to testify at the guilt stage of trial concerning the character or character trait of an accused, a witness must have been familiar with the reputation, or with the underlying facts or information upon which the opinion is based, prior to the day of the offense. In all cases where testimony is admitted under this rule, on cross-examination inquiry is allowable into relevant specific instances of conduct.

(b) Specific Instances of Conduct. In cases in which a person's character or character trait is an essential element of a charge, claim or defense, proof may also be made of specific instances of that person's conduct.

(a) By Reputation or Opinion.

- (1) *In General.* When evidence of a person's character or character trait is admissible, it may be proved by testimony about the person's reputation or by testimony in the form of an opinion. On cross-examination of the character witness, inquiry may be made into relevant specific instances of the person's conduct.
- (2) Accused's Character in a Criminal Case. In the guilt stage of a criminal case, a witness may testify to the defendant's character or character trait only if, before the day of the offense, the witness was familiar with the defendant's reputation or the facts or information that form the basis of the witness's opinion.
- (b) By Specific Instances of Conduct. When a person's character or character trait is an essential element of a charge, claim, or defense, the character or trait may also be proved by relevant specific instances of the person's conduct.

Page 23

CURRENT TEXAS

RULE 406. HABIT; ROUTINE PRACTICE	Rule 406. Habit; Routine Practice
Evidence of the habit of a person or of the routine practice of an organization, whether corroborated or not and regardless of the presence of eyewitnesses, is relevant to prove that the conduct of the person or organization on a particular occasion was in conformity with the habit or routine practice.	organization's routine practice may be admitted to prove that on a particular occasion the person or organization acted in accordance

CURRENT TEXAS

RESTYLED TEXAS

RULE 407. SUBSEQUENT REMEDIAL MEASURES; NOTIFICATION OF DEFECT	Rule 407. Subsequent Remedial Measures; Notification of Defect
(a) Subsequent Remedial Meaures. When, after an injury or harm allegedly caused by an event, measures are taken that, if taken previously, would have made the injury or harm less likely to occur, evidence of the subsequent remedial measures is not admissible to prove negligence, culpable conduct, a defect in a product, a defect in a product's design, or a need for a warning or instruction. This rule does not require the exclusion of evidence of subsequent measures when offered for another purpose, such as proving ownership, control, or feasibility of precautionary measures, if controverted, or impeachment.	 (a) Subsequent Remedial Measures. When measures are taken that would have made an earlier injury or harm less likely to occur, evidence of the subsequent measures is not admissible to prove: negligence; culpable conduct; a defect in a product or its design; or a need for a warning or instruction. But the court may admit this evidence for another purpose, such as impeachment or if disputed — proving ownership, control, or the feasibility of precautionary measures.
(b) Notification of Defect. A written notification by a manufacturer of any defect in a product produced by such manufacturer to purchasers thereof is admissible against the manufacturer on the issue of existence of the defect to the extent that it is relevant.	 (b) Notification of Defect. A manufacturer's written notification to a purchaser of a defect in one of its products is admissible against the manufacturer to prove the defect. Comment to 2013 Restyling: Rule 407 previously provided that evidence was not excluded if offered for a purpose not explicitly prohibited by the Rule. To improve the language of the Rule, it now provides that the court may admit evidence if offered for a permissible purpose. There is no intent to change the process for admitting evidence covered by the Rule. It remains the case that if offered for an impermissible purpose, it must be excluded, and if offered for a purpose not barred by the Rule, its admissibility remains governed by the general principles of Rules 402, 403, 801, etc.

CURRENT TEXAS

RESTYLED TEXAS

RULE 408. COMPROMISE AND OFFERS Rule 408. Compromise Offers and TO COMPROMISE Negotiations Evidence of (1) furnishing or offering or (a) Prohibited Uses. Evidence of the promising to furnish or (2) accepting or following is not admissible either to prove or disprove the validity or amount of a offering or promising to accept, a valuable consideration in compromising or attempting to disputed claim: compromise a claim which was disputed as to either validity or amount is not admissible to (1) furnishing, promising, or offering—or prove liability for or invalidity of the claim or accepting, promising to accept, or its amount. Evidence of conduct or statements offering to accept—a valuable made in compromise negotiations is likewise consideration in compromising or not admissible. This rule does not require the attempting to compromise the claim; exclusion of any evidence otherwise and discoverable merely because it is presented in the course of compromise negotiations. This (2) conduct or statements made in rule also does not require exclusion when the compromise negotiations about the evidence is offered for another purpose, such as claim. proving bias or prejudice or interest of a witness or a party, negativing a contention of (b) Permissible Uses. The court may admit undue delay, or proving an effort to obstruct a this evidence for another purpose, such as criminal investigation or prosecution. proving a party's or witness's bias, prejudice, or interest, negating a contention of undue delay, or proving an effort to obstruct a criminal investigation or prosecution. Comment to 2013 Restyling: Rule 408 previously provided that evidence was not excluded if offered for a purpose not explicitly prohibited by the Rule. To improve the language of the Rule, it now provides that the court may admit evidence if offered for a permissible purpose. There is no intent to change the process for admitting evidence covered by the Rule. It remains the case that if offered for an impermissible purpose, it must be excluded, and if offered for a purpose not barred by the Rule, its admissibility remains governed by the general principles of Rules 402, 403, 801, etc. The reference to "liability" has been deleted on the ground that the deletion makes the Rule flow better and easier to read, and because "liability" is covered by the broader term "validity." Courts have not made substantive decisions on the basis of any distinction between validity and liability. No change in

current practice or in the coverage of the Rule is intended.
Finally, the sentence of the Rule referring to evidence "otherwise discoverable" has been deleted as superfluous. The intent of the sentence was to prevent a party from trying to immunize admissible information, such as a pre-existing document, through the pretense of disclosing it during compromise negotiations. But even without the sentence, the Rule cannot be read to protect pre-existing information simply because it was presented to the adversary in compromise negotiations.

Page 27

CURRENT TEXAS

RULE 409. PAYMENT OF MEDICAL AND	Rule 409. Offers to Pay Medical and
SIMILAR EXPENSES	Similar Expenses
Evidence of furnishing or offering or promising	Evidence of furnishing, promising to pay, or
to pay medical, hospital, or similar expenses	offering to pay medical, hospital, or similar
occasioned by an injury is not admissible to	expenses resulting from an injury is not
prove liability for the injury.	admissible to prove liability for the injury.

CURRENT TEXAS

RESTYLED TEXAS

RULE 410. INADMISSIBILITY OF Rule 410. Pleas, Plea Discussions, and PLEAS, PLEA DISCUSSIONS AND **Related Statements RELATED STATEMENTS** (a) Prohibited Uses in Civil Cases. In a civil Except as otherwise provided in this rule, case, evidence of the following is not evidence of the following is not admissible admissible against the defendant who made against the defendant who made the plea or was the plea or was a participant in the plea a participant in the plea discussions: discussions: (1) a plea of guilty that was later withdrawn; (1) a guilty plea that was later withdrawn; (2) in civil cases, a plea of nolo contendere, (2) a nolo contendere plea; and in criminal cases, a plea of nolo (3) a statement made during a proceeding contendere that was later withdrawn; on either of those pleas under Federal (3) any statement made in the course of any Rule of Criminal Procedure 11 or a proceedings under Rule 11 of the Federal comparable state procedure; or Rules of Criminal Procedure or comparable state procedure regarding, in a civil case, (4) a statement made during plea either a plea of guilty that was later discussions with an attorney for the withdrawn or a plea of nolo contendere, or prosecuting authority if the discussions in a criminal case, either a plea of guilty that did not result in a guilty plea or they was later withdrawn or a plea of nolo resulted in a later-withdrawn guilty contendere that was later withdrawn; or plea. (4) any statement made in the course of plea (b) Prohibited Uses in Criminal Cases. In a discussions with an attorney for the criminal case, evidence of the following is not admissible against the defendant who prosecuting authority, in a civil case, that do not result in a plea of guilty or that result in made the plea or was a participant in the a plea of guilty later withdrawn, or in a plea discussions: criminal case, that do not result in a plea of guilty or a plea of nolo contendere or that (1) a guilty plea that was later withdrawn; results in a plea, later withdrawn, of guilty or nolo contendere. (2) a nolo contendere plea that was later withdrawn: However, such a statement is admissible in any proceeding wherein another statement made in (3) a statement made during a proceeding the course of the same plea or plea discussions on either of those pleas under Federal has been introduced and the statement ought in Rule of Criminal Procedure 11 or a fairness be considered contemporaneously with comparable state procedure; or it. (4) a statement made during plea discussions with an attorney for the prosecuting authority if the discussions did not result in a guilty or nolo contendere plea or they resulted in a later-withdrawn guilty or nolo contendere plea.

Г

	(c) Exception. In a civil case, the court may admit a statement described in paragraph (a)(3) or (4) and in a criminal case, the court may admit a statement described in paragraph (b)(3) or (4), when another statement made during the same plea or plea discussions has been introduced and in fairness the statements ought to be considered together.
--	---

Page 30

CURRENT TEXAS

RULE 411. LIABILITY INSURANCE	Rule 411. Liability Insurance
Evidence that a person was or was not insured	Evidence that a person was or was not insured
against liability is not admissible upon the issue	against liability is not admissible to prove
whether the person acted negligently or	whether the person acted negligently or
otherwise wrongfully. This rule does not require	otherwise wrongfully. But the court may admit
the exclusion of evidence of insurance against	this evidence for another purpose, such as
liability when offered for another issue, such as	proving a witness's bias or prejudice or, if
proof of agency, ownership, or control, if	disputed, proving agency, ownership, or
disputed, or bias or prejudice of a witness.	control.

CURRENT TEXAS

RESTYLED TEXAS

RULE 412. EVIDENCE OF PREVIOUS SEXUAL CONDUCT IN CRIMINAL CASES	Rule 412.Evidence of Previous Sexual Conduct in Criminal Cases
(a) Reputation or Opinion Evidence. In a prosecution for sexual assault or aggravated sexual assault, or attempt to commit sexual assault or aggravated sexual assault, reputation or opinion evidence of the past sexual behavior of an alleged victim of such crime is not admissible.	 (a) In General. The following evidence is not admissible in a prosecution for sexual assault, aggravated sexual assault, or attempt to commit sexual assault or aggravated sexual assault: (1) reputation or opinion evidence of a victim's past sexual behavior; or (2) specific instances of a victim's past sexual behavior.
(b) Evidence of Specific Instances. In a prosecution for sexual assault or aggravated sexual assault, or attempt to commit sexual assault or aggravated sexual assault, evidence of specific instances of an alleged victim's past sexual behavior is also not admissible, unless: (1) such evidence is admitted in accordance with paragraphs (c) and (d) of this rule; 	 (b) Exceptions for Specific Instances. Evidence of specific instances of a victim's past sexual behavior is admissible if: (1) the court admits the evidence in accordance with subdivisions (c) and (d); (2) the evidence:
 (2) it is evidence: (A) that is necessary to rebut or explain scientific or medical evidence offered by the State; (B) of past sexual behavior with the accused and is offered by the accused upon the issue of whether the alleged victim consented to the sexual behavior which is the basis of the offense charged; (C) that relates to the motive or bias of the alleged victim; 	 (A) is necessary to rebut or explain scientific or medical evidence offered by the prosecutor; (B) concerns past sexual behavior with the defendant and is offered by the defendant to prove consent; (C) relates to the victim's motive or bias; (D) is admissible under Rule 609; or (E) is constitutionally required to be admitted; and
(D) is admissible under Rule 609; or(E) that is constitutionally required to be admitted; and(3) its probative value outweighs the danger of unfair prejudice.	 (3) the probative value of the evidence outweighs the danger of unfair prejudice. (c) Procedure for Offering Evidence. Before offering any evidence of the victim's past sexual behavior, the defendant must inform the court outside the jury's presence. The

(c) Procedure for Offering Evidence. If the defendant proposes to introduce any documentary evidence or to ask any question, either by direct examination or cross-examination of any witness, concerning specific instances of the alleged victim's past sexual behavior, the defendant must inform the court out of the hearing of the jury prior to introducing any such evidence or asking any such question. After this notice, the court shall conduct an in camera hearing, recorded by the court reporter, to determine whether the proposed evidence is admissible under paragraph (b) of this rule. The court shall determine what evidence is admissible and shall accordingly limit the questioning. The defendant shall not go outside these limits or refer to any evidence ruled inadmissible in camera without prior approval of the court without the presence of the jury.

(d) **Record Sealed.** The court shall seal the record of the in camera hearing required in paragraph (c) of this rule for delivery to the appellate court in the event of an appeal.

court must then conduct an in camera hearing, recorded by a court reporter, and determine whether the proposed evidence is admissible. The defendant may not refer to any evidence ruled inadmissible without first requesting and gaining the court's approval outside the jury's presence.

- (d) **Record Sealed.** The court must preserve the record of the in camera hearing, under seal, as part of the record.
- (e) **Definition of "Victim."** In this rule, "victim" includes an alleged victim.

Page 33

ARTICLE V. PRIVILEGES

CURRENT TEXAS

RULE 501. PRIVILEGES RECOGNIZED ONLY AS PROVIDED	Rule 501.Privileges in General
Except as otherwise provided by Constitution, by statute, by these rules, or by other rules prescribed pursuant to statutory authority, no person has a privilege to:	Unless a Constitution, a statute, or these or other rules prescribed under statutory authority provide otherwise, no person has a privilege to:(a) refuse to be a witness;
(1) refuse to be a witness;	(b) refuse to disclose any matter;
(2) refuse to disclose any matter;	(c) refuse to produce any object or writing; or
(3) refuse to produce any object or writing; or(4) prevent another from being a witness or disclosing any matter or producing any object or writing.	(d) prevent another from being a witness, disclosing any matter, or producing any object or writing.

Page 34

CURRENT TEXAS

RULE 502. REQUIRED REPORTS	Rule 502. Required Reports Privileged
PRIVILEGED BY STATUTE	By Statute
A person, corporation, association, or other organization or entity, either public or private, making a return or report required by law to be made has a privilege to refuse to disclose and to prevent any other person from disclosing the return or report, if the law requiring it to be made so provides. A public officer or agency to whom a return or report is required by law to be made has a privilege to refuse to disclose the return or report if the law requiring it to be made so provides. No privilege exists under this rule in actions involving perjury, false statements, fraud in the return or report, or other failure to comply with the law in question.	any other person from disclosing it; and

CURRENT TEXAS

RESTYLED TEXAS

RULE 503. LAWYER-CLIENT Rule 503. Lawyer-Client Privilege PRIVILEGE (a) **Definitions.** In this rule: (a) **Definitions.** As used in this rule: (1) A "client" is a person, public officer, or (1) A "client" is a person, public officer, or corporation, association, or other corporation, association, or other organization or entity-whether public or organization or entity, either public or private-that: private, who is rendered professional legal (A) is rendered professional legal services by a lawyer, or who consults a lawyer with a view to obtaining professional services by a lawyer; or legal services from that lawyer. (B) consults a lawyer with a view to professional obtaining legal services. (2) A "representative of the client" is: (2) A "client's representative" is: (A) a person having authority to obtain (A) a person who has authority to obtain professional legal services, or to act on professional legal services for the advice thereby rendered, on behalf of client or to act for the client on the the client, or legal advice rendered; or (B) any other person who, for the (B) any other person who, to facilitate the rendition of professional legal purpose of effectuating legal representation for the client, makes or services to the client, makes or receives a confidential communication receives confidential а while acting in the scope of employment communication while acting in the for the client. scope of employment for the client. (3) A "lawyer" is a person authorized, or (3) A "lawyer" is a person authorized, or reasonably believed by the client to be who the client reasonably believes is authorized, to engage in the practice of law authorized, to practice law in any state in any state or nation. or nation. (4) A "representative of the lawyer" is: (4) A "lawyer's representative" is: (A) one employed by the lawyer to (A) one employed by the lawyer to assist the lawyer in the rendition of assist in the rendition of professional legal services; or professional legal services; or (B) an accountant who is reasonably (B) an accountant who is reasonably necessary for the lawyer's rendition of necessary for the lawyer's rendition professional legal services. of professional legal services. (5) A communication is "confidential" if (5) A communication is "confidential" if not intended to be disclosed to third not intended to be disclosed to third persons other than those to whom persons other than those: disclosure is made in furtherance of the

Page 36

rendition of professional legal services to the client or those reasonably necessary for the transmission of the communication.

(b) Rules of Privilege.

(1) *General rule of privilege*. A client has a privilege to refuse to disclose and to prevent any other person from disclosing confidential communications made for the purpose of facilitating the rendition of professional legal services to the client:

(A) between the client or a representative of the client and the client's lawyer or a representative of the lawyer;

(B) between the lawyer and the lawyer's representative;

(C) by the client or a representative of the client, or the client's lawyer or a representative of the lawyer, to a lawyer or a representative of a lawyer representing another party in a pending action and concerning a matter of common interest therein;

(D) between representatives of the client or between the client and a representative of the client; or

(E) among lawyers and their representatives representing the same client.

(2) Special rule of privilege in criminal cases. In criminal cases, a client has a privilege to prevent the lawyer or lawyer's representative from disclosing any other fact which came to the knowledge of the lawyer or the lawyer's representative by reason of the attorney-client relationship.

- (A) to whom disclosure is made to further the rendition of professional legal services to the client; or
- (**B**) reasonably necessary to transmit the communication.

(b) Rules of Privilege.

- (1) *General Rule*. A client has a privilege to refuse to disclose and to prevent any other person from disclosing confidential communications made to facilitate the rendition of professional legal services to the client:
 - (A) between the client or the client's representative and the client's lawyer or the lawyer's representative;
 - (**B**) between the client's lawyer and the lawyer's representative;
 - (C) by the client, the client's representative, the client's lawyer, or the lawyer's representative to a lawyer representing another party in a pending action or that lawyer's representative, if the communications concern a matter of common interest in the pending action;
 - (D) between the client's representatives or between the client and the client's representative; or
 - (E) among lawyers and their representatives representing the same client.
- (2) Special Rule in a Criminal Case. In a criminal case, a client has a privilege to prevent a lawyer or lawyer's representative from disclosing any other fact that came to the knowledge of the lawyer or the lawyer's representative by reason of the attorney-client relationship.

(c) Who May Claim the Privilege. The privilege may be claimed by the client, the client's guardian or conservator, the personal representative of a deceased client, or the successor, trustee, or similar representative of a corporation, association, or other organization, whether or not in existence. The person who was the lawyer or the lawyer's representative at the time of the communication is presumed to have authority to claim the privilege but only on behalf of the client.	 (c) Who May Claim. The privilege may be claimed by: (1) the client; (2) the client's guardian or conservator; (3) a deceased client's personal representative; or (4) the successor, trustee, or similar representative of a corporation, association, or other organization or entity — whether or not in existence. The person who was the client's lawyer or the lawyer's representative when the communication was made may claim the privilege on the client's behalf – and is presumed to have authority to do so.
 (d) Exceptions. There is no privilege under this rule: (1) Furtherance of crime or fraud. If the services of the lawyer were sought or obtained to enable or aid anyone to commit or plan to commit what the client knew or reasonably should have known to be a crime or fraud; (2) Claimants through same deceased client. As to a communication relevant to an issue between parties who claim through the same deceased client, regardless of whether the claims are by testate or intestate succession or by inter vivos transactions; (3) Breach of duty by a lawyer or client. As to a communication relevant to an issue of breach of duty by a lawyer to the client or by a client to the lawyer; 	 (d) Exceptions. This privilege does not apply: (1) Furtherance of Crime or Fraud. If the lawyer's services were sought or obtained to enable or aid anyone to commit or plan to commit what the client knew or reasonably should have known to be a crime or fraud. (2) Claimants Through Same Deceased Client. If the communication is relevant to an issue between parties claiming through the same deceased client. (3) Breach of Duty By a Lawyer or Client. If the communication is relevant to an issue of breach of duty by a lawyer to the client or by a client to the lawyer. (4) Document Attested By a Lawyer. If the communication is relevant to an issue of we client to an issue of we can be a client to an issue of we client to the lawyer.
 (4) Document attested by a lawyer. As to a communication relevant to an issue concerning an attested document to which the lawyer is an attesting witness; or (5) Joint clients. As to a communication relevant to a matter of common interest 	 (5) Joint Clients. If the communication: (A) is offered in an action between clients who retained or consulted a lawyer in common;

Page	38
------	----

between or among two or more clients if the communication was made by any of them to a lawyer retained or consulted in common, when offered in an action between or among any of the clients. (B) was made by any of the clients to the lawyer; and (C) is relevant to a matter of common interest between the clients.

CURRENT TEXAS

RESTYLED TEXAS

RULE 504. HUSBAND-WIFE	Rule 504.Spousal Privileges
PRIVILEGES (a) Confidential Communication Privilege. 	(a) Confidential Communication Privilege.
 (1) Definition. A communication is confidential if it is made privately by any person to the person's spouse and it is not intended for disclosure to any other person. 	(1) <i>Definition.</i> A communication is "confidential" if a person makes it privately to the person's spouse and does not intend its disclosure to any other person.
(2) <i>Rule of privilege</i> . A person, whether or not a party, or the guardian or representative of an incompetent or deceased person, has a privilege during marriage and afterwards to refuse to disclose and to prevent another from disclosing a confidential communication made to the person's spouse while they were married.	 (2) <i>General Rule.</i> A person has a privilege to refuse to disclose and to prevent any other person from disclosing a confidential communication made to the person's spouse while they were married. This privilege survives termination of the marriage. (3) <i>Who May Claim.</i> The privilege may be
(3) Who may claim the privilege. The	claimed by:
confidential communication privilege may be claimed by the person or the person's	(A) the communicating spouse;
guardian or representative, or by the spouse on the person's behalf. The authority of the spouse to do so is presumed.	(B) the guardian of an incompetent communicating spouse; or
spouse to do so is presumed.	(C) the personal representative of a deceased communicating spouse.
	The other spouse may claim the privilege on the communicating spouse's behalf – and is presumed to have authority to do so.
(4) <i>Exceptions</i> . There is no confidential communication privilege:	(4) <i>Exceptions</i> . This privilege does not apply:
(A) <i>Furtherance of crime or fraud.</i> If the communication was made, in whole or in part, to enable or aid anyone to commit or plan to commit a crime or fraud.	(A) Furtherance of Crime or Fraud. If the communication is made – wholly or partially – to enable or aid anyone to commit or plan to commit a crime or fraud.
(B) <i>Proceeding between spouses in civil cases.</i> In (A) a proceeding brought by or on behalf of one spouse against the other spouse, or (B) a proceeding between a surviving spouse and a	(B) Proceeding Between Spouse and Other Spouse or Claimant Through Deceased Spouse. In a civil proceeding:
person who claims through the	(i) brought by or on behalf of one

Page 40

deceased spouse, regardless of whether the claim is by testate or intestate succession or by *inter vivos* transaction.

(C) *Crime against spouse or minor child.* In a proceeding in which the party is accused of conduct which, if proved, is a crime against the person of the spouse, any minor child, or any member of the household of either spouse, or, in a criminal proceeding, when the offense charged is under Section 25.01, Penal Code (Bigamy).

(D) *Commitment* or *similar proceeding*. In a proceeding to commit either spouse or otherwise to place that person or that person's property, or both, under the control of another because of an alleged mental or physical condition.

(E) *Proceeding to establish competence.* In a proceeding brought by or on behalf of either spouse to establish competence.

(b) Privilege not to Testify in Criminal Case.

(1) *Rule of privilege*. In a criminal case, the spouse of the accused has a privilege not to be called as a witness for the state. This rule does not prohibit the spouse from testifying voluntarily for the state, even over objection by the accused. A spouse who testifies on behalf of an accused is subject to cross-examination as provided in rule 611(b).

(2) *Failure to call as witness*. Failure by an accused to call the accused's spouse as a witness, where other evidence indicates that the spouse could testify to relevant

spouse against the other; or

- (ii) between a surviving spouse and a person claiming through the deceased spouse.
- (C) Crime Against Family, Spouse, Household Member, or Minor Child. In a:
 - (i) proceeding in which a party is accused of conduct that, if proved, is a crime against the person of the other spouse, any member of the household of either spouse, or any minor child; or
 - (ii) criminal proceeding involving a charge of bigamy under Section 25.01 of the Penal Code.
- (D) Commitment or Similar Proceeding. In a proceeding to commit either spouse or otherwise to place the spouse or the spouse's property under another's control because of a mental or physical condition.
- (E) *Proceeding* to *Establish Competence*. In a proceeding brought by or on behalf of either spouse to establish competence.
- (b) Privilege Not to Testify in a Criminal Case.
 - (1) *General Rule.* In a criminal case, an accused's spouse has a privilege not to be called to testify for the state. But this rule neither prohibits a spouse from testifying voluntarily for the state nor gives a spouse a privilege to refuse to be called to testify for the accused.
 - (2) *Failure to Call Spouse.* If other evidence indicates that the accused's spouse could testify to relevant matters, an accused's failure to call the spouse to testify is a proper subject of comment

Page 41

matters, is a proper subject of comment by counsel.

(3) Who may claim the privilege. The privilege not to testify may be claimed by the person or the person's guardian or representative but not by that person's spouse.

(4) *Exceptions*. The privilege of a person's spouse not to be called as a witness for the state does not apply:

(A) Certain criminal proceedings. In any proceeding in which the person is charged with a crime against the person's spouse, a member of the household of either spouse, or any minor, or, in an offense charged under Section 25.01, Penal Code (Bigamy).

(B) *Matters occurring prior to marriage*. As to matters occurring prior to the marriage.

by counsel.

- (3) *Who May Claim.* The privilege not to testify may be claimed by the accused's spouse or the spouse's guardian or representative, but not by the accused.
- (4) *Exceptions*. This privilege does not apply:
 - (A) *Certain Criminal Proceedings*. In a criminal proceeding in which a spouse is charged with:
 - a crime against the other spouse, any member of the household of either spouse, or any minor child; or
 - (ii) bigamy under Section 25.01 of the Penal Code.
 - (B) *Matters That Occurred Before the Marriage.* If the spouse is called to testify about matters that occurred before the marriage.

Comment to 2013 Restyling: Previously, Rule 504(b)(1) provided that, "A spouse who testifies on behalf of an accused is subject to crossexamination as provided in Rule 611(b)." That sentence was included in the original version of Rule 504 when the Texas Rules of Criminal Evidence were promulgated in 1986 and changed the rule to a testimonial privilege held by the witness spouse. Until then, a spouse was deemed incompetent to testify against his or her defendant spouse, and when a spouse testified on behalf of a defendant spouse, the state was limited to cross-examining the spouse about matters relating to the spouse's direct testimony. The quoted sentence from the original Criminal Rule 504(b) was designed to overturn this limitation and allow the state to cross-examine a testifying spouse in the same manner as any other witness. More than twenty-five years later, it is clear that a spouse who testifies either for or against a defendant spouse may be crossexamined in the same manner as any other witness. Therefore, the continued inclusion in the rule of a provision that refers only to the

cross-examination of a spouse who testifies on
behalf of the accused is more confusing than
helpful. Its deletion is designed to clarify the
rule and does not change existing law.
e e

CURRENT TEXAS

RESTYLED TEXAS

RULE 505. COMMUNICATIONS TO	Rule 505.PrivilegeFor
MEMBERS OF THE CLERGY	Communications to a Clergy
(a) Definitions. As used in this rule:	Member
(a) Definitions. As used in this full.	(a) Definitions. In this rule:
(1) A "member of the clergy" is a minister, priest, rabbi, accredited Christian Science Practitioner, or other similar functionary of a religious organization or an individual reasonably believed so to be by the person consulting with such individual.	 (1) A "clergy member" is a minister, priest, rabbi, accredited Christian Science Practitioner, or other similar functionary of a religious organization or someone whom a communicant reasonably believes is a clergy member.
(2) A communication is "confidential" if made privately and not intended for further disclosure except to other persons present in furtherance of the purpose of the communication.	 (2) A "communicant" is a person who consults a clergy member in the clergy member's professional capacity as a spiritual adviser. (3) A communication is "confidential" if made privately and not intended for further disclosure except to other persons present to further the purpose of the communication.
(b) General Rule of Privilege. A person has a privilege to refuse to disclose and to prevent another from disclosing a confidential communication by the person to a member of the clergy in the member's professional character as spiritual adviser.	 (b) General Rule. A communicant has a privilege to refuse to disclose and to prevent any other person from disclosing a confidential communication by the communicant to a clergy member in the clergy member's professional capacity as spiritual adviser.
(c) Who May Claim the Privilege. The privilege may be claimed by the person, by the	(c) Who May Claim. The privilege may be claimed by:
person's guardian or conservator, or by the personal representative of the person if the person is deceased. The member of the clergy to	(1) the communicant;
whom the communication was made is presumed to have authority to claim the privilege but only on behalf of the communicant.	(2) the communicant's guardian or conservator; or
	(3) a deceased communicant's personal representative.
	The clergy member to whom the communication was made may claim the privilege on the communicant's behalf – and is presumed to have authority to do so.

Page 44

CURRENT TEXAS

RULE 506. POLITICAL VOTE	Rule 506.Political Vote Privilege
	A person has a privilege to refuse to disclose the person's vote at a political election conducted by secret ballot unless the vote was cast illegally.

Page 45

CURRENT TEXAS

RULE 507. TRADE SECRETS	Rule 507. Trade Secrets Privilege
A person has a privilege, which may be claimed by the person or the person's agent or employee, to refuse to disclose and to prevent other persons from disclosing a trade secret owned by the person, if the allowance of the privilege will not tend to conceal fraud or otherwise work injustice. When disclosure is directed, the judge shall take such protective measure as the interests of the holder of the privilege and of the parties and the furtherance of justice may require.	 (a) General Rule. A person has a privilege to refuse to disclose and to prevent other persons from disclosing a trade secret owned by the person, unless the court finds that nondisclosure will tend to conceal fraud or otherwise work injustice. (b) Who May Claim. The privilege may be claimed by the person who owns the trade secret or the person's agent or employee. (c) Protective Measure. If a court orders a person to disclose a trade secret, it must take any protective measure required by the interests of the privilege holder and the parties and to further justice.

Page 46

CURRENT TEXAS

RULE 508. IDENTITY OF INFORMER	Rule 508. Informer's Identity Privilege
(a) Rule of Privilege. The United States or a state or subdivision thereof has a privilege to refuse to disclose the identity of a person who has furnished information relating to or assisting in an investigation of a possible violation of a law to a law enforcement officer or member of a legislative committee or its staff conducting an investigation.	 (a) General Rule. The United States, a state, or a subdivision of either has a privilege to refuse to disclose a person's identity if: (1) the person has furnished information to a law enforcement officer or a member of a legislative committee or its staff conducting an investigation of a possible violation of law; and (2) the information relates to or assists in the investigation.
(b) Who May Claim. The privilege may be claimed by an appropriate representative of the public entity to which the information was furnished, except the privilege shall not be allowed in criminal cases if the state objects.	(b) Who May Claim. The privilege may be claimed by an appropriate representative of the public entity to which the informer furnished the information. The court in a criminal case must reject the privilege claim if the state objects.
(c) Exceptions.	(c) Exceptions.
(1) Voluntary disclosure; informer a witness. No privilege exists under this rule if the identity of the informer or the informer's interest in the subject matter of the communication has been disclosed to those who would have cause to resent the communication by a holder of the privilege or by the informer's own action, or if the informer appears as a witness for the public entity.	 (1) Voluntary Disclosure; Informer a Witness. This privilege does not apply if: (A) the informer's identity or the informer's interest in the communication's subject matter has been disclosed – by a privilege holder or the informer's own action – to a person who would have cause to resent the communication; or (B) the informer appears as a witness for the public entity.
(2) <i>Testimony on merits</i> . If it appears from the evidence in the case or from other showing by a party that an informer may be able to give testimony necessary to a fair determination of a material issue on the merits in a civil case to which the public entity is a party, or on guilt or innocence in a criminal case, and the public entity invokes the privilege, the court shall give the public entity an opportunity to show in camera	 (2) Testimony About the Merits. (A) Criminal Case. In a criminal case, this privilege does not apply if the court finds a reasonable probability exists that the informer can give testimony necessary to a fair determination of guilt or innocence. If the court so finds and the public entity elects not to disclose the

facts relevant to determining whether the informer can, in fact, supply that testimony. The showing will ordinarily be in the form of affidavits, but the court may direct that testimony be taken if it finds that the matter cannot be resolved satisfactorily upon affidavit. If the court finds that there is a reasonable probability that the informer can give the testimony, and the public entity elects not to disclose the informer's identity, the court in a civil case may make any order that justice requires, and in a criminal case shall, on motion of the defendant, and may, on the court's own motion, dismiss the charges as to which the testimony would relate. Evidence submitted to the court shall be sealed and preserved to be made available to the appellate court in the event of an appeal, and the contents shall not otherwise be revealed without consent of the public entity. All counsel and parties shall be permitted to be present at every stage of proceedings under this subdivision except a showing in camera, at which no counsel or party shall be permitted to be present.

informer's identity:

- (i) on the defendant's motion, the court must dismiss the charges to which the testimony would relate; or
- (ii) on its own motion, the court may dismiss the charges to which the testimony would relate.
- (B) Certain Civil Cases. In a civil case in which the public entity is a party, this privilege does not apply if the court finds a reasonable probability exists that the informer can give testimony necessary to a fair determination of a material issue on the merits. If the court so finds and the public entity elects not to disclose the informer's identity, the court may make any order that justice requires.

(C) Procedures.

- (i) If it appears that an informer may be able to give the testimony required to invoke this exception and the public entity claims the privilege, the court must give the public entity an opportunity to show in camera facts relevant to determining whether this exception is met. The showing should ordinarily be made by affidavits, but the court may take testimony if it finds the matter cannot be satisfactorily resolved by affidavits.
- (ii) No counsel or party may attend the in camera showing.
- (iii) The court must seal and preserve for appeal evidence submitted under this subparagraph (2)(C). The evidence must not otherwise be

Page 48

(3) Legality of obtaining evidence. If information from an informer is relied upon to establish the legality of the means by which evidence was obtained and the court is not satisfied that the information was received from an informer reasonably believed to be reliable or credible, it may require the identity of the informer to be disclosed. The court shall, on request of the public entity, direct that the disclosure be made in camera. All counsel and parties concerned with the issue of legality shall be permitted to be present at every stage of proceedings under this subdivision except a disclosure in camera, at which no counsel or party shall be permitted to be present. If disclosure of the identity of the informer is made in camera, the record thereof shall be sealed and preserved to be made available to the appellate court in the event of an appeal, and the contents shall not otherwise be revealed without consent of the public entity.

revealed without the public entity's consent.

(3) Legality of Obtaining Evidence.

- (A) Court May Order Disclosure. The court may order the public entity to disclose an informer's identity if:
 - (i) information from an informer is relied on to establish the legality of the means by which evidence was obtained; and
 - (ii) the court is not satisfied that the information was received from an informer reasonably believed to be reliable or credible.

(B) Procedures.

- (i) On the public entity's request, the court must order the disclosure be made in camera.
- (ii) No counsel or party may attend the in camera disclosure.
- (iii) If the informer's identity is disclosed in camera, the court must seal and preserve for appeal the record of the in camera proceeding. The record of the in camera proceeding must not otherwise be revealed without the public entity's consent.

CURRENT TEXAS

RULE 509. PHYSICIAN-PATIENT PRIVILEGE	Rule 509. Physician–Patient Privilege	
(a) Definitions. As used in this rule:	(a) Definitions. In this rule:	
 A "patient" means any person who consults or is seen by a physician to receive medical care. A "physician" means a person licensed to practice medicine in any state or nation, or reasonably believed by the patient so to be. A communication is "confidential" if not intended to be disclosed to third persons other than those present to further the interest of the patient in the consultation, examination, or interview, or those reasonably necessary for the transmission of the communication, or 	 A "patient" is a person who consults or is seen by a physician for medical care. A "physician" is a person licensed, or who the patient reasonably believes is licensed, to practice medicine in any state or nation. A communication is "confidential" if not intended to be disclosed to third persons other than those: (A) present to further the patient's interest in the consultation, examination, or interview; 	
those who are participating in the diagnosis and treatment under the direction of the physician, including members of the patient's family.	 (B) reasonably necessary to transmit the communication; or (C) participating in the diagnosis and treatment under the physician's direction, including members of the patient's family. 	
(b) Limited Privilege in Criminal Proceedings. There is no physician-patient	(b) Limited Privilege in a Criminal Case. There is no physician-patient privilege in a	Comment [sg1]: First version. Expressed as a rule of inadmissibility.
privilege in criminal proceedings. However, a communication to any person involved in the treatment or examination of alcohol or drug abuse by a person being treated voluntarily or being examined for admission to treatment for alcohol or drug abuse is not admissible in a criminal proceeding.	 criminal case. But a confidential communication is not admissible in a criminal case if made: (1) to a person involved in the treatment of or examination for alcohol or drug abuse; and 	
	(2) by a person being treated voluntarily or being examined for admission to treatment for alcohol or drug abuse.	
	(b) Limited Privilege in a Criminal Case. There is no physician-patient privilege in a criminal case. But in a criminal case, a person has a privilege to refuse to disclose and to prevent any other person from disclosing a confidential communication that was made by the person to anyone	Comment [sg2]: Second alternative. Expressed as a privilege.

(c) General Rule of Privilege in Civil Proceedings. In a civil proceeding:	involved in the treatment of or examination for alcohol or drug abuse if the person was being:	
(1) Confidential communications between a physician and a patient, relative to or in connection with any professional services rendered by a physician to the patient are privileged and may not be disclosed.	(1) treated voluntarily for alcohol or drug abuse; or(2) examined for admission to treatment for alcohol or drug abuse.	
(2) Records of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient by a physician that are created or maintained by a physician are confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed.	 (c) General Rule in a Civil Case. In a civil case, a patient has a privilege to refuse to disclose and to prevent any other person from disclosing: 	
(3) The provisions of this rule apply even if the patient received the services of a physician prior to the enactment of the Medical Liability and Insurance Improvement Act, TEX. REV. CIV. STAT. art.	 (1) a confidential communication between a physician and the patient that relates to or was made in connection with any professional services the physician rendered the patient; and 	
4590i.	(2) a record of the patient's identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment created or maintained by a physician.	
(d) Who May Claim the Privilege in a Civil Proceeding. In a civil proceeding:	(d) Who May Claim in a Civil Case. The privilege may be claimed by:	
(1) The privilege of confidentiality may be claimed by the patient or by a representative of the patient acting on the patient's behalf.(2) The physician may claim the privilege of	(1) the patient; or(2) the patient's representative on the patient's behalf.	
confidentiality, but only on behalf of the patient. The authority to do so is presumed in the absence of evidence to the contrary.	The physician may claim the privilege on the patient's behalf — and is presumed to have authority to do so.	
(e) Exceptions in a Civil Proceeding. Exceptions to confidentiality or privilege in administrative proceedings or in civil	(e) Exceptions in a Civil Case. This privilege does not apply:	
proceedings in court exist:		
(1) when the proceedings are brought by the	(1) <i>Proceeding Against Physician.</i> If the communication or record is relevant to a physician's claim or defense in:	
(1) when the proceedings are brought by the patient against a physician, including but not limited to malpractice proceedings, and in any license revocation proceeding in which	communication or record is relevant to a	
(1) when the proceedings are brought by the patient against a physician, including but not limited to malpractice proceedings, and in	communication or record is relevant to a physician's claim or defense in:(A) a proceeding the patient brings	

to act on the patient's behalf submits a written consent to the release of any privileged information, as provided in paragraph (f);

(3) when the purpose of the proceedings is to substantiate and collect on a claim for medical services rendered to the patient;

(4) as to a communication or record relevant to an issue of the physical, mental or emotional condition of a patient in any proceeding in which any party relies upon the condition as a part of the party's claim or defense;

(5) in any disciplinary investigation or proceeding of a physician conducted under or pursuant to the Medical Practice Act, TEX. REV. CIV. STAT. art. 4495b, or of a registered nurse under or pursuant to TEX. REV. CIV. STAT. arts. 4525, 4527a, 4527b, and 4527c, provided that the board shall protect the identity of any patient whose medical records are examined, except for those patients covered under subparagraph (e)(1) or those patients who have submitted written consent to the release of their medical records as provided by paragraph (f);

(6) in an involuntary civil commitment proceeding, proceeding for court-ordered treatment, or probable cause hearing under TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE ch. 464; tit. 7, subtit. C; and tit. 7, subtit. D;

- (2) *Consent.* If the patient or a person authorized to act on the patient's behalf consents in writing to the release of any privileged information, as provided in subdivision (f).
- (3) *Action to Collect.* In an action to collect a claim for medical services rendered to the patient.
- (4) *Party Relies on Patient's Condition.* If any party relies on the patient's physical, mental, or emotional condition as a part of the party's claim or defense and the communication or record is relevant to that condition.
- (5) Disciplinary **Investigation** or Proceeding. In a disciplinary investigation of or proceeding against a physician under the Medical Practice Act, Tex. Occ. Code § 164.001 et seq., or a registered nurse under Tex. Occ. Code § 301.451 et seq. But the board conducting the investigation or proceeding must protect the identity of any patient whose medical records are examined unless:
 - (A) the patient's records would be subject to disclosure under paragraph (e)(1); or
 - (B) the patient has consented in writing to the release of medical records, as provided in subdivision (f).
- (6) *Involuntary Civil Commitment or Similar Proceeding.* In a proceeding for involuntary civil commitment or courtordered treatment, or a probable cause hearing under Tex. Health & Safety Code:
 - (A) chapter 464 (Facilities Treating Alcoholics and Drug-Dependent Persons);
 - (**B**) title 7, subtitle C (Texas Mental Health Code); or

(f) Consent. (f) Consent for the release of privileged information must be in writing and signed by the prateint is a minor, or a legal guardiant if the patient has been adjudicated incompetent in amage personal affairs; suthorized by TEX. FRAM. CODE 5 (1070); result (100) an attorney appointed for the patient is a minor; and TEX. FAM. CODE 5 (1070); result (100) an attorney appointed for the patient is calculated by the release; (f) Doment fere Release of Privileged in the patient is a minor; and TEX. FAM. CODE 5 (1070); result (100) an attorney appointed for the patient is a minor; and TEX. FAM. CODE 5 (1070); result (100) an attorney appointed for the patient is a been adjudicated incompetent to be covered by the release; (f) Doment fere Release of Privileged intervention or medical records to be released. (f) Doment fere Release of Privileged interventions bein analyticated incompetent to be released. (f) Doment fere Release of Privileged interventions been adjudicated incompetent to be released. (f) Doment fere Release of Privileged interventions been adjudicated incompetent to the patient is a minor; and the patient is a more adjudicated incompetent to be released. (f) Doment fere Release of Privileged interventions been adjudicated incompetent to the patient information is been adjudicated incompetent to be released. (f) an attorney ad litem or guardian if the patient is subchapter B; or su	(7) in any proceeding regarding the abuse or neglect, or the cause of any abuse or neglect, of the resident of an "institution" as defined in TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 242.002.	 (C) title 7, subtitle D (Persons With Mental Retardation Act). (7) Abuse or Neglect of "Institution" Resident. In a proceeding regarding the abuse or neglect, or the cause of any abuse or neglect, of a resident of an "institution" as defined in Tex. Health & Safety Code § 242.002. 	
(b) the periodit to whom the information	 (1) Consent for the release of privileged information must be in writing and signed by the patient, or a parent or legal guardian if the patient is a minor, or a legal guardian if the patient has been adjudicated incompetent to manage personal affairs, or an attorney ad litem appointed for the patient, as authorized by TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE tit. 7, subtits. C and D; TEX. PROB. CODE ch. V; and TEX. FAM. CODE § 107.011; or a personal representative if the patient is deceased, provided that the written consent specifies the following: (A) the information or medical records to be covered by the release; and (C) the person to whom the information is to be released. (2) The patient, or other person authorized to consent, has the right to withdraw consent to the release of any information. Withdrawal of consent does not affect any information disclosed prior to the written notice of the withdrawal. (3) Any person who received information made privileged by this rule may disclose the information to others only to the extent consistent with the authorized purposes for which consent to release the information was 	 Information. (1) Consent for the release of privileged information must be in writing and signed by: (A) the patient; (B) a parent or legal guardian if the patient is a minor; (C) a legal guardian if the patient has been adjudicated incompetent to manage personal affairs; (D) an attorney appointed for the patient under Tex. Health & Safety Code title 7, subtitles C and D; (E) an attorney ad litem appointed for the patient under Tex. Prob. Code chapter XIII; (F) an attorney ad litem or guardian ad litem appointed for a minor under Tex. Fam. Code chapter 107, subchapter B; or (G) a personal representative if the patient is deceased. (2) The consent must specify: (A) the information or medical records covered by the release; and 	is scheduled to be replaced by the Texas Estates Code on 1/1/2014. The corresponding citation will be Tex. Estates Code title 3, subtitle E.

is to be released.
(3) The patient, or other person authorized to consent, may withdraw consent to the release of any information. But a withdrawal of consent does not affect any information disclosed before the patient or authorized person gave written notice of the withdrawal.
(4) Any person who receives information privileged under this rule may disclose the information only to the extent consistent with the purposes specified in the consent.
Comment to 2013 Restyling: The physician- patient privilege in a civil case was first enacted in Texas in 1981 as part of the Medical Practice Act, formerly codified in Tex. Rev. Civ. Stat. art. 4495b. That statute provided that the privilege applied even if a patient had received a physician's services before the statute's enactment. Because more than thirty years have now passed, it is no longer necessary to burden the text of the rule with a statement regarding the privilege's retroactive application. But deleting this statement from the rule's text is not intended as a substantive change in the law.
The former rule's reference to "confidentiality or" and "administrative proceedings" in subdivision (e) [Exceptions in a Civil Case] has been deleted. First, this rule is a privilege rule only. Tex. Occ. Code § 159.004 sets forth exceptions to a physician's duty to maintain confidentiality of patient information outside court and administrative proceedings. Second, by their own terms the rules of evidence govern only proceedings in Texas courts. See Rule 101(b). To the extent the rules apply in administrative proceedings, it is because the Administrative Procedure Act mandates their applicability. Tex. Gov't Code § 2001.083 provides that "In a contested case, a state agency shall give effect to the rules of privilege recognized by law." Section 2001.091 excludes privileged material from discovery in contested administrative cases.
Statutory references in the former rule that are

	no longer up-to-date have been revised.
--	---

CURRENT TEXAS

RESTYLED TEXAS

RULE 510. CONFIDENTIALITY OF MENTAL HEALTH INFORMATION IN CIVIL CASES	Rule 510.Mental Health Information Privilege in Civil Cases
(a) Definitions. As used in this rule:	(a) Definitions. In this rule:
(1) "Professional" means any person:	(1) A "professional" is a person:
(A) authorized to practice medicine in any state or nation;	(A) authorized to practice medicine in any state or nation;
(B) licensed or certified by the State of Texas in the diagnosis, evaluation or treatment of any mental or emotional disorder;	(B) licensed or certified by the State of Texas in the diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of any mental or emotional disorder;
(C) involved in the treatment or examination of drug abusers; or	(C) involved in the treatment or examination of drug abusers; or
(D) reasonably believed by the patient to be included in any of the preceding	(D) who the patient reasonably believes to be a professional under this rule.
categories.	(2) A "patient" is a person who:
 (2) "Patient" means any person who: (A) consults, or is interviewed by, a professional for purposes of diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of any mental or emotional condition or disorder, including alcoholism and drug addiction; or 	 (A) consults or is interviewed by a professional for diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of any mental or emotional condition or disorder, including alcoholism and drug addiction; or (B) is being treated voluntarily or being
(B) is being treated voluntarily or being examined for admission to voluntary treatment for drug abuse.	(a) a coning actual of admission to voluntary treatment for drug abuse.(3) A "patient's representative" is:
(3) A representative of the patient is:	(A) any person who has the patient's
(A) any person bearing the written consent of the patient;	written consent; (B) the parent of a minor patient;
(B) a parent if the patient is a minor;	(C) the guardian of a patient who has been adjudicated incompetent to
(C) a guardian if the patient has been adjudicated incompetent to manage the patient's personal affairs; or	manage personal affairs; or(D) the personal representative of a deceased patient.
(D) the patient's personal representative if the patient is deceased.	(4) A communication is "confidential" if

Page 56

(4) A communication is "confidential" if not intended to be disclosed to third persons other than those present to further the interest of the patient in the diagnosis, examination, evaluation, or treatment, or those reasonably necessary for the transmission of the communication, or those who are participating in the diagnosis, examination, evaluation, or treatment under the direction of the professional, including members of the patient's family.

(b) General Rule of Privilege.

(1) Communication between a patient and a professional is confidential and shall not be disclosed in civil cases.

(2) Records of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient which are created or maintained by a professional are confidential and shall not be disclosed in civil cases.

(3) Any person who received information from confidential communications or records as defined herein, other than a representative of the patient acting on the patient's behalf, shall not disclose in civil cases the information except to the extent that disclosure is consistent with the authorized purposes for which the information was first obtained.

(4) The provisions of this rule apply even if the patient received the services of a professional prior to the enactment of TEX. REV. CIV. STAT. art. 5561h (Vernon Supp. 1984)(now codified as TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 611.001–611.008).

(c) Who May Claim the Privilege.

(1) The privilege of confidentiality may be claimed by the patient or by a representative of the patient acting on the patient's behalf.

(2) The professional may claim the privilege of confidentiality but only on behalf of the patient. The authority to do so is presumed in not intended to be disclosed to third persons other than those:

- (A) present to further the patient's interest in the diagnosis, examination, evaluation, or treatment;
- (B) reasonably necessary to transmit the communication; or
- (C) participating in the diagnosis, examination, evaluation, or treatment under the professional's direction, including members of the patient's family.

(b) General Rule; Disclosure.

- (1) In a civil case, a patient has a privilege to refuse to disclose and to prevent any other person from disclosing:
 - (A) a confidential communication between the patient and a professional; and
 - (B) a record of the patient's identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment that is created or maintained by a professional.
- (2) In a civil case, any person other than a patient's representative acting on the patient's behalf — who receives information privileged under this rule may disclose the information only to the extent consistent with the purposes for which it was obtained.
- (c) Who May Claim. The privilege may be claimed by:
 - (1) the patient; or
 - (2) the patient's representative on the patient's behalf.

The professional may claim the privilege on the patient's behalf — and is presumed to have authority to do so.

Page 57

the absence of evidence to the contrary.

(d) Exceptions. Exceptions to the privilege in court or administrative proceedings exist:

(1) when the proceedings are brought by the patient against a professional, including but not limited to malpractice proceedings, and in any license revocation proceedings in which the patient is a complaining witness and in which disclosure is relevant to the claim or defense of a professional;

(2) when the patient waives the right in writing to the privilege of confidentiality of any information, or when a representative of the patient acting on the patient's behalf submits a written waiver to the confidentiality privilege;

(3) when the purpose of the proceeding is to substantiate and collect on a claim for mental or emotional health services rendered to the patient;

(4) when the judge finds that the patient after having been previously informed that communications would not be privileged, has made communications to a professional in the course of a court-ordered examination relating to the patient's mental or emotional condition or disorder, providing that such communications shall not be privileged only with respect to issues involving the patient's mental or emotional health. On granting of the order, the court, in determining the extent to which any disclosure of all or any part of any communication is necessary, shall impose appropriate safeguards against unauthorized disclosure;

(5) as to a communication or record relevant to an issue of the physical, mental or emotional condition of a patient in any proceeding in which any party relies upon the condition as a part of the party's claim or defense;

(6) in any proceeding regarding the abuse or neglect, or the cause of any abuse or neglect, of the resident of an institution as defined in (d) Exceptions. This privilege does not apply:

- (1) *Proceeding Against Professional*. If the communication or record is relevant to a professional's claim or defense in:
 - (A) a proceeding the patient brings against a professional; or
 - (B) a license revocation proceeding in which the patient is a complaining witness.
- (2) *Written Waiver*. If the patient or a person authorized to act on the patient's behalf waives the privilege in writing.
- (3) *Action to Collect.* In an action to collect a claim for mental or emotional health services rendered to the patient.
- (4) Communication Made in Court-Ordered Examination. To a communication the patient made to a professional during a court-ordered examination relating to the patient's mental or emotional condition or disorder if:
 - (A) the patient made the communication after being informed that it would not be privileged;
 - (B) the communication is offered to prove an issue involving the patient's mental or emotional health; and
 - (C) the court imposes appropriate safeguards against unauthorized disclosure.
- (5) *Party Relies on Patient's Condition.* If any party relies on the patient's physical, mental, or emotional condition as a part of the party's claim or defense and the communication or record is relevant to that condition.

TEX. HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE § 242.002.	(6) Abuse or Neglect of "Institution"
	<i>Resident.</i> In a proceeding regarding the
	abuse or neglect, or the cause of any
	abuse or neglect, of a resident of an
	6
	"institution" as defined in Tex. Health
	& Safety Code § 242.002.
	Commont to 2013 Destryling. The montal
	Comment to 2013 Restyling: The mental
	health information privilege in civil cases was
	enacted in Texas in 1979. Tex. Rev. Civ. Stat.
	art. 5561h (later codified at Tex. Health &
	Safety Code § 611.001 et seq.) provided that the
	privilege applied even if the patient had received
	the professional's services before the statute's
	enactment. Because more than thirty years have
	now passed, it is no longer necessary to burden
	the text of the rule with a statement regarding
	the privilege's retroactive application. But
	deleting this statement from the rule's text is not
	intended as a substantive change in the law.
	mended as a substantive change in the law.

CURRENT TEXAS	RESTYLED TEXAS
RULE 511. WAIVER OF PRIVILEGE BY VOLUNTARY DISCLOSURE A person upon whom these rules confer a privilege against disclosure waives the privilege if: (1) the person or a predecessor of the person while holder of the privilege voluntarily discloses or consents to disclosure of any significant part of the privileged matter	Rule 511. [PROPOSED AREC AND SCAC VERSIONS OF RULE 511 ALREADY PENDING BEFORE SUPREME COURT]
 (2) the person or a representative of the person calls a person to whom privileged communications have been made to testify as to the person's character or character trait insofar as such communications are relevant to such character or character trait. 	

Page 60

Current TRE – Restyled TRE revised 9.12.13

CURRENT TEXAS

RULE 512. PRIVILEGED MATTER DISCLOSED UNDER COMPULSION OR WITHOUT OPPORTUNITY TO CLAIM PRIVILEGE	Rule 512.Privileged Matter Disclosed Under Compulsion or Without Opportunity to Claim Privilege
A claim of privilege is not defeated by a disclosure which was (1) compelled erroneously or (2) made without opportunity to claim the privilege.	A privilege claim is not defeated by a disclosure that was:(a) compelled erroneously; or
privilege.	(b) made without opportunity to claim the privilege.

Page 61

CURRENT TEXAS

RULE513.COMMENTUPONORINFERENCEFROMCLAIMOFPRIVILEGE;INSTRUCTION	Rule 513.Comment On or InferenceFrom a Privilege Claim;Instruction
(a) Comment or Inference Not Permitted. Except as permitted in Rule 504(b)(2), the claim of a privilege, whether in the present proceeding or upon a prior occasion, is not a proper subject of comment by judge or counsel, and no inference may be drawn therefrom.	(a) Comment or Inference Not Permitted. Except as permitted in Rule 504(b)(2), neither the court nor counsel may comment on a privilege claim – whether made in the present proceeding or previously – and the factfinder may not draw an inference from the claim.
(b) Claiming Privilege Without Knowledge of Jury. In jury cases, proceedings shall be conducted, to the extent practicable, so as to facilitate the making of claims of privilege without the knowledge of the jury.	(b) Claiming Privilege Without the Jury's Knowledge. To the extent practicable, the court must conduct a jury trial so that the making of a privilege claim is not suggested to the jury by any means.
(c) Claim of Privilege Against Self– Incrimination in Civil Cases. Paragraphs (a) and (b) shall not apply with respect to a party's claim, in the present civil proceeding, of the privilege against self-incrimination.	(c) Claim of Privilege Against Self– Incrimination in a Civil Case. Subdivisions (a) and (b) do not apply to a party's claim, in the present civil case, of the privilege against self-incrimination.
(d) Jury Instruction. Except as provided in Rule 504(b)(2) and in paragraph (c) of this Rule, upon request any party against whom the jury might draw an adverse inference from a claim of privilege is entitled to an instruction that no inference may be drawn therefrom.	(d) Jury Instruction. When this rule forbids a jury from drawing an inference from a privilege claim, the court must, on request of a party against whom the jury might draw the inference, instruct the jury accordingly.

witness from testifying, instruct the jury that

Page 62

ARTICLE VI. WITNESSES

CURRENT TEXAS

RESTYLED TEXAS

RULE COMPETENCY Rule 601. 601. AND Competency to Testify in **INCOMPETENCY OF WITNESSES** General; "Dead Man's Rule" (a) General Rule. Every person is competent to (a) In General. Every person is competent to be a witness unless these rules provide be a witness except as otherwise provided in these rules. The following witnesses shall be otherwise. The following witnesses are incompetent to testify in any proceeding subject incompetent: to these rules: (1) Insane Persons. A person who is now (1) Insane persons. Insane persons who, in insane or was insane at the time of the the opinion of the court, are in an insane events about which the person is called condition of mind at the time when they are to testify. offered as a witness, or who, in the opinion of the court, were in that condition when the (2) Persons Lacking Sufficient Intellect. events happened of which they are called to A child-or any other person-who the court examines and finds lacks testify. sufficient intellect to testify. (2) Children. Children or other persons who, after being examined by the court, appear not (b) The "Dead Man's Rule." to possess sufficient intellect to relate (1) Applicability. The "Dead Man's Rule" transactions with respect to which they are interrogated. applies only in a civil case: (b) "Dead Man's Rule" in Civil Actions. In (A) by or against a party in the party's civil actions by or against executors, capacity as an executor, administrators, or guardians, in which judgment administrator, or guardian; or may be rendered for or against them as such, neither party shall be allowed to testify against (B) by or against a decedent's heirs or the others as to any oral statement by the legal representatives and based in testator, intestate or ward, unless that testimony whole or in part on the decedent's oral statement. to the oral statement is corroborated or unless the witness is called at the trial to testify thereto by the opposite party; and, the provisions of this (2) General Rule. In cases described in article shall extend to and include all actions by subparagraph (b)(1)(A), a party may not testify against another party about an or against the heirs or legal representatives of a decedent based in whole or in part on such oral oral statement by the testator, intestate, statement. Except for the foregoing, a witness is In cases described in or ward. not precluded from giving evidence of or subparagraph (b)(1)(B), a party may not concerning any transaction with, any testify against another party about an conversations with, any admissions of, or oral statement by the decedent. statement by, a deceased or insane party or person merely because the witness is a party to (3) Exceptions. A party may testify against the action or a person interested in the event another party about an oral statement by thereof. The trial court shall, in a proper case, the testator, intestate, ward, or decedent where this rule prohibits an interested party or if

such person is not permitted by the law to give	(A) the party's testimony about the	
evidence relating to any oral statement by the	statement is corroborated; or	
deceased or ward unless the oral statement is		
corroborated or unless the party or witness is	(B) the opposing party calls the party to	
called at the trial by the opposite party.	testify at the trial about the	
	statement.	
	(4) Instructions. If a court excludes	
	evidence under paragraph (b)(2), the	
	court must instruct the jury that the law	
	prohibits a party from testifying about	
	an oral statement by the testator,	
	intestate, ward, or decedent unless the	
	oral statement is corroborated or the	
	opposing party calls the party to testify	
	at the trial about the statement.	
	Comment to 2013 Restyling: The text of the	
	"Dead Man's Rule" has been streamlined to	
	clarify its meaning without making any substantive changes. The text of former Rule	
	601(b) (as well as its statutory predecessor,	
	Vernon's Ann.Civ.St. art. 3716) prohibits only	
	a "party" from testifying about the dead man's	
	statements. Despite this, the last sentence of	
	former Rule 601(b) requires the court to	
	instruct the jury when the rule "prohibits an	
	interested party or witness" from testifying.	
	Because the rule prohibits only a "party" from	
	testifying, restyled Rule 601(b)(4) references	
	only "a party," and not "an interested party or	
	witness." To be sure, courts have indicated	
	that the rule (or its statutory predecessor) may be applicable to a witness who is not nominally	
	a party and inapplicable to a witness who is	
	only nominally a party. See, e.g., Chandler v.	
	Welborn, 156 Tex. 312, 294 S.W.2d 801, 809	
	(1956); Ragsdale v. Ragsdale, 142 Tex. 476,	
	179 S.W.2d 291, 295 (1944). But these	
	decisions are based on an interpretation of the	
	meaning of "party." Therefore, limiting the	
	court's instruction under restyled Rule	
	601(b)(4) to "a party" does not change Texas	
	practice. In addition, restyled Rule 601(b)	
	deletes the sentence in former Rule 601(b) that	
	states "Except for the foregoing, a witness is	
	not precluded from giving evidence because the witness is a party to the action"	
	This sentence is surplusage. Rule 601(b) is a	
	rule of exclusion. If the testimony falls outside	
	the rule of exclusion, its admissibility will be	
	and the of energoiding his admissioning will be	

determined evidence.	by	other	applicable	rules	of

Page 65

CURRENT TEXAS

RULE 602. LACK OF PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE	Rule 602. Need for Personal Knowledge
A witness may not testify to a matter unless	A witness may testify to a matter only if
evidence is introduced sufficient to support a	evidence is introduced sufficient to support a
finding that the witness has personal knowledge	finding that the witness has personal
of the matter. Evidence to prove personal	knowledge of the matter. Evidence to prove
knowledge may, but need not, consist of the	personal knowledge may consist of the
testimony of the witness. This rule is subject to	witness's own testimony. This rule does not
the provisions of Rule 703, relating to opinion	apply to a witness's expert testimony under
testimony by expert witnesses.	Rule 703.

Page 66

CURRENT TEXAS

RULE 603. OATH OR AFFIRMATION	Rule 603. Oath or Affirmation to
	Testify Truthfully
Before testifying, every witness shall be	
	Before testifying, a witness must give an oath
truthfully, by oath or affirmation administered in	
a form calculated to awaken the witness'	
conscience and impress the witness' mind with	witness's conscience.
the duty to do so.	

Page 67

CURRENT TEXAS

RULE 604. INTERPRETERS	Rule 604. Interpreter
	An interpreter must be qualified and must give an oath or affirmation to make a true translation.

Page 68

CURRENT TEXAS

RULE 605. COMPETENCY OF JUDGE AS	Rule 605. Judge's Competency as a
A WITNESS	Witness
	The presiding judge may not testify as a witness at the trial. A party need not object to preserve the issue.

Page 69

CURRENT TEXAS

RULE 606. COMPETENCY OF JUROR AS	Rule 606. Juror's Competency as a
A WITNESS	Witness
 (a) At the Trial. A member of the jury may not testify as a witness before that jury in the trial of the case in which the juror is sitting as a juror. If the juror is called so to testify, the opposing party shall be afforded an opportunity to object out of the presence of the jury. (b) Inquiry Into Validity of Verdict or Indictment. Upon an inquiry into the validity of a verdict or indictment, a juror may not testify as to any matter or statement occurring during the jury's deliberations, or to the effect of anything on any juror's mind or emotions or mental processes, as influencing any juror's assent to or dissent from the verdict or indictment. Nor may a juror's affidavit or any statement by a juror would be precluded from testifying be admitted in evidence for any of these purposes. However, a juror may testify: (1) whether any outside influence was improperly brought to bear upon any juror; or (2) to rebut a claim that the juror was not qualified to serve. 	 (a) At the Trial. A juror may not testify as a witness before the other jurors at the trial. If a juror is called to testify, the court must give a party an opportunity to object outside the jury's presence. (b) During an Inquiry into the Validity of a Verdict or Indictment. (1) Prohibited Testimony or Other Evidence. During an inquiry into the validity of a verdict or indictment, a juror may not testify about any statement made or incident that occurred during the jury's deliberations; the effect of anything on that juror's or another juror's vote; or any juror's mental processes concerning the verdict or indictment. The court may not receive a juror's affidavit or evidence of a juror's statement on these matters. (2) Exceptions. A juror may testify: (A) about whether an outside influence was improperly brought to bear on any juror; or (B) to rebut a claim that the juror was not qualified to serve.

CURRENT TEXAS

RESTYLED TEXAS

RULE 607. WHO MAY IMPEACH	Rule 607. Who May Impeach Witness
The credibility of a witness may be attacked by any party, including the party calling the witness.	

Page 71

CURRENT TEXAS

RESTYLED TEXAS

RULE 608. EVIDENCE OF CHARACTERRule 608.AND CONDUCT OF A WITNESS

(a) Opinion and Reputation Evidence of Character. The credibility of a witness may be attacked or supported by evidence in the form of opinion or reputation, but subject to these limitations:

- (1) the evidence may refer only to character for truthfulness or untruthfulness; and
- (2) evidence of truthful character is admissible only after the character of the witness for truthfulness has been attacked by opinion or reputation evidence or otherwise.

(b) Specific Instances of Conduct. Specific instances of the conduct of a witness, for the purpose of attacking or supporting the witness' credibility, other than conviction of crime as provided in Rule 609, may not be inquired into on cross-examination of the witness nor proved by extrinsic evidence.

A Witness's Character for Truthfulness or Untruthfulness

- (a) **Reputation or Opinion Evidence.** A witness's credibility may be attacked or supported by testimony about the witness's reputation for having a character for truthfulness or untruthfulness, or by testimony in the form of an opinion about that character. But evidence of truthful character is admissible only after the witness's character for truthfulness has been attacked.
- (b) Specific Instances of Conduct. Except for a criminal conviction under Rule 609, a party may not inquire into or offer extrinsic evidence to prove specific instances of the witness's conduct in order to attack or support the witness's character for truthfulness.

CURRENT TEXAS

RESTYLED TEXAS

RULE 609. IMPEACHMENT Rule 609. BY Impeachment by Evidence of EVIDENCE OF CONVICTION OF CRIME a Criminal Conviction (a) General Rule. For the purpose of attacking (a) In General. Evidence of a criminal the credibility of a witness, evidence that the conviction offered to attack a witness's witness has been convicted of a crime shall be character for truthfulness must be admitted admitted if elicited from the witness or if established by public record but only if the crime was a felony or involved moral turpitude, (1) the crime was a felony or involved regardless of punishment, and the court turpitude, regardless moral of determines that the probative value of admitting punishment; this evidence outweighs its prejudicial effect to a (2) the probative value of the evidence party. outweighs its prejudicial effect to a party; and (3) it is elicited from the witness or established by public record. (b) Time Limit. Evidence of a conviction under (b) Limit on Using the Evidence After 10 this rule is not admissible if a period of more Years. This subdivision (b) applies if more than ten years has elapsed since the date of the than 10 years have passed since the witness's conviction or release from conviction or of the release of the witness from the confinement imposed for that conviction, confinement for it, whichever is later. Evidence of the conviction is admissible whichever is the later date, unless the court determines, in the interests of justice, that the only if its probative value, supported by probative value of the conviction supported by specific facts and circumstances, specific facts and circumstances substantially substantially outweighs its prejudicial outweighs its prejudicial effect. effect. (c) Effect of Pardon, Annulment, or (c) Effect of a Pardon, Annulment, or Certificate of Rehabilitation. Evidence of Certificate of Rehabilitation. Evidence of a conviction is not admissible under this rule if: a conviction is not admissible if: (1) based on the finding of the rehabilitation of (1) the conviction has been the subject of a the person convicted, the conviction has been pardon, annulment, certificate of rehabilitation, or other equivalent the subject of a pardon, annulment, certificate of procedure based on a finding that the rehabilitation, or other equivalent procedure, and that person has not been convicted of a person has been rehabilitated, and the subsequent crime which was classified as a person has not been convicted of a later

felony or involved moral turpitude, regardless of punishment;
(2) probation has been satisfactorily completed for the crime for which the person was convicted, and that person has not been convicted of a subsequent crime which was

classified as a felony or involved moral

(2) probation has been satisfactorily completed for the conviction, and the person has not been convicted of a later crime that was classified as a felony or

punishment;

crime that was classified as a felony or involved moral turpitude, regardless of

turpitude, regardless of punishment; or

(3) based on a finding of innocence, the conviction has been the subject of a pardon, annulment, or other equivalent procedure.

(d) Juvenile Adjudications. Evidence of juvenile adjudications is not admissible, except for proceedings conducted pursuant to Title III, Family Code, in which the witness is a party, under this rule unless required to be admitted by the Constitution of the United States or Texas.

(e) **Pendency of Appeal.** Pendency of an appeal renders evidence of a conviction inadmissible.

(f) Notice. Evidence of a conviction is not admissible if after timely written request by the adverse party specifying the witness or witnesses, the proponent fails to give to the adverse party sufficient advance written notice of intent to use such evidence to provide the adverse party with a fair opportunity to contest the use of such evidence. involved moral turpitude, regardless of punishment; or

- (3) the conviction has been the subject of a pardon, annulment, or other equivalent procedure based on a finding of innocence.
- (d) Juvenile Adjudications. Evidence of a juvenile adjudication is admissible under this rule only if:
 - (1) the witness is a party in a proceeding conducted under title 3 of the Texas Family Code; or
 - (2) the United States or Texas Constitution requires it be admitted.
- (e) **Pendency of an Appeal.** A conviction for which an appeal is pending is not admissible under this rule.
- (f) Notice. Evidence of a witness's conviction is not admissible under this rule if, after receiving from the adverse party a timely written request specifying the witness, the proponent of the conviction fails to provide sufficient written notice of intent to use the conviction. Notice is sufficient if it provides a fair opportunity to contest the use of such evidence.

Page 74

CURRENT TEXAS RESTYLED TEXAS

RULE 610. RELIGIOUS BELIEFS OR	Rule 610.Religious Beliefs or Opinions
OPINIONS	Evidence of a witness's religious beliefs or
Evidence of the beliefs or opinions of a witness on matters of religion is not admissible for the purpose of showing that by reason of their nature the witness' credibility is impaired or	opinions is not admissible to attack or support the witness's credibility.
enhanced.	

Page 75

CURRENT TEXAS

RULE 611. MODE AND ORDER OF INTERROGATION AND PRESENTATION (a) Control by Court. The court shall exercise reasonable control over the mode and order of interrogating witnesses and presenting evidence so as to (1) make the interrogation and presentation effective for the ascertainment of the truth, (2) avoid needless consumption of time, and (3) protect witnesses from harassment or undue embarrassment.	Rule 611. Mode and Order of Examining Witnesses and Presenting Evidence (a) Control by the Court; Purposes. The court should exercise reasonable control over the mode and order of examining witnesses and presenting evidence so as to: (1) make those procedures effective for determining the truth; (2) avoid wasting time; and (3) protect witnesses from harassment or undue embarrassment.
(b) Scope of Cross-Examination. A witness may be cross-examined on any matter relevant to any issue in the case, including credibility.	(b) Scope of Cross-Examination. A witness may be cross-examined on any relevant matter, including credibility.
(c) Leading Questions. Leading questions should not be used on the direct examination of a witness except as may be necessary to develop the testimony of the witness. Ordinarily leading questions should be permitted on cross-examination. When a party calls a hostile witness, an adverse party, or a witness identified with an adverse party, interrogation may be by	 (c) Leading Questions. Leading questions should not be used on direct examination except as necessary to develop the witness's testimony. Ordinarily, the court should allow leading questions: (1) on cross-examination; and
leading questions.	(2) when a party calls a hostile witness, an adverse party, or a witness identified with an adverse party.

CURRENT TEXAS

Page 76

RULE 612. WRITING USED TO REFRESH Rule 612. Writing Used to Refresh a MEMORY Witness's Memory If a witness uses a writing to refresh memory for (a) Scope. This rule gives an adverse party the purpose of testifying either certain options when a witness uses a writing to refresh memory: (1) while testifying; (1) while testifying; (2) before testifying, in civil cases, if the court in its discretion determines it is (2) before testifying, in civil cases, if the necessary in the interests of justice; or court decides that justice requires the party to have those options; or (3) before testifying, in criminal cases; (3) before testifying, in criminal cases. an adverse party is entitled to have the writing produced at the hearing, to inspect it, to (b) Adverse Party's Options; Deleting cross-examine the witness thereon, and to Unrelated Matter. An adverse party is introduce in evidence those portions which entitled to have the writing produced at the relate to the testimony of the witness. If it is hearing, to inspect it, to cross-examine the claimed that the writing contains matters not witness about it, and to introduce in related to the subject matter of the testimony the evidence any portion that relates to the court shall examine the writing in camera, excise witness's testimony. If the producing party any portion not so related, and order delivery of claims that the writing includes unrelated the remainder to the party entitled thereto. Any matter, the court must examine the writing portion withheld over objections shall be in camera, delete any unrelated portion, preserved and made available to the appellate and order that the rest be delivered to the court in the event of an appeal. If a writing is not adverse party. Any portion deleted over produced or delivered pursuant to order under objection must be preserved for the record. this rule, the court shall make any order justice (c) Failure to Produce or Deliver the requires, except that in criminal cases when the Writing. If a writing is not produced or is prosecution elects not to comply, the order shall be one striking the testimony or, if the court in not delivered as ordered, the court may its discretion determines that the interests of issue any appropriate order. But if the justice so require, declaring a mistrial. prosecution does not comply in a criminal case, the court must strike the witness's testimony or - if justice so requires declare a mistrial.

Page 77

CURRENT TEXAS

RULE 613. PRIOR STATEMENTS OF	VERSION 1:	Comment [sg4]: PRACTICE-ORIENTED
WITNESSES: IMPEACHMENT AND	Rule 613. Witness's Prior Statement	VERSION
SUPPORT	and Bias or Interest	
(a) Examining Witness Concerning Prior	(a) Witness's Prior Inconsistent Statement.	
Inconsistent Statement. In examining a witness		
concerning a prior inconsistent statement made	(1) Foundation Requirement. When	
by the witness, whether oral or written, and	examining a witness about the witness's	
before further cross-examination concerning, or	prior inconsistent statement-whether	
extrinsic evidence of, such statement may be	oral or written—a party must first tell	
allowed, the witness must be told the contents of	the witness:	
such statement and the time and place and the		
person to whom it was made, and must be	(A) the contents of the statement;	
afforded an opportunity to explain or deny such		
statement. If written, the writing need not be	(B) the time and place of the statement;	
shown to the witness at that time, but on request	and	
the same shall be shown to opposing counsel. If		
the witness unequivocally admits having made	(C) the person to whom the witness	
such statement, extrinsic evidence of same shall	made the statement.	
not be admitted. This provision does not apply		
to admissions of a party-opponent as defined in	(2) Need Not Show Written Statement. If	
Rule 801(e)(2).	the witness's prior inconsistent	
	statement is written, a party need not	
	show it to the witness before inquiring	
	about it, but must, upon request, show it	
	to opposing counsel.	
	(3) Opportunity to Explain or Deny. A	
	witness must be given the opportunity	
	to explain or deny the prior inconsistent	
	statement.	
	(A) Estates to Estates a Estates in the second	
	(4) <i>Extrinsic Evidence</i> . Extrinsic evidence	
	of a witness's prior inconsistent	
	statement is not admissible unless the	
	witness is first examined about the	
	statement and fails to unequivocally	
	admit making the statement.	
	(5) Opposing Party's Statement. This	
	subdivision (a) does not apply to an	
	opposing party's statement under Rule	
	801(e)(2).	
	001(0)(2).	
(b) Examining Witness Concerning Bias or	(b) Witness's Bias or Interest.	
Interest. In impeaching a witness by proof of	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
circumstances or statements showing bias or	(1) Foundation Requirement. When	
interest on the part of such witness, and before	examining a witness about the witness's	

further cross-examination concerning, or extrinsic evidence of, such bias or interest may be allowed, the circumstances supporting such claim or the details of such statement, including the contents and where, when and to whom made, must be made known to the witness, and the witness must be given an opportunity to explain or to deny such circumstances or statement. If written, the writing need not be shown to the witness at that time, but on request the same shall be shown to opposing counsel. If the witness unequivocally admits such bias or interest, extrinsic evidence of same shall not be admitted. A party shall be permitted to present evidence rebutting any evidence impeaching one of said party's witnesses on grounds of bias or interest.

(c) Prior Consistent Statements of Witnesses. A prior statement of a witness which is consistent with the testimony of the witness is inadmissible except as provided in Rule 801(e)(1)(B).

bias or interest, a party must first tell the witness the circumstances or statements that tend to show the witness's bias or interest. If examining a witness about a statement—whether oral or written—to prove the witness's bias or interest, a party must tell the witness:

- (A) the contents of the statement;
- (B) the time and place of the statement; and
- (C) the person to whom the statement was made.
- (2) *Need Not Show Written Statement.* If a party uses a written statement to prove the witness's bias or interest, a party need not show the statement to the witness before inquiring about it, but must, upon request, show it to opposing counsel.
- (3) *Opportunity to Explain or Deny.* A witness must be given the opportunity to explain or deny the circumstances or statements that tend to show the witness's bias or interest. And the witness's proponent may present evidence to rebut the charge of bias or interest.
- (4) *Extrinsic Evidence*. Extrinsic evidence of a witness's bias or interest is not admissible unless the witness is first examined about the bias or interest and fails to unequivocally admit it.
- (c) Witness's Prior Consistent Statement. Unless Rule 801(e)(1)(B) provides otherwise, a witness's prior consistent statement is not admissible if offered solely to enhance the witness's credibility.

Page 79

CURRENT TEXAS

RULE 613. PRIOR STATEMENTS OF WITNESSES: IMPEACHMENT AND SUPPORT (a) Examining Witness Concerning Prior Inconsistent Statement. In examining a witness concerning a prior inconsistent statement made by the witness, whether oral or written, and before further cross-examination concerning, or extrinsic evidence of, such statement may be allowed, the witness must be told the contents of such statement and the time and place and the person to whom it was made, and must be afforded an opportunity to explain or deny such statement. extrinsic evidence of same shall not be admitted. This provision does not apply to admissions of a party-opponent as defined in Rule 801(e)(2). (I) Foundation Requirement. When examining a witness about the witness's prior inconsistent statement, a party must provide the witness: made the statement; (I) Foundation Requirement. When examining a witness about the witness's prior inconsistent statement, a party must provide the witness: made the statement; (B) the time and place of the statement; (C) the person to whom the witness made the statement. If the witness's prior inconsistent statement is written, a party need not show it to the witness's prior inconsistent statement is writen, a party need not show it to the witness's prior inconsistent statement is not admissible if the
 (a) Examining Witness Concerning Prior Inconsistent Statement. In examining a witness concerning a prior inconsistent statement made by the witness, whether oral or written, and before further cross-examination concerning, or extrinsic evidence of, such statement may be allowed, the witness must be told the contents of such statement and the time and place and the person to whom it was made, and must be afforded an opportunity to explain or deny such statement. If written, the writing need not be shown to the witness at that time, but on request the same shall be shown to opposing counsel. If the witness unequivocally admits having made such statement, extrinsic evidence of same shall not be admitted. This provision does not apply to admissions of a party-opponent as defined in Rule 801(e)(2). (a) Witness's Prior Inconsistent Statement. When examining a witness is prior inconsistent statement. If written, the writing need not be shown to the writess at that time, but on request the same shall be shown to opposing counsel. If the witness is prior inconsistent statement; (b) the time and place of the statement; (c) the person to whom the witness made the statement; and (d) an opportunity to explain or deny the statement. If the witness's prior inconsistent statement is written, a party need not show it to the witness's prior inconsistent statement is written, a party need not show it, but must, upon request, show it to opposing counsel. (3) Extrinsic Evidence. Extrinsic evidence of a witness's prior inconsistent
 Inconsistent Statement. In examining a witness concerning a prior inconsistent statement made by the witness, whether oral or written, and before further cross-examination concerning, or extrinsic evidence of, such statement may be allowed, the witness must be told the contents of such statement and the time and place and the person to whom it was made, and must be afforded an opportunity to explain or deny such statement. If written, the writing need not be shown to the witness at that time, but on request the same shall be shown to opposing counsel. If the witness unequivocally admits having made such statement, extrinsic evidence of same shall not be admitted. This provision does not apply to admissions of a party-opponent as defined in Rule 801(e)(2). (2) Need Not Show Written Statement. If the witness's prior inconsistent is written, a party need not show it to the witness before inquiring about it, but must, upon request, show it to opposing counsel. (3) Extrinsic Evidence. Extrinsic evidence of a witness's prior inconsistent
 Inconsistent Statement. In examining a witness concerning a prior inconsistent statement made by the witness, whether oral or written, and before further cross-examination concerning, or extrinsic evidence of, such statement may be allowed, the witness must be told the contents of such statement and the time and place and the person to whom it was made, and must be afforded an opportunity to explain or deny such statement. If written, the writing need not be shown to the witness at that time, but on request the same shall be shown to opposing counsel. If the witness unequivocally admits having made such statement, extrinsic evidence of same shall not be admitted. This provision does not apply to admissions of a party-opponent as defined in Rule 801(e)(2). (2) Need Not Show Written Statement. If the witness's prior inconsistent is written, a party need not show it to the witness before inquiring about it, but must, upon request, show it to opposing counsel. (3) Extrinsic Evidence. Extrinsic evidence of a witness's prior inconsistent
 (1) Foundation Requirement. When examining a witness about the witness's prior inconsistent statement. whether examining a witness about the witness's prior inconsistent statement. When examining a witness about the witness's prior inconsistent statement. When examining a witness about the witness's prior inconsistent statement. When examining a witness about the witness's prior inconsistent statement. When examining a witness about the witness's prior inconsistent statement, a party must provide the witness made the statement, at time, but on request the same shall be shown to poposing counsel. If the witness unequivocally admits having made such statement, extrinsic evidence of same shall not be admitted. This provision does not apply to admissions of a party-opponent as defined in Rule 801(e)(2). (1) Foundation Requirement. When examining a witness about the witness's prior inconsistent statement. (1) Foundation Requirement. When examining a witness about the witness's prior inconsistent statement is written, and the witness's prior inconsistent statement. If the witness's prior inconsistent statement is written, a party need not show it to the witness' prior inconsistent is to opposing counsel. (2) Need Not Show Written Statement. If the witness's prior inconsistent statement is written, a party need not show it to opposing counsel. (3) Extrinsic Evidence. Extrinsic evidence of a witness's prior inconsistent
 (1) Foundation Requirement. When examining a witness about the witness's prior inconsistent statement. whether examining a witness about the witness's prior inconsistent statement. When examining a witness about the witness's prior inconsistent statement. When examining a witness about the witness's prior inconsistent statement. A party must provide the witness: (A) the contents of the statement; (B) the time and place of the statement; (C) the person to whom it was made, and must be shown to the witness at that time, but on request the same shall be shown to opposing counsel. If the witness unequivocally admits having made such statement, extrinsic evidence of same shall not be admitted. This provision does not apply to admissions of a party-opponent as defined in Rule 801(e)(2). (2) Need Not Show Written Statement. If the witness's prior inconsistent statement is written, a party need not show it to the witness before inquiring about it, but must, upon request, show it to opposing counsel. (3) Extrinsic Evidence
 by the witness, whether oral or written, and before further cross-examination concerning, or extrinsic evidence of, such statement may be allowed, the witness must be told the contents of such statement and the time and place and the person to whom it was made, and must be afforded an opportunity to explain or deny such statement. If written, the writing need not be shown to the witness at that time, but on request the same shall be shown to opposing counsel. If the witness unequivocally admits having mads such statement, extrinsic evidence of same shall not be admitted. This provision does not apply to admissions of a party-opponent as defined in Rule 801(e)(2). (2) Need Not Show Written Statement. If the witness's prior inconsistent statement. (3) Extrinsic Evidence. Extrinsic evidence of a witness's prior inconsistent
 before further cross-examination concerning, or extrinsic evidence of, such statement may be allowed, the witness must be told the contents of such statement and the time and place and the person to whom it was made, and must be afforded an opportunity to explain or deny such statement. If written, the writing need not be shown to the witness at that time, but on request the same shall be shown to opposing counsel. If the witness unequivocally admits having made such statement, extrinsic evidence of same shall not be admitted. This provision does not apply to admissions of a party-opponent as defined in Rule 801(e)(2). (C) the person to whom the witness made the statement; and (D) an opportunity to explain or deny the statement. If the witness's prior inconsistent statement. If the witness's prior inconsistent statement. (3) <i>Extrinsic Evidence</i>. Extrinsic evidence of a witness's prior inconsistent
 extrinsic evidence of, such statement may be allowed, the witness must be told the contents of such statement and the time and place and the person to whom it was made, and must be afforded an opportunity to explain or deny such statement. If written, the writing need not be shown to the witness at that time, but on request the same shall be shown to opposing counsel. If the witness unequivocally admits having made such statement, extrinsic evidence of same shall not be admitted. This provision does not apply to admissions of a party-opponent as defined in Rule 801(e)(2). (2) Need Not Show Written Statement. If the witness's prior inconsistent statement is written, a party need not show it to the witness's prior inconsistent statement. (3) Extrinsic Evidence of a witness's prior inconsistent
 allowed, the witness must be told the contents of such statement and the time and place and the person to whom it was made, and must be afforded an opportunity to explain or deny such statement. If written, the writing need not be shown to the witness at that time, but on request the same shall be shown to opposing counsel. If the witness unequivocally admits having made such statement, extrinsic evidence of same shall not be admitted. This provision does not apply to admissions of a party-opponent as defined in Rule 801(e)(2). (2) Need Not Show Written Statement. If the witness's prior inconsistent statement is written, a party need not show it to the witness before inquiring about it, but must, upon request, show it to opposing counsel. (3) Extrinsic Evidence. Extrinsic evidence of a witness's prior inconsistent
 such statement and the time and place and the person to whom it was made, and must be afforded an opportunity to explain or deny such statement. If written, the writing need not be shown to the writness at that time, but on request the same shall be shown to opposing counsel. If the witness unequivocally admits having made such statement, extrinsic evidence of same shall not be admitted. This provision does not apply to admissions of a party-opponent as defined in Rule 801(e)(2). (2) Need Not Show Written Statement. If the witness's prior inconsistent statement is written, a party need not show it to the witness before inquiring about it, but must, upon request, show it to opposing counsel. (3) Extrinsic Evidence
 person to whom it was made, and must be afforded an opportunity to explain or deny such statement. If written, the writing need not be shown to the witness at that time, but on request the same shall be shown to opposing counsel. If the writness unequivocally admits having made such statement, extrinsic evidence of same shall not be admitted. This provision does not apply to admissions of a party-opponent as defined in Rule 801(e)(2). (2) Need Not Show Written Statement. If the writness's prior inconsistent statement is written, a party need not show it to the writness before inquiring about it, but must, upon request, show it to opposing counsel. (3) Extrinsic Evidence. Extrinsic evidence of a writness's prior inconsistent
 afforded an opportunity to explain or deny such statement. If written, the writing need not be shown to the witness at that time, but on request the same shall be shown to opposing counsel. If the witness unequivocally admits having made such statement, extrinsic evidence of same shall not be admitted. This provision does not apply to admissions of a party-opponent as defined in Rule 801(e)(2). (A) the contents of the statement; (B) the time and place of the statement; (C) the person to whom the witness made the statement; and (D) an opportunity to explain or deny the statement. If the witness's prior inconsistent statement is written, a party need not show it to the witness before inquiring about it, but must, upon request, show it to opposing counsel. (3) Extrinsic Evidence. Extrinsic evidence of a witness's prior inconsistent
 statement. If written, the writing need not be shown to the witness at that time, but on request the same shall be shown to opposing counsel. If the witness unequivocally admits having made such statement, extrinsic evidence of same shall not be admitted. This provision does not apply to admissions of a party-opponent as defined in Rule 801(e)(2). (D) an opportunity to explain or deny the statement. If the witness's prior inconsistent statement is written, a party need not show it to the witness before inquiring about it, but must, upon request, show it to opposing counsel. (3) <i>Extrinsic Evidence.</i> Extrinsic evidence of a witness's prior inconsistent
 shown to the witness at that time, but on request the same shall be shown to opposing counsel. If the witness unequivocally admits having made such statement, extrinsic evidence of same shall not be admitted. This provision does not apply to admissions of a party-opponent as defined in Rule 801(e)(2). (D) an opportunity to explain or deny the statement. If the witness's prior inconsistent statement is written, a party need not show it to the witness before inquiring about it, but must, upon request, show it to opposing counsel. (3) <i>Extrinsic Evidence</i>. Extrinsic evidence of a witness's prior inconsistent
 the same shall be shown to opposing counsel. If the witness unequivocally admits having made such statement, extrinsic evidence of same shall not be admitted. This provision does not apply to admissions of a party-opponent as defined in Rule 801(e)(2). (D) an opportunity to explain or deny the statement. (2) Need Not Show Written Statement. If the witness's prior inconsistent statement is written, a party need not show it to the witness before inquiring about it, but must, upon request, show it to opposing counsel. (3) Extrinsic Evidence. Extrinsic evidence of a witness's prior inconsistent
 the witness unequivocally admits having made such statement, extrinsic evidence of same shall not be admitted. This provision does not apply to admissions of a party-opponent as defined in Rule 801(e)(2). (D) an opportunity to explain or deny the statement. If the witness's prior inconsistent statement is written, a party need not show it to the witness before inquiring about it, but must, upon request, show it to opposing counsel. (3) <i>Extrinsic Evidence</i>. Extrinsic evidence of a witness's prior inconsistent
 such statement, extrinsic evidence of same shall not be admitted. This provision does not apply to admissions of a party-opponent as defined in Rule 801(e)(2). (2) Need Not Show Written Statement. If the witness's prior inconsistent statement is written, a party need not show it to the witness before inquiring about it, but must, upon request, show it to opposing counsel. (3) Extrinsic Evidence. Extrinsic evidence of a witness's prior inconsistent
not be admitted. This provision does not apply to admissions of a party-opponent as defined in Rule 801(e)(2).(D) an opportunity to explain or deny the statement.(2) Need Not Show Written Statement. If the witness's prior inconsistent statement is written, a party need not show it to the witness before inquiring about it, but must, upon request, show it to opposing counsel.(3) Extrinsic Evidence. Extrinsic evidence of a witness's prior inconsistent
to admissions of a party-opponent as defined in Rule 801(e)(2).(D) an opportunity to explain or deny the statement.(2) Need Not Show Written Statement. If the witness's prior inconsistent statement is written, a party need not show it to the witness before inquiring about it, but must, upon request, show it to opposing counsel.(3) Extrinsic Evidence. Extrinsic evidence of a witness's prior inconsistent
Rule 801(e)(2). the statement. (2) Need Not Show Written Statement. If the witness's prior inconsistent statement is written, a party need not show it to the witness before inquiring about it, but must, upon request, show it to opposing counsel. (3) Extrinsic Evidence. Extrinsic evidence of a witness's prior inconsistent
 (2) Need Not Show Written Statement. If the witness's prior inconsistent statement is written, a party need not show it to the witness before inquiring about it, but must, upon request, show it to opposing counsel. (3) Extrinsic Evidence. Extrinsic evidence of a witness's prior inconsistent
 the witness's prior inconsistent statement is written, a party need not show it to the witness before inquiring about it, but must, upon request, show it to opposing counsel. (3) <i>Extrinsic Evidence</i>. Extrinsic evidence of a witness's prior inconsistent
 the witness's prior inconsistent statement is written, a party need not show it to the witness before inquiring about it, but must, upon request, show it to opposing counsel. (3) <i>Extrinsic Evidence</i>. Extrinsic evidence of a witness's prior inconsistent
 statement is written, a party need not show it to the witness before inquiring about it, but must, upon request, show it to opposing counsel. (3) <i>Extrinsic Evidence</i>. Extrinsic evidence of a witness's prior inconsistent
 show it to the witness before inquiring about it, but must, upon request, show it to opposing counsel. (3) <i>Extrinsic Evidence</i>. Extrinsic evidence of a witness's prior inconsistent
 about it, but must, upon request, show it to opposing counsel. (3) <i>Extrinsic Evidence</i>. Extrinsic evidence of a witness's prior inconsistent
to opposing counsel. (3) <i>Extrinsic Evidence</i> . Extrinsic evidence of a witness's prior inconsistent
(3) <i>Extrinsic Evidence</i> . Extrinsic evidence of a witness's prior inconsistent
of a witness's prior inconsistent
of a witness's prior inconsistent
L L
statement is not admissible if the
witness unequivocally admits making
the statement.
(4) Opposing Party's Statement. This
subdivision (a) does not apply to an
opposing party's statement under Rule
801(e)(2).
(b) Examining Witness Concerning Bias or (b) Witness's Bias or Interest.
Interest. In impeaching a witness by proof of
circumstances or statements showing bias or (1) Foundation Requirement. When
interest on the part of such witness, and before examining a witness about and before
further cross-examination concerning, or offering extrinsic evidence of the
extrinsic evidence of, such bias or interest may witness's bias or interest, a party must
be allowed, the circumstances supporting such first tell the witness the circumstances

claim or the details of such statement, including or statements that tend to show the the contents and where, when and to whom witness's bias or interest and give the made, must be made known to the witness, and witness an opportunity to explain or the witness must be given an opportunity to deny the circumstances or statements. explain or to deny such circumstances or If examining a witness about a statement-whether oral or written-to statement. If written, the writing need not be shown to the witness at that time, but on request prove the witness's bias or interest, a the same shall be shown to opposing counsel. If party must tell the witness: the witness unequivocally admits such bias or interest, extrinsic evidence of same shall not be (A) the contents of the statement; admitted. A party shall be permitted to present evidence rebutting any evidence impeaching one (**B**) the time and place of the statement; of said party's witnesses on grounds of bias or and interest. (C) the person to whom the statement was made. (2) Need Not Show Written Statement. If a party uses a written statement to prove the witness's bias or interest, a party need not show the statement to the witness before inquiring about it, but must, upon request, show it to opposing counsel. (3) Proponent May Rebut. A witness's proponent may present evidence to rebut the charge of bias or interest. (4) Extrinsic Evidence. Extrinsic evidence of a witness's bias or interest is not admissible if the witness unequivocally admits the bias or interest. (c) Prior Consistent Statements of Witnesses. (c) Witness's Prior Consistent Statement. Unless Rule 801(e)(1)(B) provides A prior statement of a witness which is consistent with the testimony of the witness is otherwise, a witness's prior consistent inadmissible except as provided in Rule statement is not admissible if offered solely 801(e)(1)(B). to enhance the witness's credibility.

Page 81

CURRENT TEXAS

RULE 614. EXCLUSION OF WITNESSES	Rule 614.Excluding Witnesses
At the request of a party the court shall order witnesses excluded so that they cannot hear the testimony of other witnesses, and it may make the order of its own motion. This rule does not authorize exclusion of:	At a party's request, the court must order witnesses excluded so that they cannot hear other witnesses' testimony. Or the court may do so on its own. But this rule does not authorize excluding:
(1) a party who is a natural person or in civil cases the spouse of such natural person;	 (a) a party who is a natural person and, in civil cases, that person's spouse;
(2) an officer or employee of a party in a civil case or a defendant in a criminal case that is not a natural person designated as its	(b) after being designated as the party's representative by its attorney:
representative by its attorney;	(1) in a civil case, an officer or employee of a party that is not a natural person; or
	(2) in a criminal case, a defendant that is not a natural person;
(3) a person whose presence is shown by a party to be essential to the presentation of the party's cause; or	(c) a person whose presence a party shows to be essential to presenting the party's claim or defense; or
(4) the victim in a criminal case, unless the victim is to testify and the court determines that the victim's testimony would be materially affected if the victim hears other testimony at the trial.	(d) the victim in a criminal case, unless the court determines that the victim's testimony would be materially affected by hearing other testimony at the trial.
<u></u>	

CURRENT TEXAS

RESTYLED TEXAS

Rule 615.

RULE615.PRODUCTIONOFSTATEMENTSOFWITNESSESINCRIMINAL CASES

(a) Motion for Production. After a witness other than the defendant has testified on direct examination, the court, on motion of a party who did not call the witness, shall order the attorney for the state or the defendant and defendant's attorney, as the case may be, to produce, for the examination and use of the moving party, any statement of the witness that is in their possession and that relates to the subject matter concerning which the witness has testified.

(b) Production of Entire Statement. If the entire contents of the statement relate to the subject matter concerning which the witness has testified, the court shall order that the statement be delivered to the moving party.

(c) Production of Excised Statement. If the other party claims that the statement contains matter that does not relate to the subject matter concerning which the witness has testified, the court shall order that it be delivered to the court in camera. Upon inspection, the court shall excise the portions of the statement that do not relate to the subject matter concerning which the witness has testified, and shall order that the statement, with such material excised, be delivered to the moving party. Any portion withheld over objection shall be preserved and made available to the appellate court in the event of appeal.

(d) Recess for Examination of Statement. Upon delivery of the statement to the moving party, the court, upon application of that party, shall recess proceedings in the trial for a reasonable examination of such statement and for preparation for its use in the trial.

(e) Sanction for Failure to Produce Statement. If the other party elects not to comply with an order to deliver a statement to the moving party, the court shall order that the testimony of the witness be stricken from the

Producing a Witness's Statement in Criminal Cases

- (a) Motion to Produce. After a witness other than the defendant testifies on direct examination, the court, on motion of a party who did not call the witness, must order an attorney for the state or the defendant and the defendant's attorney to produce, for the examination and use of the moving party, any statement of the witness that is in their possession and that relates to the subject matter of the witness's testimony.
- (b) **Producing the Entire Statement.** If the entire statement relates to the subject matter of the witness's testimony, the court must order that the statement be delivered to the moving party.
- (c) **Producing a Redacted Statement.** If the party who called the witness claims that the statement contains information that does not relate to the subject matter of the witness's testimony, the court must inspect the statement in camera. After excising any unrelated portions, the court must order delivery of the redacted statement to the moving party. If a party objects to an excision, the court must preserve the entire statement with the excised portion indicated, under seal, as part of the record.
- (d) **Recess to Examine a Statement.** On the moving party's request, the court must recess the proceedings to allow time for a party to examine the statement and prepare for its use.
- (e) Sanction for Failure to Produce or Deliver a Statement. If the party who called the witness disobeys an order to produce or deliver a statement, the court must strike the witness's testimony from the record. If an attorney for the state disobeys the order, the court must declare a mistrial if justice so requires.

record and that the trial proceed, or, if it is the	(f) "Statement" Defined. As used in this
attorney for the state who elects not to comply,	rule, a witness's "statement" means:
shall declare a mistrial if required by the interest	
of justice.	(1) a written statement that the witness makes and signs, or otherwise adopts
(f) Definition. As used in this rule, a	or approves;
"statement" of a witness means:	
(1) a written statement made by the witness	(2) a substantially verbatim, contemporaneously recorded recital of
that is signed or otherwise adopted or approved by the witness;	the witness's oral statement that is contained in any recording or any transcription of a recording; or
(2) a substantially verbatim recital of an oral statement made by the witness that is recorded contemporaneously with the making of the oral statement and that is contained in a stenographic, mechanical, electrical, or other recording or a transcription thereof; or	(3) the witness's statement to a grand jury, however taken or recorded, or a transcription of such a statement.
(3) a statement, however taken or recorded, or a transcription thereof, made by the witness to a grand jury.	

Page 84

ARTICLE VII. OPINIONS AND EXPERT TESTIMONY

CURRENT TEXAS

RULE 701. OPINION TESTIMONY BY LAY WITNESSES	Rule 701. Opinion Testimony by Lay Witnesses
If the witness is not testifying as an expert, the witness' testimony in the form of opinions or inferences is limited to those opinions or inferences which are (a) rationally based on the perception of the witness and (b) helpful to a clear understanding of the witness' testimony or the determination of a fact in issue.	 If a witness is not testifying as an expert, testimony in the form of an opinion is limited to one that is: (a) rationally based on the witness's perception; and (b) helpful to clearly understanding the witness's testimony or to determining a
	fact in issue. Comment to 2013 Restyling: All references to an "inference" have been deleted because this makes the Rule flow better and easier to read, and because any "inference" is covered by the broader term "opinion." Courts have not made substantive decisions on the basis of any distinction between an opinion and an inference. No change in current practice is intended.

Page 85

CURRENT TEXAS

RULE 702. TESTIMONY BY EXPERTS	Rule 702. Testimony by Expert
If scientific, technical, or other specialized knowledge will assist the trier of fact to understand the evidence or to determine a fact in issue, a witness qualified as an expert by knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education may testify thereto in the form of an opinion or otherwise.	Witnesses

Page 86

CURRENT TEXAS

RESTYLED TEXAS

RULE 703. BASES OF OPINION	Rule 703. Bases of an Expert's Opinion
TESTIMONY BY EXPERTS	Testimony
The facts or data in the particular case upon	An expert may base an opinion on facts or data
which an expert bases an opinion or inference	in the case that the expert has been made aware
may be those perceived by, reviewed by, or	of, reviewed, or personally observed. If experts
made known to the expert at or before the	in the particular field would reasonably rely on
hearing. If of a type reasonably relied upon by	those kinds of facts or data in forming an
experts in the particular field in forming	opinion on the subject, they need not be
opinions or inferences upon the subject, the facts	admissible for the opinion to be admitted.
or data need not be admissible in evidence.	-
	Comment to 2013 Restyling: All references to
	an "inference" have been deleted because this
	makes the Rule flow better and easier to read,
	and because any "inference" is covered by the

an "inference" have been deleted because this makes the Rule flow better and easier to read, and because any "inference" is covered by the broader term "opinion." Courts have not made substantive decisions on the basis of any distinction between an opinion and an inference. No change in current practice is intended.

Page 87

CURRENT TEXAS

ISSUE An opinion is not objectional Testimony in the form of an opinion or embraces an ultimate issue.	ole just because it
inference otherwise admissible is not objectionable because it embraces an ultimate issue to be decided by the trier of fact.	-

Page 88

CURRENT TEXAS

RESTYLED TEXAS

RULE 705. DISCLOSURE OF FACTS OR Rule 705. DATA UNDERLYING EXPERT OPINION

(a) Disclosure of Facts or Data. The expert may testify in terms of opinion or inference and give the expert's reasons therefor without prior disclosure of the underlying facts or data, unless the court requires otherwise. The expert may in any event disclose on direct examination, or be required to disclose on cross-examination, the underlying facts or data.

(b) Voir dire. Prior to the expert giving the expert's opinion or disclosing the underlying facts or data, a party against whom the opinion is offered upon request in a criminal case shall, or in a civil case may, be permitted to conduct a *voir dire* examination directed to the underlying facts or data upon which the opinion is based. This examination shall be conducted out of the hearing of the jury.

(c) Admissibility of opinion. If the court determines that the underlying facts or data do not provide a sufficient basis for the expert's opinion under Rule 702 or 703, the opinion is inadmissible.

(d) Balancing test; limiting instructions. When the underlying facts or data would be inadmissible in evidence, the court shall exclude the underlying facts or data if the danger that they will be used for a purpose other than as explanation or support for the expert's opinion outweighs their value as explanation or support or are unfairly prejudicial. If otherwise inadmissible facts or data are disclosed before the jury, a limiting instruction by the court shall be given upon request.

Notes and Comments

Comment to 1998 change: Paragraphs (b), (c), and (d) are based on the former Criminal Rule and are made applicable to civil cases. This rule does not preclude a party in any case from conducting a *voir dire* examination into the qualifications of an expert.

Disclosing the Underlying Facts or Data and Examining an Expert About Them

- (a) Stating an Opinion Without Disclosing the Underlying Facts or Data. Unless the court orders otherwise, an expert may state an opinion — and give the reasons for it without first testifying to the underlying facts or data. But the expert may be required to disclose those facts or data on cross-examination.
- (b) Voir Dire Examination of an Expert About the Underlying Facts or Data. Before an expert states an opinion or discloses the underlying facts or data, an adverse party in a civil case may — or in a criminal case must — be permitted to examine the expert about the underlying facts or data. This examination must take place outside the jury's hearing.
- (c) Admissibility of Opinion. An expert's opinion is inadmissible if the underlying facts or data do not provide a sufficient basis for the opinion.
- (d) When Otherwise Inadmissible Underlying Facts or Data May Be Disclosed; Instructing the Jury. If the underlying facts or data would otherwise be inadmissible, the proponent of the opinion may not disclose them to the jury if their probative value in helping the jury evaluate the opinion is outweighed by their prejudicial effect. If the court allows the proponent to disclose those facts or data the court must, upon timely request, restrict the evidence to its proper scope and instruct the jury accordingly.

Comment to 2013 Restyling: All references to an "inference" have been deleted because this makes the Rule flow better and easier to read, and because any "inference" is covered by the broader term "opinion." Courts have not made substantive decisions on the basis of any

distinction	between	an	opinion	and	an
inference. intended.	No chang	e in	current	practice	is

CURRENT TEXAS RESTYLED TEXAS

RULE 706. AUDIT IN CIVIL CASES	Rule 706. Audit in Civil Cases
Despite any other evidence rule to the contrary, verified reports of auditors prepared pursuant to Rule of Civil Procedure 172, whether in the form of summaries, opinions, or otherwise, shall be admitted in evidence when offered by any party whether or not the facts or data in the reports are otherwise admissible and whether or not the reports embrace the ultimate issues to be decided by the trier of fact. Where exceptions to the reports have been filed, a party may contradict the reports by evidence supporting the exceptions.	Notwithstanding any other evidence rule, the court must admit an auditor's verified report prepared under Rule of Civil Procedure 172 and offered by a party. If a party files exceptions to the report, a party may offer evidence supporting the exceptions to contradict the report.

Page 91

ARTICLE VIII. HEARSAY

CURRENT TEXAS

RULE 801. DEFINITIONS	Rule 801. Definitions That Apply to
KOLE OVI, DEFINITIONS	This Article; Exclusions
The following definitions apply under this article:	from Hearsay
	(a) Statement. "Statement" means a person's
(a) Statement. A "statement" is (1) an oral or	oral or written verbal expression, or
written verbal expression or (2) nonverbal conduct of a person, if it is intended by the person as a substitute for verbal expression.	nonverbal conduct that a person intended as a substitute for verbal expression.
	(b) Declarant. "Declarant" means the person
(b) Declarant. A "declarant" is a person who makes a statement.	who made the statement.
	(c) Matter Asserted. "Matter asserted"
(c) Matter Asserted. "Matter asserted" includes any matter explicitly asserted, and any matter	means:
implied by a statement, if the probative value of the statement as offered flows from declarant's belief as to the matter.	(1) any matter a declarant explicitly asserts; and
	(2) any matter implied by a statement, if the probative value of the statement as offered flows from the declarant's belief about the matter.
(d) Hearsay. "Hearsay" is a statement, other than one made by the declarant while testifying	(d) Hearsay. "Hearsay" means a statement that:
at the trial or hearing, offered in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted.	(1) the declarant does not make while testifying at the current trial or hearing; and
	(2) a party offers in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted in the statement.
(e) Statements Which Are Not Hearsay. A statement is not hearsay if:	(e) Statements That Are Not Hearsay. A statement that meets the following conditions is not hearsay:
(1) Prior statement by witness. The declarant	
testifies at the trial or hearing and is subject	(1) A Declarant-Witness's Prior
to cross-examination concerning the statement, and the statement is:	<i>Statement.</i> The declarant testifies and is subject to cross-examination about
(A) inconsistant the day lot of	a prior statement, and the statement:
(A) inconsistent with the declarant's testimony, and was given under oath	(A) is inconsistent with the
subject to the penalty of perjury at a trial,	 (A) is inconsistent with the declarant's testimony and:
subject to the penalty of perjury at a trial,	declarant 5 testimony and.

 hearing, or other proceeding except a grand jury proceeding in a criminal case, or in a deposition; (B) consistent with the declarant's testimony and is offered to rebut an express or implied charge against the declarant of recent fabrication or improper influence or motive; (C) one of identification of a person made after perceiving the person; or (D) taken and offered in a criminal case in accordance with Code of Criminal Procedure article 38.071. 	 (i) when offered in a civil case, was given under penalty of perjury at a trial, hearing, or other proceeding or in a deposition; or (ii) when offered in a criminal case, was given under penalty of perjury at a trial, hearing, or other proceeding—except a grand jury proceeding—or in a deposition; (B) is consistent with the declarant's testimony and is offered to rebut an express or implied charge that the declarant recently fabricated it or acted from a recent improper influence or motive in so testifying; or (C) identifies a person as someone the declarant perceived earlier.
 (2) Admission by party-opponent. The statement is offered against a party and is: (A) the party's own statement in either an individual or representative capacity; (B) a statement of which the party has manifested an adoption or belief in its truth; (C) a statement by a person authorized by the party to make a statement concerning the subject; (D) a statement by the party's agent or servant concerning a matter within the scope of the agency or employment, made during the existence of the relationship; or (E) a statement by a co-conspirator of a party during the course and in furtherance of the conspiracy. (3) Depositions. In a civil case, it is a 	 (2) An Opposing Party's Statement. The statement is offered against an opposing party and: (A) was made by the party in an individual or representative capacity; (B) is one the party manifested that it adopted or believed to be true; (C) was made by a person whom the party authorized to make a statement on the subject; (D) was made by the party's agent or employee on a matter within the scope of that relationship and while it existed; or (E) was made by the party's coconspirator during and in furtherance of the conspiracy. (3) A Deponent's Statement. In a civil

deposition taken in the same proceeding, as same proceeding is defined in Rule of Civil Procedure 203.6(b). Unavailability of deponent is not a requirement for admissibility.	case, the statement was made in a deposition taken in the same proceeding. "Same proceeding" is defined in Rule of Civil Procedure 203.6(b). The deponent's unavailability as a witness is not a requirement for admissibility.
	Comment to 2013 Restyling: Statements falling under the hearsay exclusion provided by Rule 801(e)(2) are no longer referred to as "admissions" in the title to the subdivision. The term "admissions" is confusing because not all statements covered by the exclusion are admissions in the colloquial sense — a statement can be within the exclusion even if it "admitted" nothing and was not against the party's interest when made. The term "admissions" also raises confusion in comparison with the Rule 803(24) exception for declarations against interest. No change in application of the exclusion is intended.
	The deletion of former Rule 801(e)(1)(D), which cross-references Code of Criminal Procedure art. 38.071, is not intended as a substantive change. Including this cross- reference made sense when the Texas Rules of Criminal Evidence were first promulgated, but with subsequent changes to the statutory provision, its inclusion is no longer appropriate. The version of article 38.071 that was initially cross-referenced in the Rules of Criminal Evidence required the declarant- victim to be available to testify at the trial. That requirement has since been deleted from the statute, and the statute no longer requires either the availability or testimony of the declarant-victim. Thus, cross-referencing the statute in Rule 801(e)(1), which applies only when the declarant testifies at trial about the prior statement, no longer makes sense. Moreover, article 38.071 is but one of a number of statutes that mandate the admission of certain hearsay statements in particular circumstances. See, e.g., Code of Criminal Procedure art. 38.072; Family Code §§ 54.031, 104.002, 104.006. These statutory provisions take precedence over the general rule excluding hearsay, see Rules 101(c) and 802, and there is no apparent justification for cross-

referencing article 38.071 and not all other	r
such provisions.	

Page 95

CURRENT TEXAS

RULE 802. HEARSAY RULE	Rule 802.	The Rule Against Hearsay
Hearsay is not admissible except as provided by statute or these rules or by other rules prescribed pursuant to statutory authority. Inadmissible hearsay admitted without objection shall not be denied probative value merely because it is hearsay.	following prov a statu • these	rules; or rules prescribed under statutory
		nearsay admitted without objection denied probative value merely earsay.

Page 96

Current TRE – Restyled TRE revised 9.12.13

CURRENT TEXAS

RULE 803. HEARSAYEXCEPTIONS;AVAILABILITYOFDECLARANTIMMATERIALThe following are not excluded by the hearsayrule, even though the declarant is available as awitness:	Rule 803.Exceptions to the Rule Against Hearsay — Regardless of Whether the Declarant Is Available as a WitnessThe following are not excluded by the rule against hearsay, regardless of whether the declarant is available as a witness:
(1) Present Sense Impression. A statement describing or explaining an event or condition made while the declarant was perceiving the event or condition, or immediately thereafter.	(1) <i>Present Sense Impression.</i> A statement describing or explaining an event or condition, made while or immediately after the declarant perceived it.
(2) Excited Utterance. A statement relating to a startling event or condition made while the declarant was under the stress of excitement caused by the event or condition.	(2) <i>Excited Utterance.</i> A statement relating to a startling event or condition, made while the declarant was under the stress of excitement that it caused.
(3) Then Existing Mental, Emotional, or Physical Condition. A statement of the declarant's then existing state of mind, emotion, sensation, or physical condition (such as intent, plan, motive, design, mental feeling, pain, or bodily health), but not including a statement of memory or belief to prove the fact remembered or believed unless it relates to the execution, revocation, identification, or terms of declarant's will.	(3) <i>Then-Existing Mental, Emotional, or</i> <i>Physical Condition.</i> A statement of the declarant's then-existing state of mind (such as motive, intent, or plan) or emotional, sensory, or physical condition (such as mental feeling, pain, or bodily health), but not including a statement of memory or belief to prove the fact remembered or believed unless it relates to the validity or terms of the declarant's will.
(4) Statements for Purposes of Medical Diagnosis or Treatment. Statements made for purposes of medical diagnosis or treatment and describing medical history, or past or present symptoms, pain, or sensations, or the inception or general character of the cause or external source thereof insofar as reasonably pertinent to diagnosis or treatment.	 (4) Statement Made for Medical Diagnosis or Treatment. A statement that: (A) is made for — and is reasonably pertinent to — medical diagnosis or treatment; and (B) describes medical history; past or present symptoms or sensations; their inception; or their general

	cause.
(5) Recorded Recollection. A memorandum or record concerning a matter about which a	(5) <i>Recorded Recollection</i> . A record that:
witness once had personal knowledge but now has insufficient recollection to enable the witness to testify fully and accurately, shown to have been made or adopted by the witness when the matter was fresh in the witness' memory and	(A) is on a matter the witness once knew about but now cannot recall well enough to testify fully and accurately;
to reflect that knowledge correctly, unless the circumstances of preparation cast doubt on the document's trustworthiness. If admitted, the memorandum or record may be read into	(B) was made or adopted by the witness when the matter was fresh in the witness's memory; and
evidence but may not itself be received as an exhibit unless offered by an adverse party.	(C) accurately reflects the witness's knowledge, unless the circumstances of the record's preparation cast doubt on its trustworthiness.
	If admitted, the record may be read into evidence but may be received as an exhibit only if offered by an adverse party.
(6) Records of Regularly Conducted Activity. A memorandum, report, record, or data compilation, in any form, of acts, events, conditions, opinions, or diagnoses, made at or	(6) <i>Records of a Regularly Conducted</i> <i>Activity.</i> A record of an act, event, condition, opinion, or diagnosis if:
near the time by, or from information transmitted by, a person with knowledge, if kept in the course of a regularly conducted business activity, and if it was the regular practice of that business activity to make the memorandum,	 (A) the record was made at or near the time by — or from information transmitted by — someone with knowledge;
report, record, or data compilation, all as shown by the testimony of the custodian or other qualified witness, or by affidavit that complies with Rule 902(10), unless the source of	(B) the record was kept in the course of a regularly conducted business activity;
information or the method or circumstances of preparation indicate lack of trustworthiness. "Business" as used in this paragraph includes	(C) making the record was a regular practice of that activity;
any and every kind of regular organized activity whether conducted for profit or not.	(D) all these conditions are shown by the testimony of the custodian or another qualified witness, or by an affidavit or unsworn declaration that complies with Rule 902(10); and

	 (E) the opponent fails to show that the source of information or the method or circumstances of preparation indicate a lack of trustworthiness. "Business" as used in this paragraph includes every kind of regular organized activity whether conducted for profit or not.
(7) Absence of Entry in Records Kept in Accordance With the Provisions of Paragraph (6). Evidence that a matter is not included in the memoranda, reports, records, or data compilations, in any form, kept in accordance with the provisions of paragraph (6), to prove the nonoccurrence or nonexistence of the matter, if the matter was of a kind of which a memorandum, report, record, or data compilation was regularly made and preserved, unless the sources of information or other circumstances indicate lack of trustworthiness.	 (7) Absence of a Record of a Regularly Conducted Activity. Evidence that a matter is not included in a record described in paragraph (6) if: (A) the evidence is admitted to prove that the matter did not occur or exist; (B) a record was regularly kept for a matter of that kind; and (C) the opponent fails to show that the possible source of the information or other circumstances indicate a lack of trustworthiness.
 (8) Public Records and Reports. Records, reports, statements, or data compilations, in any form, of public offices or agencies setting forth: (A) the activities of the office or agency; (B) matters observed pursuant to duty imposed by law as to which matters there was a duty to report, excluding in criminal cases matters observed by police officers and other law enforcement personnel; or (C) in civil cases as to any party and in criminal cases as against the state, factual findings resulting from an investigation made pursuant to authority granted by law; 	 (8) Public Records. A record or statement of a public office if: (A) it sets out: (i) the office's activities; (ii) a matter observed while under a legal duty to report, but not including, in a criminal case, a matter observed by lawenforcement personnel; or (iii) in a civil case or against the government in a criminal case, factual findings from a legally authorized investigation; and
unless the sources of information or other circumstances indicate lack of trustworthiness.	(B) the opponent fails to show that the source of information or other

(9) Records of Vital Statistics. Records or data compilations, in any form, of births, fetal deaths, deaths, or marriages, if the report thereof was made to a public office pursuant to requirements of law.	 circumstances indicate a lack of trustworthiness. (9) Public Records of Vital Statistics. A record of a birth, death, or marriage, if reported to a public office in accordance with a legal duty.
(10) Absence of Public Record or Entry. To prove the absence of a record, report, statement, or data compilation, in any form, or the nonoccurrence or nonexistence of a matter of which a record, report, statement, or data compilation, in any form, was regularly made and preserved by a public office or agency, evidence in the form of a certification in accordance with Rule 902, or testimony, that diligent search failed to disclose the record, report statement, or data compilation, or entry.	 (10) Absence of a Public Record. Testimony — or a certification under Rule 902 — that a diligent search failed to disclose a public record or statement if the testimony or certification is admitted to prove that: (A) the record or statement does not exist; or (B) a matter did not occur or exist, if a public office regularly kept a record or statement for a matter of that kind.
(11) Records of Religious Organizations. Statements of births, marriages, divorces, deaths, legitimacy, ancestry, relationship by blood or marriage, or other similar facts of personal or family history, contained in a regularly kept record of a religious organization.	(11) Records of Religious Organizations Concerning Personal or Family History. A statement of birth, legitimacy, ancestry, marriage, divorce, death, relationship by blood or marriage, or similar facts of personal or family history, contained in a regularly kept record of a religious organization.
(12) Marriage, Baptismal, and Similar Certificates. Statements of fact contained in a certificate that the maker performed a marriage or other ceremony or administered a sacrament, made by a member of the clergy, public official, or other person authorized by the rules or practices of a religious organization or by law to perform the act certified, and purporting to have been issued at the time of the act or within a reasonable time thereafter.	 (12) Certificates of Marriage, Baptism, and Similar Ceremonies. A statement of fact contained in a certificate: (A) made by a person who is authorized by a religious organization or by law to perform the act certified; (B) attesting that the person performed a marriage or similar ceremony or administered a

	sacrament; and
	(C) purporting to have been issued at the time of the act or within a reasonable time after it.
(13) Family Records. Statements of fact concerning personal or family history contained in family Bibles, genealogies, charts, engravings on rings, inscriptions on family portraits, engravings on urns, crypts, or tombstones, or the like.	(13) <i>Family Records.</i> A statement of fact about personal or family history contained in a family record, such as a Bible, genealogy, chart, engraving on a ring, inscription on a portrait, or engraving on an urn or burial marker.
(14) Records of Documents Affecting an Interest in Property. The record of a document purporting to establish or affect an interest in property, as proof of the content of the original recorded document and its execution and delivery by each person by whom it purports to have been executed, if the record is a record of a public office and an applicable statute authorizes the recording of documents of that kind in that office.	 (14) Records of Documents That Affect an Interest in Property. The record of a document that purports to establish or affect an interest in property if: (A) the record is admitted to prove the content of the original recorded document, along with its signing and its delivery by each person who purports to have signed it; (B) the record is kept in a public office; and (C) a statute authorizes recording documents of that kind in that office.
(15) Statements in Documents Affecting an Interest in Property. A statement contained in a document purporting to establish or affect an interest in property if the matter stated was relevant to the purpose of the document, unless dealings with the property since the document was made have been inconsistent with the truth of the statement or the purport of the document.	(15) Statements in Documents That Affect an Interest in Property. A statement contained in a document that purports to establish or affect an interest in property if the matter stated was relevant to the document's purpose — unless later dealings with the property are inconsistent with the truth of the statement or the purport of the document.
(16) Statements in Ancient Documents. Statements in a document in existence twenty years or more the authenticity of which is established.	(16) <i>Statements in Ancient Documents.</i> A statement in a document that is at least 20 years old and whose authenticity is established.

Page 101

(17) Market Reports, Commercial Publications. Market quotations, tabulations, lists, directories, or other published compilations, generally used and relied upon by the public or by persons in particular occupations.	(17) Market Reports and Similar Commercial Publications. Market quotations, lists, directories, or other compilations that are generally relied on by the public or by persons in particular occupations.
(18) Learned Treatises. To the extent called to the attention of an expert witness upon cross-examination or relied upon by the expert in direct examination, statements contained in published treatises, periodicals, or pamphlets on a subject of history, medicine, or other science or art established as a reliable authority by the testimony or admission of the witness or by other expert testimony or by judicial notice. If admitted, the statements may be read into evidence but may not be received as exhibits.	 (18) Statements in Learned Treatises, Periodicals, or Pamphlets. A statement contained in a treatise, periodical, or pamphlet if: (A) the statement is called to the attention of an expert witness on cross-examination or relied on by the expert on direct examination; and (B) the publication is established as a reliable authority by the expert's admission or testimony, by another expert's testimony, or by judicial notice. If admitted, the statement may be read into evidence but not received as an exhibit.
(19) Reputation Concerning Personal or Family History. Reputation among members of a person's family by blood, adoption, or marriage, or among a person's associates, or in the community, concerning a person's birth, adoption, marriage, divorce, death, legitimacy, relationship by blood, adoption, or marriage, ancestry, or other similar fact of personal or family history.	(19) Reputation Concerning Personal or Family History. A reputation among a person's family by blood, adoption, or marriage — or among a person's associates or in the community — concerning the person's birth, adoption, legitimacy, ancestry, marriage, divorce, death, relationship by blood, adoption, or marriage, or similar facts of personal or family history.
(20) Reputation Concerning Boundaries or General History. Reputation in a community, arising before the controversy, as to boundaries of or customs affecting lands in the community, and reputation as to events of general history	(20) Reputation Concerning Boundaries or General History. A reputation in a community — arising before the controversy — concerning boundaries of land in the community or customs

important to the community or state or nation in which located.	that affect the land, or concerning general historical events important to that community, state, or nation.
(21) Reputation as to Character. Reputation of a person's character among associates or in the community.	(21) <i>Reputation Concerning Character.</i> A reputation among a person's associates or in the community concerning the person's character.
(22) Judgment of Previous Conviction. In civil cases, evidence of a judgment, entered after a trial or upon a plea of guilty (but not upon a plea of nolo contendere), judging a person guilty of a felony, to prove any fact essential to sustain the judgment of conviction. In criminal cases, evidence of a judgment, entered after a trial or upon a plea of guilty or nolo contendere, adjudging a person guilty of a criminal offense, to prove any fact essential to sustain the judgment of conviction, but not including, when offered by the state for purposes other than impeachment, judgments against persons other than the accused. In all cases, the pendency of an appeal renders such evidence inadmissible.	 (22) Judgment of a Previous Conviction. Evidence of a final judgment of conviction if: (A) it is offered in a civil case and: (i) the judgment was entered after a trial or guilty plea, but not a nolo contendere plea; (ii) the conviction was for a felony; (iii) the evidence is admitted to prove any fact essential to the judgment; and (iv) an appeal of the conviction is not pending; or (B) it is offered in a criminal case and: (i) the judgment was entered after a trial or a guilty or nolo contendere plea; (ii) the conviction was for a criminal offense; (iii) the evidence is admitted to prove any fact essential to the judgment; and

	(v) an appeal of the conviction is not pending.
(23) Judgment as to Personal, Family, or General History, or Boundaries. Judgments as proof of matters of personal, family or general history, or boundaries, essential to the judgment, if the same would be provable by evidence of reputation.	 (23) Judgments Involving Personal, Family, or General History or a Boundary. A judgment that is admitted to prove a matter of personal, family, or general history, or boundaries, if the matter: (A) was essential to the judgment; and (B) could be proved by evidence of reputation.
(24) Statement Against Interest. A statement which was at the time of its making so far contrary to the declarant's pecuniary or proprietary interest, or so far tended to subject the declarant to civil or criminal liability, or to render invalid a claim by the declarant against another, or to make the declarant an object of hatred, ridicule, or disgrace, that a reasonable person in declarant's position would not have made the statement unless believing it to be true. In criminal cases, a statement tending to expose the declarant to criminal liability is not admissible unless corroborating circumstances clearly indicate the trustworthiness of the statement.	 (24) Statement Against Interest. A statement that: (A) a reasonable person in the declarant's position would have made only if the person believed it to be true because, when made, it was so contrary to the declarant's proprietary or pecuniary interest or had so great a tendency to invalidate the declarant's claim against someone else or to expose the declarant to civil or criminal liability or to make the declarant an object of hatred, ridicule, or disgrace; and (B) is supported by corroborating circumstances that clearly indicate its trustworthiness, if it is offered in a criminal case as one that tends to expose the declarant to circumstant in a liability.

Page 104

CURRENT TEXAS

RULE804. HEARSAYEXCEPTIONS;DECLARANT UNAVAILABLE(a)DefinitionofUnavailability as a witness" includes situations in which the declarant:	Rule 804. Exceptions to the Rule Against Hearsay — When the Declarant Is Unavailable as a Witness (a) Criteria for Being Unavailable. A declarant is considered to be unavailable as a witness if the declarant:
 (1) is exempted by ruling of the court on the ground of privilege from testifying concerning the subject matter of the declarant's statement; (2) persists in refusing to testify concerning the subject matter of the declarant's statement despite an order of the court to do so; (3) testifies to a lack of memory of the subject matter of the declarant's statement; (4) is unable to be present or to testify at the hearing because of death or then existing physical or mental illness or infirmity; or (5) is absent from the hearing and the proponent of the declarant's statement has been unable to procure the declarant's attendance or testimony by process or other reasonable means. 	 (1) is exempted from testifying about the subject matter of the declarant's statement because the court rules that a privilege applies; (2) refuses to testify about the subject matter despite a court order to do so; (3) testifies to not remembering the subject matter; (4) cannot be present or testify at the trial or hearing because of death or a thenexisting infirmity, physical illness, or mental illness; or (5) is absent from the trial or hearing and the statement's proponent has not been able, by process or other reasonable means, to procure the declarant's
A declarant is not unavailable as a witness if the declarant's exemption, refusal, claim of lack of memory, inability, or absence is due to the procurement or wrong-doing of the proponent of the declarant's statement for the purpose of preventing the witness from attending or testifying.	attendance or testimony. But this subdivision (a) does not apply if the statement's proponent procured or wrongfully caused the declarant's unavailability as a witness in order to prevent the declarant from attending or testifying.

Page 105

CURRENT TEXAS

(b) Hearsay Exceptions. The following are not excluded if the declarant is unavailable as a witness:	(b) The Exceptions. The following are not excluded by the rule against hearsay if the declarant is unavailable as a witness:
(1) Former testimony. In civil cases, testimony given as a witness at another hearing of the same or a different proceeding, or in a deposition taken in the course of another proceeding, if the party against whom the testimony is now offered, or a person with a similar interest, had an opportunity and similar motive to develop the testimony by direct, cross, or redirect examination. In criminal cases, testimony given as a witness at another hearing of the same or a different proceeding, if the party against whom the testimony is now offered had an opportunity and similar motive to develop the testimony by direct, cross, or redirect examination. In criminal cases the use of depositions is controlled by Chapter 39 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.	 (1) Former Testimony. Testimony that: (A) when offered in a civil case: (i) was given as a witness at a trial or hearing of the current or a different proceeding or was given as a witness in a deposition in a different proceeding; and (ii) is now offered against a party and the party—or a person with similar interest—had an opportunity and similar motive to develop the testimony by direct, cross-, or redirect examination. (B) when offered in a criminal case: (i) was given as a witness at a trial or hearing, whether given during the current or a different proceeding; and (ii) is now offered against a party who had an opportunity and similar motive to develop it by direct, cross-, or redirect examination; or (iii) was taken in a deposition under—and is now offered in accordance with—chapter 39 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.
(2) <i>Dying declarations</i> . A statement made by a declarant while believing that the declarant's death was imminent, concerning the cause or circumstances of what the	(2) <i>Statement Under the Belief of</i> <i>Imminent Death.</i> A statement that the declarant, while believing the declarant's death to be imminent, made

declarant believed to be impending death.	about its cause or circumstances.
(3) Statement of personal or family history.	(3) Statement of Personal or Family History. A statement about:
(A) A statement concerning the declarant's own birth, adoption, marriage, divorce, legitimacy, relationship by blood, adoption, or marriage, ancestry, or other similar fact of personal or family history even though declarant had no means of acquiring personal knowledge of the matter stated; or	(A) the declarant's own birth adoption, legitimacy, ancestry marriage, divorce, relationship by blood, adoption or marriage, o similar facts of personal or family history, even though the declaran had no way of acquiring persona knowledge about that fact; or
(B) A statement concerning the foregoing matters, and death also, of another person, if the declarant was related to the other by blood, adoption, or marriage or was so intimately associated with the other's family as to be likely to have accurate information concerning the matter declared.	(B) another person concerning any o these facts, as well as death, if the declarant was related to the person by blood, adoption, or marriage o was so intimately associated with the person's family that the declarant's information is likely to be accurate.

Page 107

CURRENT TEXAS

RULE 805. HEARSAY WITHIN HEARSAY	Rule 805.Hearsay Within Hearsay
Hearsay included within hearsay is not excluded under the hearsay rule if each part of the combined statements conforms with an exception to the hearsay rule provided in these rules.	rule against hearsay if each part of the combined statements conforms with an

CURRENT TEXAS

RESTYLED TEXAS

Rule 806.

RULE 806. ATTACKING AND SUPPORTING CREDIBILITY OF DECLARANT

When a hearsay statement, or a statement defined in Rule 801(e)(2) (C), (D), or (E), or in civil cases a statement defined in Rule 801(e)(3), has been admitted in evidence, the credibility of the declarant may be attacked, and if attacked may be supported by any evidence which would be admissible for those purposes if declarant had testified as a witness. Evidence of a statement or conduct by the declarant at any time, offered to impeach the declarant, is not subject to any requirement that the declarant may have been afforded an opportunity to deny or explain. If the party against whom a hearsay statement has been admitted calls the declarant as a witness, the party is entitled to examine the declarant on the statement as if under cross-examination.

When a hearsay statement — or a statement described in Rule 801(e)(2)(C), (D), or (E), or, in a civil case, a statement described in Rule 801(e)(3)— has been admitted in evidence, the declarant's credibility may be attacked, and then supported, by any evidence that would be admissible for those purposes if the declarant had testified as a witness. The court may admit evidence of the declarant's statement or conduct, offered to impeach the declarant, regardless of when it occurred or whether the declarant had an opportunity to explain or deny it. If the party against whom the statement was admitted calls the declarant as a witness, the party may examine the declarant on the statement as if on cross-examination.

Page 108

Attacking and Supporting

the Declarant's Credibility

Page 109

ARTICLE IX. AUTHENTICATION AND IDENTIFCATION

CURRENT TEXAS

RULE901.REQUIREMENTOFAUTHENTICATIONORIDENTIFICATION	Rule 901. Authenticating or Identifying Evidence
(a) General Provision. The requirement of authentication or identification as a condition precedent to admissibility is satisfied by evidence sufficient to support a finding that the matter in question is what its proponent claims.	(a) In General. To satisfy the requirement of authenticating or identifying an item of evidence, the proponent must produce evidence sufficient to support a finding that the item is what the proponent claims it is.
 (b) Illustrations. By way of illustration only, and not by way of limitation, the following are examples of authentication or identification conforming with the requirements of this rule: (1) <i>Testimony of witness with knowledge</i>. Testimony that a matter is what it is claimed to be. 	 (b) Examples. The following are examples only — not a complete list — of evidence that satisfies the requirement: (1) Testimony of a Witness with Knowledge. Testimony that an item is what it is claimed to be.
(2) <i>Nonexpert opinion on handwriting</i> . Nonexpert opinion as to the genuineness of handwriting, based upon familiarity not acquired for purposes of the litigation.	(2) <i>Nonexpert Opinion About</i> <i>Handwriting.</i> A nonexpert's opinion that handwriting is genuine, based on a familiarity with it that was not acquired for the current litigation.
(3) <i>Comparison by trier or expert witness.</i> Comparison by the trier of fact or by expert witness with specimens which have been found by the court to be genuine.	(3) <i>Comparison by an Expert Witness or the Trier of Fact.</i> A comparison by an expert witness or the trier of fact with a specimen that the court has found is genuine.
(4) <i>Distinctive characteristics and the like</i> . Appearance, contents, substance, internal patterns, or other distinctive characteristics, taken in conjunction with circumstances.	(4) <i>Distinctive Characteristics and the</i> <i>Like.</i> The appearance, contents, substance, internal patterns, or other distinctive characteristics of the item, taken together with all the circumstances.
(5) <i>Voice identification</i> . Identification of a voice, whether heard firsthand or through	(5) <i>Opinion About a Voice.</i> An opinion identifying a person's voice —

mechanical or electronic transmission or recording, by opinion based upon hearing the voice at anytime under circumstances connecting it with the alleged speaker.	whether heard firsthand or through mechanical or electronic transmission or recording — based on hearing the voice at any time under circumstances that connect it with the alleged speaker.
 (6) Telephone conversations. Telephone conversations, by evidence that a call was made to the number assigned at the time by the telephone company to a particular person or business, if: (A) in the case of a person, circumstances, including self-identification, show the person answering to be the one called; or (B) in the case of a business, the call was made to a place of business and the conversation related to business reasonably transacted over the telephone. 	 (6) Evidence About a Telephone Conversation. For a telephone conversation, evidence that a call was made to the number assigned at the time to: (A) a particular person, if circumstances, including self- identification, show that the person answering was the one called; or (B) a particular business, if the call was made to a business and the call related to business reasonably transacted over the telephone.
(7) <i>Public records or reports.</i> Evidence that a writing authorized by law to be recorded or filed and in fact recorded or filed in a public office, or a purported public record, report, statement, or data compilation, in any form, is from the public office where items of this nature are kept.	 (7) Evidence About Public Records. Evidence that: (A) a document was recorded or filed in a public office as authorized by law; or (B) a purported public record or statement is from the office where items of this kind are kept.
(8) Ancient documents or data compilation. Evidence that a document or data compilation, in any form, (A) is in such condition as to create no suspicion concerning its authenticity, (B) was in a place where it, if authentic, would likely be, and (C) has been in existence twenty years or more at the time it is offered.	 (8) Evidence About Ancient Documents or Data Compilations. For a document or data compilation, evidence that it: (A) is in a condition that creates no suspicion about its authenticity; (B) was in a place where, if authentic, it would likely be; and (C) is at least 20 years old when offered.

(9) <i>Process or system.</i> Evidence describing a process or system used to produce a result and showing that the process or system produces an accurate result.	(9) <i>Evidence About a Process or System.</i> Evidence describing a process or system and showing that it produces an accurate result.
(10) <i>Methods provided by statute or rule.</i> Any method of authentication or identification provided by statute or by other rule prescribed pursuant to statutory authority.	(10) <i>Methods Provided by a Statute or</i> <i>Rule.</i> Any method of authentication or identification allowed by a statute or other rule prescribed under statutory authority.

CURRENT TEXAS

RESTYLED TEXAS

RULE 902. SELF-AUTHENTICATION	Rule 902. Evidence That Is Self- Authenticating
Extrinsic evidence of authenticity as a condition precedent to admissibility is not required with respect to the following: (1) Domestic Public Documents Under Seal. A document bearing a seal purporting to be that of the United States, or of any State, district, Commonwealth, territory, or insular possession thereof, or the Panama Canal Zone, or the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands, or of a political subdivision, department, officer, or agency thereof, and a signature purporting to be an attestation or execution.	 The following items of evidence are self-authenticating; they require no extrinsic evidence of authenticity in order to be admitted: (1) Domestic Public Documents That Are Sealed and Signed. A document that bears: (A) a seal purporting to be that of the United States; any state, district, commonwealth, territory, or insular possession of the United States; the former Panama Canal Zone; the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands; a political subdivision of any of these entities; or a department, agency, or officer of any entity named above; and
(2) Domestic Public Documents Not Under Seal. A document purporting to bear the signature in the official capacity of an officer or employee of any entity included in paragraph (1) hereof, having no seal, if a public officer having a seal and having official duties in the district or political subdivision of the officer or employee certifies under seal that the signer has the official capacity and that the signature is genuine.	 (B) a signature purporting to be an execution or attestation. (2) Domestic Public Documents That Are Not Sealed But Are Signed and Certified. A document that bears no seal if: (A) it bears the signature of an officer or employee of an entity named in Rule 902(1)(A); and (B) another public officer who has a seal and official duties within that same entity certifies under seal — or its equivalent — that the signer has the official capacity and that the signature is genuine.
(3) Foreign Public Documents. A document	(3) Foreign Public Documents. A

(3) Foreign Public Documents. A document purporting to be executed or attested in an official capacity by a person, authorized by the laws of a foreign country to make the execution
 (3) Foreign Public Documents. A document that purports to be signed or attested by a person who is authorized by a foreign country's law to do so.

or attestation, and accompanied by a final certification as to the genuineness of the signature and official position (A) of the executing or attesting person, or (B) of any foreign official whose certificate of genuineness of signature and official position relates to the execution or attestation or is in a chain of certificates of genuineness of signature and official position relating to the execution or attestation. A final certification may be made by a secretary of embassy or legation, consul general, consul, vice consul, or consular agent of the United States, or a diplomatic or consular official of the foreign country assigned or accredited to the United States. If reasonable opportunity has been given to all parties to investigate the authenticity and accuracy of official documents, the court may, for good cause shown, order that they be treated as presumptively authentic without final certification or permit them to be evidenced by an attested summary with or without final certification. The final certification shall be dispensed with whenever both the United States and the foreign country in which the official record is located are parties to a treaty or convention that abolishes or displaces such requirement, in which case the record and the attestation shall be certified by the means provided in the treaty or convention.

Page 113

- (A) In General. The document must be accompanied by a final certification that certifies the genuineness of the signature and official position of the signer or attester - or of any foreign official whose certificate of genuineness relates to the signature or attestation or is in a chain of certificates of genuineness relating to the signature or attestation. The certification may be made by a secretary of a United States embassy or legation; by a consul general, vice consul, or consular agent of the United States; or by a diplomatic or consular official of the foreign country assigned or accredited to the United States.
- **(B)** If Parties Have Reasonable **Opportunity to Investigate.** If all parties have been given a reasonable opportunity to investigate the document's authenticity and accuracy, the court may, for good cause, either:
 - (i) order that it be treated as presumptively authentic without final certification; or
 - (ii) allow it to be evidenced by an attested summary with or without final certification.
- (C) If a Treaty Abolishes or Displaces Final Certification the Requirement. If the United States and the foreign country in which the official record is located are parties to a treaty or convention that abolishes or displaces the final certification requirement, the record and attestation must be certified under the terms of the treaty or convention.

(4) Certified Copies of Public Records. A (4) Certified Copies of Public Records. A

(10) Business Records Accompanied by	(10) Records of a Regularly Conducted
(9) Commercial Paper and Related Documents. Commercial paper, signatures thereon, and documents relating thereto to the extent provided by general commercial law.	(9) <i>Commercial Paper and Related</i> <i>Documents.</i> Commercial paper, a signature on it, and related documents, to the extent allowed by general commercial law.
(8) Acknowledged Documents. Documents accompanied by a certificate of acknowledgment executed in the manner provided by law by a notary public or other officer authorized by law to take acknowledgments.	(8) <i>Acknowledged Documents.</i> A document accompanied by a certificate of acknowledgment that is lawfully executed by a notary public or another officer who is authorized to take acknowledgments.
(7) Trade Inscriptions and the Like. Inscriptions, signs, tags, or labels purporting to have been affixed in the course of business and indicating ownership, control, or origin.	(7) <i>Trade Inscriptions and the Like.</i> An inscription, sign, tag, or label purporting to have been affixed in the course of business and indicating origin, ownership, or control.
(6) Newspapers and Periodicals. Printed materials purporting to be newspapers or periodicals.	(6) <i>Newspapers and Periodicals.</i> Printed material purporting to be a newspaper or periodical.
(5) Official Publications. Books, pamphlets, or other publications purporting to be issued by public authority.	(5) <i>Official Publications.</i> A book, pamphlet, or other publication purporting to be issued by a public authority.
copy of an official record or report or entry therein, or of a document authorized by law to be recorded or filed and actually recorded or filed in a public office, including data compilations in any form certified as correct by the custodian or other person authorized to make the certification, by certificate complying with paragraph (1), (2) or (3) of this rule or complying with any statute or other rule prescribed pursuant to statutory authority.	 copy of an official record — or a copy of a document that was recorded or filed in a public office as authorized by law — if the copy is certified as correct by: (A) the custodian or another person authorized to make the certification; or (B) a certificate that complies with Rule 902(1), (2), or (3), a statute, or a rule prescribed under statutory authority.

Affidavit.

(a) Records or photocopies; admissibility; affidavit; filing. Any record or set of records or photographically reproduced copies of such records, which would be admissible under Rule 803(6) or (7) shall be admissible in evidence in any court in this state upon the affidavit of the person who would otherwise provide the prerequisites of Rule 803(6) or (7), that such records attached to such affidavit were in fact so kept as required by Rule 803(6) or (7), provided further, that such record or records along with such affidavit are filed with the clerk of the court for inclusion with the papers in the cause in which the record or records are sought to be used as evidence at least fourteen days prior to the day upon which trial of said cause commences, and provided the other parties to said cause are given prompt notice by the party filing same of the filing of such record or records and affidavit, which notice shall identify the name and employer, if any, of the person making the affidavit and such records shall be made available to the counsel for other parties to the action or litigation for inspection and copying. The expense for copying shall be borne by the party, parties or persons who desire copies and not by the party or parties who file the records and serve notice of said filing, in compliance with this rule. Notice shall be deemed to have been promptly given if it is served in the manner contemplated by Rule of Civil Procedure 21a fourteen days prior to commencement of trial in said cause.

(b) *Form of affidavit*. A form for the affidavit of such person as shall make such affidavit as is permitted in paragraph (a) above shall be sufficient if it follows this form though this form shall not be exclusive, and an affidavit which sub substantially complies with the provisions of this rule shall suffice, to-wit:

[CASE STYLE FORM OMITTED]

AFFIDAVIT Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared _____, who, being

Activity.

- (A) Requirements. The original or a copy of a record that meets the requirements of Rule 803(6)(A)-(C) or 803(7)(A)-(B), as shown by the custodian's or another qualified person's affidavit or unsworn declaration. The proponent of the record must:
 - (i) file the affidavit or unsworn declaration and the record with the court at least 14 days before trial;
 - (ii) make the record available to the other parties for inspection and copying, but the party seeking the copy must bear the cost of copying; and
 - (iii) give the other parties prompt notice of the filing, including the name and employer, if any, of the person making the affidavit or unsworn declaration. If the proponent gives notice at least 14 days before trial in a manner acceptable under Rule of Civil Procedure 21a, the court must find the notice is prompt.
- (B) Form for Business Records. A properly-executed affidavit or unsworn declaration that includes the following language meets the requirements of Rule 803(6)(A)-(C), although other language may also meet the requirements:

"1. I am the custodian of these records, or I am an employee familiar with the manner in which these records are created and maintained by virtue of my duties and responsibilities. 2. Attached are _____ pages of by me duly sworn, deposed as follows: My name is _____, I am of sound mind, capable of making this affidavit, and personally acquainted with the facts herein stated:

I am the custodian of the records of ______. Attached hereto are ______ pages of records from ______. These said _____ pages of records are kept by ______ in the regular course of business, and it was the regular course of business of ______ for an employee or representative of ______, with knowledge of the act, event, condition, opinion, or diagnosis, recorded to make the record or to transmit information thereof to be included in such record; and the record was made at or near the time or reasonably soon thereafter. The records attached hereto are the original or exact duplicates of the original.

Affiant

[JURAT OMITTED]

(c) *Medical expenses affidavit*. A party may make prima facie proof of medical expenses by affidavit that substantially complies with the following form:

[CASE STYLE FORM OMITTED]

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared _____, who, being by me duly sworn, deposed as follows:

My name is _____. I am of sound mind and capable of making this affidavit, and personally acquainted with the facts herein stated.

I am a custodian of records for ______. Attached to this affidavit are records that provide an itemized statement of the service and the charge for the service that ______ provided to ______

on _____. The attached records are a part of this affidavit.

The attached records are kept by ______ in the regular course of business, and it was the regular course of records. These are the original records or exact duplicates of the original records.

3. The records were made at or near the time of the occurrence of the matters set forth.

4. The records were made by, or from information transmitted by, persons_with knowledge of the matters set forth.

5. The records were kept in the course of regularly conducted business activity.

6. It was the regular practice of the business activity to make the records."

(C) *Form for Medical Expenses.* A properly-executed affidavit or unsworn declaration that includes the following language constitutes prima facie proof of medical expenses:

"1. I am the custodian of these records, or I am an employee familiar with the manner in which these records are created and maintained by virtue of my duties and responsibilities.

2. Attached are _____ pages of records. These are the original records or exact duplicates of the original records and are a part of this [affidavit *or* unsworn declaration].

3. The attached records provide an itemized statement of the services and charge for the services that _____ provided to ______ on _____.

4. The records were made at or near the time the service was provided.

5. The records were made by, or from information transmitted by, persons with knowledge of the matters set forth.

6. The records were kept in the course of regularly conducted business activity.

Page 117

____ for an employee or business of representative of with ___, knowledge of the service provided, to make the record or to transmit information to be included in the record. The records were made in the regular course of business at or near the time or reasonably soon after the time the service was provided. The records are the original or a duplicate of the original.

The services provided were necessary and the amount charged for the services was reasonable at the time and place that the services were provided.

The total amount paid for the services was \$_____ and the amount currently unpaid but which _____ has a right to be paid after any adjustments or credits is \$

Affiant

[JURAT OMITTED]

(11) Presumptions Under Statutes or Other Rules. Any signature, document, or other matter declared by statute or by other rules prescribed pursuant to statutory authority to be presumptively or prima facie genuine or authentic.

(11) Presumptions Under a Statute or Rule. A signature, document, or anything else that a statute or rule prescribed under statutory authority declares to be presumptively or prima facie genuine or authentic.

Comment to 2013 Restyling: The forms provided in Rules 902(10)(B) and (C) respectively include only the language designed to meet the requirements of the business record exception and medical expense form. They omit language for introductory material and the jurat because these may differ between an affidavit and an unsworn declaration. For example, an unsworn declaration will not include language typically found in an affidavit (e.g., "Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared __, who, being by me duly sworn, deposed as follows"). Similarly, Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code § 132.001 prescribes a jurat for unsworn declarations that differs from the jurat typically used in affidavits. Because

7. It was the regular practice of the business activity to make the records.

8. The services provided were necessary, and the amount charged for the services was reasonable at the time and place the services were provided.

9. The total amount paid for the services was \$____, and the amount currently unpaid but which _____ ___ has a right to be paid after any adjustments or credits is \$_____.

Rules 902(10)(B) and (C) require that an affidavit or unsworn declaration be "properly-executed," a party must be sure to include introductory material and a jurat appropriate to the type of document filed.

CURRENT TEXAS

RESTYLED TEXAS

RULE 903. SUBSCRIBING WITNESS' TESTIMONY UNNECESSARY	Rule 903. Subscribing Witness's Testimony
The testimony of a subscribing witness is not necessary to authenticate a writing unless required by the laws of the jurisdiction whose laws govern the validity of the writing.	to authenticate a writing only if required by the

Page 120

ARTICLE X. CONTENTS OF WRITINGS, RECORDINGS, AND PHOTOGRAPHS

CURRENT TEXAS

RULE 1001. DEFINITIONS	Rule 1001. Definitions That Apply to This Article
For purposes of this article the following definitions are applicable:	In this article:
(a) Writings and Recordings. "Writings" and "recordings" consist of letters, words, or numbers or their equivalent, set down by handwriting, typewriting, printing, photostating, photographing, magnetic impulse, mechanical or electronic recording, or other form of data compilation.	 (a) A "writing" consists of letters, words, numbers, or their equivalent set down in any form. (b) A "recording" consists of letters, words, numbers, or their equivalent recorded in any manner.
(b) Photographs. "Photographs" include still photographs, X-ray films, video tapes, and motion pictures.	(c) A "photograph" means a photographic image or its equivalent stored in any form.
(c) Original. An "original" of a writing or recording is the writing or recording itself or any counterpart intended to have the same effect by a person executing or issuing it. An "original" of a photograph includes the negative or any print therefrom. If data are stored in a computer or similar device, any printout or other output readable by sight, shown to reflect the data accurately, is an "original."	(d) An "original" of a writing or recording means the writing or recording itself or any counterpart intended to have the same effect by the person who executed or issued it. For electronically stored information, "original" means any printout — or other output readable by sight — if it accurately reflects the information. An "original" of a photograph includes the negative or a print from it.
(d) Duplicate. A "duplicate" is a counterpart produced by the same impression as the original, or from the same matrix, or by means of photography, including enlargements and miniatures, or by mechanical or electronic re-recording, or by chemical reproduction, or by other equivalent techniques which accurately reproduce the original.	(e) A "duplicate" means a counterpart produced by a mechanical, photographic, chemical, electronic, or other equivalent process or technique that accurately reproduces the original.

CURRENT TEXAS

RESTYLED TEXAS

RULE	1002.	REQUIREMENT	OF	Rule 1002.	Requirement of the Original
ORIGIN	ALS				
photograp photograp	oh, the or oh is req	nt of a writing, recordin iginal writing, recordin uired except as othe les or by law.	ng, or	required in o	vriting, recording, or photograph is order to prove its content unless other law provides otherwise.

Page 122

CURRENT TEXAS

	Rule 1003. Admissibility of Duplicates
DUPLICATES	
	A duplicate is admissible to the same extent as
A duplicate is admissible to the same extent as	the original unless a question is raised about
an original unless (1) a question is raised as to	the original's authenticity or the circumstances
the authenticity of the original or (2) in the	make it unfair to admit the duplicate.
circumstances it would be unfair to admit the	*
duplicate in lieu of the original.	

Page 123

CURRENT TEXAS

RULE 1004. ADMISSIBILITY OF OTHER EVIDENCE OF CONTENTS	Rule 1004. Admissibility of Evidence of Content Other
The original is not required, and other evidence of the contents of a writing, recording, or photograph is admissible if:	An original is not required and other evidence of the content of a writing, recording, or photograph is admissible if:
(a) Originals Lost or Destroyed. All originals are lost or have been destroyed, unless the proponent lost or destroyed them in bad faith;	(a) all the originals are lost or destroyed, unless the proponent lost or destroyed them in bad faith;
(b) Original Not Obtainable. No original can be obtained by any available judicial process or procedure;	(b) an original cannot be obtained by any available judicial process;
(c) Original Outside the State. No original is located in Texas;	(c) an original is not located in Texas;(d) the party against whom the original would be offered had control of the original; was
(d) Original in Possession of Opponent. At a time when an original was under the control of the party against whom offered, that party was put on notice, by the pleadings or otherwise, that the content would be a subject of proof at the	at that time put on notice, by pleadings or otherwise, that the original would be a subject of proof at the trial or hearing; and fails to produce it at the trial or hearing; or
hearing, and that party does not produce the original at the hearing; or	(e) the writing, recording, or photograph is not closely related to a controlling issue.
(e) Collateral Matters. The writing, recording or photograph is not closely related to a controlling issue.	

Page 124

CURRENT TEXAS

RULE 1005. PUBLIC RECORDS	Rule 1005. Copies of Public Records to Prove Content
The contents of an official record or of a document authorized to be recorded or filed and actually recorded or filed, including data compilations in any form, if otherwise admissible, may be proved by copy, certified as correct in accordance with Rule 902 or testified to be correct by a witness who has compared it with the original. If a copy which complies with the foregoing cannot be obtained by the exercise of reasonable diligence, then other evidence of the contents may be given.	The proponent may use a copy to prove the content of an official record — or of a document that was recorded or filed in a public office as authorized by law — if these conditions are met: the record or document is otherwise admissible; and the copy is certified as correct in accordance with Rule 902(4) or is testified to be correct by a witness who has compared it with the original. If no such copy can be obtained by reasonable diligence, then the proponent may use other evidence to prove the content.

Page 125

CURRENT TEXAS

RULE 1006. SUMMARIES	Rule 1006. Summaries to Prove Content
The contents of voluminous writings, recordings, or photographs, otherwise admissible, which cannot conveniently be examined in court may be presented in the form of a chart, summary, or calculation. The originals, or duplicates, shall be made available for examination or copying, or both, by other parties at a reasonable time and place. The court may order that they be produced in court.	1

Page 126

CURRENT TEXAS **RESTYLED TEXAS** Rule 1007. **RULE 1007. TESTIMONY OR WRITTEN** Testimony or Statement of a ADMISSION OF PARTY Party to Prove Content Contents of writings, recordings, or photographs The proponent may prove the content of a may be proved by the testimony or deposition of writing, recording, or photograph by the the party against whom offered or by that party's testimony, deposition, or written statement of written admission, without accounting for the the party against whom the evidence is offered. nonproduction of the original. The proponent need not account for the original.

Page 127

CURRENT TEXAS

RULE 1008. FUNCTIONS OF COURT AND JURY	Rule 1008. Functions of the Court and Jury
When the admissibility of other evidence of contents of writings, recordings, or photographs under these rules depends upon the fulfillment of a condition of fact, the question whether the condition has been fulfilled is ordinarily for the court to determine in accordance with the provisions of Rule 104. However, when an issue is raised (a) whether the asserted writing ever existed, or (b) whether another writing, recording, or photograph produced at the trial is the original, or (c) whether other evidence of contents correctly reflects the contents, the issue is for the trier of fact to determine as in the case of other issues of fact.	 Ordinarily, the court determines whether the proponent has fulfilled the factual conditions for admitting other evidence of the content of a writing, recording, or photograph under Rule 1004 or 1005. But in a jury trial, the jury determines — in accordance with Rule 104(b) — any issue about whether: (a) an asserted writing, recording, or photograph ever existed; (b) another one produced at the trial or hearing is the original; or (c) other evidence of content accurately reflects the content.

CURRENT TEXAS

RESTYLED TEXAS

RULE 1009. TRANSLATION OF FOREIGNRule 1LANGUAGE DOCUMENTS

(a) **Translations.** A translation of foreign language documents shall be admissible upon the affidavit of a qualified translator setting forth the qualifications of the translator and certifying that the translation is fair and accurate. Such affidavit, along with the translation and the underlying foreign language documents, shall be served upon all parties at least 45 days prior to the date of trial.

(b) **Objections.** Any party may object to the accuracy of another party's translation by pointing out the specific inaccuracies of the translation and by stating with specificity what the objecting party contends is a fair and accurate translation. Such objection shall be served upon all parties at least 15 days prior to the date of trial.

(c) Effect of Failure to Object or Offer Conflicting Translation. If no conflicting translation or objection is timely served, the court shall admit a translation submitted under paragraph (a) without need of proof, provided however that the underlying foreign language documents are otherwise admissible under the Texas Rules of Evidence. Failure to serve a conflicting translation under paragraph (a) or failure to timely and properly object to the accuracy of a translation under paragraph (b) shall preclude a party from attacking or offering evidence contradicting the accuracy of such translation at trial.

(d) Effect of Objections or Conflicting Translations. In the event of conflicting translations under paragraph (a) or if objections to another party's translation are served under paragraph (b), the court shall determine whether there is a genuine issue as to the accuracy of a material part of the translation to be resolved by the trier of fact.

(e) Expert Testimony of Translator. Except as provided in paragraph (c), this Rule does not preclude the admission of a translation of

Rule 1009. Translating a Language Document

- (a) Submitting a Translation. A translation of a foreign language document is admissible if, at least 45 days before trial, the proponent serves on all parties:
 - (1) the translation and the underlying foreign language document; and
 - (2) a qualified translator's affidavit or unsworn declaration that sets forth the translator's qualifications and certifies that the translation is accurate.
- (b) Objection. When objecting to a translation's accuracy, a party should specifically indicate its inaccuracies and offer an accurate translation. A party must serve the objection on all parties at least 15 days before trial.
- (c) Effect of Failing to Object or Submit a Conflicting Translation. If the underlying foreign language document is otherwise admissible, the court must admit — and may not allow a party to attack the accuracy of — a translation submitted under subdivision (a) unless the party has:
 - (1) submitted a conflicting translation under subdivision (a); or
 - (2) objected to the translation under subdivision (b).
- (d) Effect of Objecting or Submitting a Conflicting Translation. If conflicting translations are submitted under subdivision (a) or an objection is made under subdivision (b), the court must determine whether there is a genuine issue about the accuracy of a material part of the translation. If so, the trier of fact must resolve the issue.

(e) Qualified Translator May Testify. Except for subdivision (c), this rule does not

Page 128

Foreign

foreign language documents at trial either by live testimony or by deposition testimony of a qualified expert translator.	preclude a party from offering the testimony of a qualified translator to translate a foreign language document.
(f) Varying of Time Limits. The court, upon motion of any party and for good cause shown, may enlarge or shorten the time limits set forth in this Rule.	(f) Time Limits. On a party's motion and for good cause, the court may alter this rule's time limits.
(g) Court Appointment. The court, if necessary, may appoint a qualified translator, the reasonable value of whose services shall be taxed as court costs.	(g) Court-Appointed Translator. If necessary, the court may appoint a qualified translator. The reasonable value of the translator's services must be taxed as court costs.